

# PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

**Name of Facility:** Gardner Betts Juvenile Justice Center

**Facility Type:** Juvenile

**Date Interim Report Submitted:** 07/21/2023

**Date Final Report Submitted:** 01/08/2024

Auditor Certification	
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Auditor Full Name as Signed:</b> Sharon Pette	<b>Date of Signature:</b> 01/08/ 2024

AUDITOR INFORMATION	
<b>Auditor name:</b>	Pette, Sharon
<b>Email:</b>	sharon@rapidesi.com
<b>Start Date of On-Site Audit:</b>	06/05/2023
<b>End Date of On-Site Audit:</b>	06/06/2023

FACILITY INFORMATION	
<b>Facility name:</b>	Gardner Betts Juvenile Justice Center
<b>Facility physical address:</b>	2515 S. Congress Ave, Austin, Texas - 78704
<b>Facility mailing address:</b>	

<b>Primary Contact</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Steve Owen
<b>Email Address:</b>	stephen.owen@traviscountytexas.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	512-854-7055

<b>Superintendent/Director/Administrator</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Martin Deleon
<b>Email Address:</b>	Martin.Deleon@traviscountytexas.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	512-854-8766

<b>Facility PREA Compliance Manager</b>
---

<b>Facility Health Service Administrator On-Site</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Miranda Foran
<b>Email Address:</b>	Miranda.Foran@traviscountytexas.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	512-854-5683

<b>Facility Characteristics</b>	
<b>Designed facility capacity:</b>	120
<b>Current population of facility:</b>	37
<b>Average daily population for the past 12 months:</b>	24
<b>Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?</b>	No
<b>Which population(s) does the facility hold?</b>	Both females and males
<b>Age range of population:</b>	11-17
<b>Facility security levels/resident custody levels:</b>	maximum

<b>Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with residents:</b>	59
<b>Number of individual contractors who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:</b>	12
<b>Number of volunteers who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:</b>	17

<b>AGENCY INFORMATION</b>	
<b>Name of agency:</b>	Travis County Juvenile Probation Department
<b>Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):</b>	
<b>Physical Address:</b>	2515 S Congress, Austin, Texas - 78704
<b>Mailing Address:</b>	
<b>Telephone number:</b>	

<b>Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	
<b>Email Address:</b>	
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	

<b>Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information</b>			
<b>Name:</b>	Kris Johnson	<b>Email Address:</b>	kris.johnson@traviscountytexas.gov

<b>Facility AUDIT FINDINGS</b>
<b>Summary of Audit Findings</b>
The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of

Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

**Number of standards exceeded:**

4

- 115.313 - Supervision and monitoring
- 115.331 - Employee training
- 115.334 - Specialized training:  
Investigations
- 115.352 - Exhaustion of administrative remedies

**Number of standards met:**

39

**Number of standards not met:**

0

## POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION

### GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION

#### On-site Audit Dates

1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2023-06-05
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2023-06-06

#### Outreach

10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated:	Safe Alliance - I left two phone messages but did not receive a call back.

### AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION

14. Designated facility capacity:	120
15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	24
16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:	22
17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

**Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit**

**Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit**

<b>36. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:</b>	37
<b>38. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>39. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	2
<b>40. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>41. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>42. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	1
<b>43. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	1

<p><b>44. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>45. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>46. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>2</p>
<p><b>47. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>48. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):</b></p>	<p>On the first day of the onsite visit there were 37 youth at the Gardner-Betts facility. Youth were housed on six of the 14 living units.</p>
<p><b>Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit</b></p>	
<p><b>49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>59</p>
<p><b>50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</b></p>	<p>16</p>

<p><b>51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</b></p>	<p>12</p>
<p><b>52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>At the time of the onsite review, the Gardner-Betts facility employed 59 staff members. Employees included facility leaders, Juvenile Detention Officers (JDOs), case manager, volunteer coordinator, intake staff, mental health clinician, nurses, and clinical interns, to name a few. Teachers providing educational instruction are employed by the local school district and contracted to provide education services to youth residing at the Gardner-Betts facility.</p>
<p><b>INTERVIEWS</b></p>	
<p><b>Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>53. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>12</p>
<p><b>54. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)</b></p>	<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Race  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic)  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of time in the facility  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing assignment  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender  <input type="checkbox"/> Other  <input type="checkbox"/> None </p>



<p><b>55. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?</b></p>	<p>On the first day of the onsite audit, the auditor was provided a list of youth in the facility broken out by living unit. The interview selection process included the auditor picking every third name within each of the living units. A total of 17 youth were offered the opportunity to participate in the interview process. Two youth refused (and therefore, a total of 15 youth were interviewed).</p>
<p><b>56. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</b></p>	<p>The auditor was able to interview 12 random youth and three targeted youth (i.e., cognitive disability, ESL, and LGBTQI). Youth from all units were interviewed, including youth from the female and male units.</p>
<p><b>Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>3</p>
<p>As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".</p>	
<p><b>60. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>

<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>Information gathered from several staff interviews and onsite observations verified there were no youth in this target category during the onsite visit.</p>
<p><b>61. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>62. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>

<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>Information gathered from several staff interviews and onsite observations verified there were no youth in this target category during the onsite visit.</p>
<p><b>63. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>Information gathered from several staff interviews and onsite observations verified there were no youth in this target category during the onsite visit.</p>
<p><b>64. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>65. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>1</p>

<p><b>66. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>Information gathered from several staff interviews and onsite observations verified there were no youth in this target category during the onsite visit.</p>
<p><b>67. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>68. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:</b></p>	<p>2</p>
<p><b>69. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>

<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>Information gathered from several staff interviews and onsite observations verified there were no youth in this target category during the onsite visit. In addition, the six youth interviewed who were reported to have been placed in isolation verified that they were placed in isolation due to violating program rules (not because of risk of sexual victimization).</p>
<p><b>70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):</b></p>	<p>The auditor ensured that youth from each living unit were selected for interviews and also considered length of stay, gender, ethnicity, age, and prior history of abuse/perpetration when selecting youth.</p>
<p><b>Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>Random Staff Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>17</p>
<p><b>72. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of tenure in the facility</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shift assignment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Work assignment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rank (or equivalent)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> None</p>

<p><b>73. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>74. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</b></p>	<p>Staff were randomly selected from a complete list (in Excel) of staff members assigned to the Gardner-Betts facility. The auditor filtered on the job title and then selected approximately 25-30% of the names within the job title category to be interviewed (every 3,4, or 5th name on the list). In addition to JDO I, II, and IIIs, the auditor also interviewed 4 Shift Supervisors as part of this process.</p>
<p><b>Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews</b></p>	
<p>Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.</p>	
<p><b>75. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):</b></p>	<p>15</p>
<p><b>76. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>a. Explain why it was not possible to interview the Agency Head:</b></p>	<p>The CEO had an emergency situation arise and was not able to be interviewed. However, his designee, Ms. Virginia Martinez who serves as the Director of Accreditation and Compliance was able to participate in his absence.</p>
<p><b>77. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>78. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>

**79. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?**

Yes

No

NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)

**80. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)**

- Agency contract administrator
- Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment
- Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Medical staff
- Mental health staff
- Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches
- Administrative (human resources) staff
- Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
- Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
- Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
- Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
- Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
- First responders, both security and non-security staff
- Intake staff



	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<b>81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:</b>	4
<b>b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mental health/counseling <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Religious <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other
<b>82. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:</b>	3
<b>b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Security/detention <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Food service <input type="checkbox"/> Maintenance/construction <input type="checkbox"/> Other

<p><b>83. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.</b></p>	<p>Specialized staff interviewed included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Director of Accreditation and Compliance (CEO Designee)</li> <li>• Director of Detention Services (Facility Administrator)</li> <li>• Division Manager</li> <li>• Agency PREA Coordinator (and Internal Investigator)</li> <li>• Facility PREA Compliance Manager (and Internal Investigator)</li> <li>• 3 Internal Investigators</li> <li>• Grievance Officer</li> <li>• Community Partnership Coordinator/Community Liaison/Volunteer Coordinator</li> <li>• 4 Nurses (1 Nurse Charge, 1 RN II, and 2 Licensed Vocational Nurses)</li> <li>• Mental Health Clinician</li> </ul>
--	---

**SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING**

**Site Review**

PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.

<p><b>84. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
---	---

**Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:**

<p><b>85. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
--	---

<p><b>86. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>87. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>88. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>89. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).</b></p>	<p>During the onsite review the auditor observed Zero Tolerance signs and reporting information throughout the facility. She also observed locked grievance boxes on all of the living units and common areas. During the onsite visit the auditor tested to see how often the grievance box was checked by placing a note in one of the boxes on a living unit. The Grievance Coordinator informed the auditor he had received the note and emailed the auditor within 24 hours of the auditor placing the note in the box.</p> <p>Other tests of critical functioning included the auditor asking the JDOs and JPOs who provide education to youth to describe in detail how the PREA education is provided to youth at intake; the auditor asking the nurses to explain and demonstrate how the vulnerability risk assessment is completed; and the auditor testing the Centralized Intake phone number to make sure this avenue for making a report was in working order.</p>
<p><b>Documentation Sampling</b></p>	
<p>Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.</p>	

<b>90. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>91. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).</b>	<p>During the onsite audit the auditor selected 24 staff files; 4 contractor files; and 4 volunteer files to review. Employee files included both full-time and part-time staff, as well as a sample of individuals who were promoted. All files were reviewed for requisite criminal background checks (prior to hire and every five years); abuse registry checks (prior to hire and every five years); and duty to disclose misconduct forms (prior to hire and promotion and as part of a yearly performance review. The auditor also reviewed the above mentioned documents for volunteers and contractors. Additionally, the auditor reviewed training records for staff, contractors, and volunteers to ensure that they had completed the required PREA training upon hire and a minimum of every two years.</p> <p>While onsite the auditor also reviewed supervisory rounds log (monthly) for a 12-month period from May 2022 - May 2023. The Gardner-Betts facility maintains a report/detailed chart that tracks the number of unannounced rounds conducted per area (detention and/or intake) and across day of the week and shift (shifts include 6 AM -2 PM; 2 PM - 10 PM; and 10 PM - 6 PM). According to the document in a 12-month period there were a total of 53 rounds conducted in detention and 36 rounds conducted in intake unit. These included all shifts and days of the week (including weekend).</p> <p>The auditor also reviewed staff training materials, youth PREA education training and attestation forms, vulnerability risk tools, various tracking forms, and two investigation reports (and supporting documents). These were all randomly selected (stratified sampling) by the auditor using the complete staff and youth rosters provided.</p>

# SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

## Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

### 92. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	2	0	2	0
<b>Total</b>	2	0	2	0

**93. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:**

	<b># of sexual harassment allegations</b>	<b># of criminal investigations</b>	<b># of administrative investigations</b>	<b># of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations</b>
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0

**Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes**

**Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes**

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for “convicted.”) Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

**94. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0

**95. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	2	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	2	0	0

**Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes**

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

**96. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0

**97. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0

**Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review**

**Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review**

**98. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled:**

2



<p><b>99. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>100. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>2</p>
<p><b>104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>

<p><b>105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review</b></p>	
<p><b>106. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files:</b></p>	<p>There were no allegations of sexual harassment in the past 24 months prior to the onsite audit. There were two allegations and investigations of sexual abuse in the 12 months preceding the onsite audit. The audit reviewed both investigation reports and supporting documentation.</p>
<p><b>107. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>108. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>

<p><b>110. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>111. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>112. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>113. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>114. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.</b></p>	<p>There were two allegations of staff-to-youth sexual abuse made in the past 12 months. The auditor reviewed both of these investigation reports and supporting documents. There were no allegations of sexual harassment during the time frame.</p>

## SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION

### DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff

**115. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.**

- Yes  
 No

### Non-certified Support Staff

**116. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.**

- Yes  
 No

## AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION

**121. Who paid you to conduct this audit?**

- The audited facility or its parent agency
- My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)
- A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)
- Other

<b>Standards</b>	
<b>Auditor Overall Determination Definitions</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)</li> <li>• Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)</li> <li>• Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)</li> </ul>	
<b>Auditor Discussion Instructions</b>	
<p>Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.</p>	

<b>115.311</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AS-901 Reporting of Child Abuse and Neglect and Exploitation</li> <li>• AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment”</li> <li>• AS-905 Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse</li> <li>• AS-209 Code of Ethics Staff-Juvenile Relationships</li> <li>• Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties”</li> <li>• Policy 10-DS-2 Facility Discipline Plan</li> <li>• Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Services Juvenile Handbook</li> <li>• Pamphlet for youth “A Teen’s Guide to Reporting Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation in Juvenile Justice Facilities” (published by Texas Juvenile Justice Department and provided at intake)</li> <li>• Spanish version of A Teen’s Guide to Reporting Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation in Juvenile Justice Facilities” (published by Texas Juvenile Justice</li> </ul>

Department and provided at intake)

- Agency's "Abuse Education for the Orientation Process" (at intake)
- Agency's "Prevention, Intervention, and Minimizing Your Risk for Abuse" (at intake)
- "Acknowledgment of Receipt of Orientation Information and Materials" (at intake)
- Travis County Juvenile Probation Department: Gardner-Betts Intake Packet
- Organizational and Facility Charts showing Agency PREA Coordinator and Facility PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with Director of Accreditation and Compliance (designee for the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer)
- Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator
- Interview with Facility PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with Director of Detention Services/Facility Administrator
- Facility audit tour observations

***Provision (a)***

Travis County Juvenile Probation Department has several agency policies that set forth clear expectations regarding zero tolerance for all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency's policy AS-901 "Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation" directly states, "The Department has a zero- tolerance policy towards all forms of abuse, neglect, and exploitation, to include sexual abuse and sexual harassment." In addition, Policy AS-901 and Policy AS-902 "Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment" both provide specific definitions for sexual abuse by contact; sexual abuse by non-contact; and sexual harassment. The Gardner-Betts facility also has Policy 4-DS-11 "First Responder Duties" which also includes detailed definitions of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and voyeurism consistent with DOJ definitions set forth in the PREA standards.

The agency and facility policies uphold a zero-tolerance policy for all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. More specifically, evidence of a zero-tolerance culture includes Policy AS-209 Code of Ethics Staff-Juvenile Relationships' (page 1) which states, "juvenile justice professionals must not....be designated as a perpetrator in an abuse, exploitation, and neglect investigation conducted by TJJD under Chapter 350 of this title and Texas Family Code Chapter 261.....interfere with or hinder any abuse, exploitation, and neglect investigation, including a criminal investigation conducted by law enforcement or an investigation conducted under Chapter 350 and Chapter 358 of this title or Texas Family Code Chapter 261" This same policy also states, "A violation of the Code of Ethics is basis for disciplinary action up to and including termination....A violation may include, but is not limited to suspension, revocation or denial of a Juvenile Probation Officer's or Juvenile Supervision Officer's certification by TJJD."

Additional evidence supporting PREA provisions can be found in Policy AS-901 "Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation." This policy requires "Signs reflecting the Department's zero tolerance policy concerning the abuse of juveniles

shall be prominently displayed in public areas as determined by the Chief or designee. Signs will include methods for juveniles to have unimpeded access to report allegations directly to TJJD. 2. In programs licensed by DSHS, the Department shall post a legible copy of the following documents in a prominent public location that is readily available to clients, visitors, and staff: a. the Client Bill of Rights; the TDSHS's current poster on reporting complaints and violations; and c. The client grievance procedure. 3. These documents shall be displayed in English and in Spanish."

Furthermore, agency Policy AS-902 "Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment clearly states "In line with the Department's zero tolerance policy towards all forms of abuse, steps will be taken to provide a safe and humane environment free from the threat of sexual abuse and harassment for all juveniles. Department efforts to prevent and detect sexual abuse and sexual harassment include, but are not limited to: staff screening and training, providing adequate supervision and resident education, and detecting early indicators of abuse" (Section I). In addition, this same policy (Section III, E) directs: "To prevent sexual abuse or sexual harassment and provide a safe and secure environment, each staff member at the Department will provide the highest level of protection." The policy also emphasizes disciplinary actions for staff who engage in sexual abuse and/or harassment. Specifically, the policy states, "If a staff member engages in sexual abuse or sexual harassment, he / she will be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination" (Section III, K).

The zero-tolerance commitment is also displayed in n Policy AS-905 "Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse." Specifically, the policy upholds, " Travis County Juvenile Probation Department has a zero- tolerance policy towards all forms of sexual abuse, sexual harassment and youth sexual conduct. All victims of sexual abuse will be afforded timely, unimpeded access to medical and mental health services and confidential victim advocacy services, to include emergency medical treatment, crisis intervention services and referrals for continued care."

Interviews with the executive leaders, facility leaders, direct care staff, the Agency PREA Coordinator, and the PCM provided sufficient evidence that all staff understand the zero-tolerance policy; mandatory reporting responsibilities; and avenues for reporting abuse. In addition, during the facility tour the auditor observed zero-tolerance posters throughout the facility in English and Spanish which included contact information for reporting abuse. Additionally, the Director of Accreditation and Compliance (designee for the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer) and facility leaders articulated that keeping youth safe while in the care of the Gardner-Betts Facility is a top agency priority. Interviews with youth also verified they understood the facility's zero-tolerance policy. The auditor concludes there is a zero-tolerance "tone" that permeates the Gardner-Betts facility.

***Provision (b)***

The Travis County Juvenile Probation Department has a designated Agency PREA Coordinator, Mr. Kris Johnson. Mr. Johnson is responsible for ensuring two facilities

(the Gardner-Betts and Intermediate Sanctions Center) are PREA compliant. An interview with Mr. Johnson verified he has a clear understanding of his role as it relates to PREA and has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with federal PREA standards.

In further support of this provision, the agency has formal policy language establishing the Agency PREA Coordinator position. Specifically, agency Policy AS-902 "Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment" states: "The Chief Juvenile Probation Officer designates a staff to serve as the Department-wide PREA Coordinator.... These staff member's duties must be structured to allow sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee Department efforts to comply with PREA standards in each facility." Review of the Gardner-Betts agency organizational chart includes the job title "Agency PREA Coordinator."

**Provision (c)**

The Gardner-Betts Juvenile Justice Center has a designated PREA Compliance Manager, Mr. Stephen Owen. Mr. Owen is an Accreditation and Compliance Officer and a specialized investigator. Although Mr. Owen has a range of job responsibilities, Mr. Owen reported he has sufficient time to perform the PREA-related job responsibilities. In addition, the fact that the facility was 99% compliant on federal PREA expectations standards is further evidence that he has enough time and authority to ensure the Gardner-Betts facility is PREA-compliant.

In further support of this provision, the agency has formal policy language establishing the Facility PREA Compliance Manager. Specifically, agency policy AS-902 "Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment" states, "Each facility has a designated PREA Manager....These staff member's duties must be structured to allow sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee Department efforts to comply with PREA standards in each facility....Their responsibilities include, but are not limited to: 1. ensuring Department policies and procedures are compliant with PREA standards; 2. ensuring that the Department offers the appropriate PREA material in training; 3. ongoing reviews of Department practices; 4. conducting periodic walk-throughs of the facilities; and 5. coordinating the Department's annual effort to assess the facilities' staffing plans as outlined in D."

All evidence reviewed (i.e., policies, documents, staff interviews, facility tour observations, etc.) allows the auditor to conclude the facility is in compliance on all provisions in this standard.

<b>115.312</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>



## Evidence Used in Compliance Determination

- Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment”
- Review of a sample of three Travis County contracts with residential providers
- Interview with Administrative Services Senior Director (Human Resources)
- Interview Agency PREA Coordinator
- Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

The agency has a formal policy, AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and harassment” (page 7) which outlines requirement for contract to house Travis County youth. Specifically, this policy requires: “The Department will ensure all juveniles under Department supervision who are housed in contracted placements are safe. 1. New or renewed contract requirements will specify that placements shall be fully compliant with PREA standards. 115.312(a)-1 2. The contracted placements will be reviewed on a regular basis for general health, safety and compliance with standards promulgated by oversight agencies, to include PREA standards. 115.312(a)-2 3. In the event a contracted agency is not demonstrating appropriate compliance, the Department will take the necessary steps to address concerns that arise” (Section III, H).

Travis County Juvenile Probation Department currently has executed contracts with six residential providers to house and treat juvenile justice youth. The auditor reviewed three of the contracts to verify compliance with requirements outlined in this standard. This review verified Travis County requires all contracted providers to comply with federal DOJ PREA standards. More specifically, contracts state: “Contractor shall comply with all federal, state, county, and city laws, rules, ordinances, regulations and standards applicable to the provision of services described herein and the performance of all obligations undertaken pursuant to this Contract, including the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 (PREA), which establishes a zero-tolerance standard against sexual assault of incarcerated persons, including juveniles, and addresses the detection, elimination, prevention, and reporting of sexual assault in facilities housing adult and juvenile offenders. Under PREA, Contractor must make available to the County Placement Officer all incident-based and aggregated data reports for every allegation of sexual abuse at its facility or facilities, and all such data may be requested by the Department of Justice from the previous calendar year no later than June 30.”

Similar language is also found in other contracts (i.e., Krier Interlocal Cooperation Agreement). The contract language specifically states: “That it will adopt and comply with PREA, which establishes a zero-tolerance standard against sexual assault and sexual harassment of incarcerated persons, including juveniles, and addresses the prevention, detection, elimination, and reporting of sexual assault in juvenile facilities. Pursuant to the PREA standards, Receiving County will:

A. Permit Sending County to monitor its Facilities and records as necessary to ensure that Receiving County is in compliance with said standards. Receiving

	<p>County will make available to Sending County a copy of its most recent PREA audit. Receiving County will be responsible for the financial cost associated with any PREA audit.</p> <p>B. Collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at its Facilities, using the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence issued by the Department of Justice and will provide all incident-based sexual abuse data and aggregated sexual abuse data for the previous calendar year to Sending County no later than May 31st of each year.</p> <p>C. Ensure that all of its employees, volunteers, and other individuals working under the auspices of Receiving County who provide goods or services directly to juveniles in a Facility undergo the requisite background checks, child abuse registry checks, and training certification explaining their responsibilities under Receiving County’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures.”</p> <p>An interview with the Director of Accreditation and Compliance, the Facility PREA Compliance Manager, the Agency PREA Coordinator, and the Administrative Services Senior Director (Human Resources) verified there is a process in place to monitor existing contracts. The Travis County Accreditation and Compliance Officers are responsible for conducting random visits to these programs. In addition, the contracted programs are required to submit their PREA audit reports. Two links were provided to the PREA audit reports posted on the contracted agency’s website:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Final Audit Report for Pegasus Schools Residential Treatment Center</li> <li>• Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Final Audit Report for Hays County Juvenile Detention Center (hayscountytexas.com)</li> </ul> <p>The auditor applauds the Travis County Probation Department for setting clear expectations of zero-tolerance through policy and formal contract language and for monitoring contracted programs to ensure they are PREA compliant.</p>
--	---

<b>115.313</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Exceeds Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-902 Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment</li> <li>• Policy 5-DS-5 Juvenile Supervision and Movement</li> <li>• Gardner-Betts Juvenile Justice Center Facility Staffing Plan Review (12/20/2022)</li> </ul>

- Gardner-Betts Staffing Plan Review Attendee Roster
- Gardner-Betts Annual Staffing Plan Review Minutes (12/20/2022)
- Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Management Walk-Thru Form
- Random sample of completed Travis County Juvenile Probation Management Walk-Through forms (unannounced rounds)
- Facility schematic/layout
- Unannounced rounds Excel tracking sheet
- Interview with Director of Detention Services
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator
- Interviews with intermediate and high-level staff who conduct unannounced rounds
- Interviews with Juvenile Detention Officers (direct care staff)
- Observations during facility tour

***Provision (a)***

The Gardner-Betts facility has over 250 cameras strategically placed throughout the resident units; the school; the cafeteria; the gymnasium; intake and visitation areas; the inside courtyard; the breezeways; and outside of the building structures. During the last audit (2021) the facility tour revealed only one blind spot in the breezeway of which the Gardner-Betts Director was aware. During the onsite review for this audit (2023) the auditor noted there was a camera in the breezeway that captures the entire space that was originally of concern. The extensive camera surveillance system provides additional supervision of youth to supplement staff supervision. All cameras are monitored 24 hours a day, seven days a week from a central control area. Video is automatically recorded and is stored for up to six months.

Agency Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and harassment” requires its facilities to operate with adequate staffing levels. The policy states: “The Department will ensure that each facility has an adequate level of staffing to protect residents against sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Factors considered in the review include, but are not limited to: 115.313(a)-1 1. Standards promulgated by oversight agencies including PREA, TJJD, ACA, or DSHS or any other state or local law, regulation or standard that applies to the Department, to include staff gender and ratio requirements; 2. Generally accepted juvenile detention and correctional / secure residential practices; 3. Judicial or Federal investigative agencies findings of inadequacy, if any; 4. Any findings of noncompliance from oversight agencies to include, but not limited to TJJD, ACA, and DSHS; 5. The number and placement of JSOs to enhance supervision; 6. Programs or activities occurring on a particular shift; 7. The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; 8. Any other relevant factors, such as the composition of the resident population, facility culture, gang presence, and specific programming for target population needs...” (Section III, D). During the onsite facility tour, the auditor noted a minimum of two staff with up to eight youth during the daytime. Interviews with staff and youth confirmed the facility exceeds the minimum staff-to-youth ratios required by PREA standards.

The auditor reviewed the “Gardner-Betts Juvenile Justice Center Facility Staffing Plan Review (12/20/2022).” A review of the plan provided substantial evidence that the annual review is comprehensive and addresses all items in provision (a) of this PREA standard. More specifically, the staffing plan review document (page 2) describes the physical plant layout (i.e., housing areas concentrated in two buildings, classrooms located on end floor, etc.) and the location of the 250 cameras within the facility. The annual staffing plan review report states, “When supervising youth, staff should position themselves so that they can see all residents, mitigating any potential blind spots. All housing units, common areas, stairwells, hallways, and multi-purpose areas have video surveillance with playback capability. The strategic placement of cameras augment staff supervision and enhances the Department’s ability to review incidents, including any sexual assault allegations.” The annual staffing plan review document also describes in detail, the composition of the juvenile population to include ages of youth, mental health needs, gangs, physical issues, etc. and the impact this has on staff training and facility operations.

In further support of this standard, agency Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and harassment” details how physical plant reviews should be conducted and how information should be used to prevent incidents of sexual abuse. More specifically the policy describes, “The physical plant review includes: a. Blind spots on the housing units or the areas around the facilities; b. Access to areas that are not in use after hours; Abuse and Neglect Prevention and Response Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment AS-902 c. The deployment of a video monitoring system to augment and enhance supervision. d. The Department will review any addition, remodeling or repurposing of the physical plant to ensure the modification will enhance the protection of residents. 10. Resources will be made available to accommodate the adjustments of the staffing plan as indicated in the review” (Section III, D, 9-10).

Staff interviews provided evidence that staff understood the importance of staff positioning and “eyes on, ears on” supervision. The auditor concludes that the high staff-to-youth supervision ratio, the extensive camera system, the existing staffing plan, the 2022 annual staffing plan review, and agency/facility policies supports compliance with provisions in this standard.

***Provision (b)***

As is the case with facilities that operate 24/7, all Juvenile Detention Officer positions are mandatory posts – staff are not permitted to leave their post until another staff member relieves them of their duty. Onsite observations verified the facility exceeded DOJ expectations regarding staff-to-youth ratios. The auditor noted on several occasions when youth were moving throughout the facility there were two staff members for five youth. In all cases there were no more than eight youth with two staff at any given time. Interviews with direct care staff, facility managers, and youth confirmed that the facility never violates its staffing ratios or mandatory post requirements.

***Provision (c)***

Travis County Juvenile Probation Department has formal policies dictating staff-to-youth ratios. More specially, facility Policy 5-DS-5 "Juvenile Supervision and Movement" states: "In the various physical areas of the facility (housing units, classrooms, cafeteria, etc.), the supervision ratio will be maintained at the following minimums: a. During program hours, one Juvenile Detention Officer (JDO) for every eight (8) juveniles, and b. During non-program hours, one JDO for every 16 juveniles" (Section III, A, 2). The Gardner-Betts facility has a formal staffing pattern that includes at least three Juvenile Detention Officers; one Team Lead; and one Shift Supervisor for each residential unit seven days a week. Despite the low number of youth currently in the facility, the facility has continued to fully staff the facility. Onsite observations verified the facility exceeded DOJ expectations with regard to staff-to-youth ratio (i.e., the auditor noted on several occasions when youth were moving throughout the facility there were two staff for five youth). Currently, the facility exceeds PREA staffing ratios which require a minimum staff-to-youth ratio of 1:8 during waking hours and 1:16 during sleeping hours.

Youth and staff interviews and auditor observations while on site, verified Gardner-Betts Facility is exceeding federal expectations for youth-to-staff ratios. Youth reported they are never left alone with other youth and are not able to "get away with" being in another resident's room because the level of staff supervision is too strict. The facility's commitment to ensure staff ratios are maintained and youth are safe is further exemplified by securing additional staff when needed (i.e., youth with significant mental health issues, suicidal ideation, etc.). As previously mentioned, onsite observations verified the facility exceed DOJ staff-to-youth ratios expectations.

The Gardner-Betts Juvenile Justice Center Facility Staffing Plan Review (12/20/2022) clearly states, "Staffing ratios for program hours: TJJD standards 343.434 require the facility have enough JDOs on campus to maintain a "facility-wide staffing ratio" to be no less than one (1) JDO to every eight (8) residents (1:8) during program hours. State standards (TJJD) TAC 343.436, require officers to maintain a constant physical presence while providing supervision and maintaining a "supervision ratio" of one (1) juvenile supervision officer for every 12 residents. However, the Department employs a supervision ratio of one (1) JDO for every eight (8) residents during waking hours to meet PREA Standards. Staffing ratios for non-program hours: TJJD standards 343.434 require the facility have enough JDOs on campus to maintain a "facility-wide staffing ratio" to be no less than one (1) JDO to every 18 residents during non-program hours. State standards (TJJD) TAC 343.436, require officers to maintain a constant physical presence while providing supervision and maintain a "supervision ratio" of one (1) juvenile supervision officer for every 24 residents during non-program hours. However, the Department employs a supervision ratio of one (1) JDO for every 16 residents during sleeping hours to meet PREA Standard" (page 4). Staff and youth interviews verified the program always exceeds the staff-to-youth ratios, including surpassing the 1:16 nighttime ratio as required by federal PREA standards.

***Provision (d)***

The program uses a formal document to review the staffing plan on an annual basis. The annual facility staffing plan review includes a detailed discussion of each of the 11 areas outlined in PREA standard 115.313 (a). The auditor reviewed the most recent annual staffing plan review (Gardner-Betts Juvenile Justice Center Facility Staffing Plan Review - 12/20/2022) to verify compliance with this standard provision. The annual staffing plan review document captured discussion on each of the required areas including current state, progress to address deficiencies, and actions taken. Specifically, the plan describes in detail prevailing staffing patterns; exploring monies for additional cameras in the mediation hallway; installation of an additional camera based on the Security Operations Division Manager's assessment; and staff training needs (none at this time).

In addition, the Gardner-Betts Annual Staffing Plan Review Minutes (12/20/2022) which included a participant roster was reviewed by the auditor as further evidence for compliance. The auditor noted signatures of 23 mid-to-high level managers including but not limited to, the Travis County Agency PREA Coordinator, the Gardner-Betts PCM, Chief Juvenile Probation Officer, HR Division Director, Division Director of Detention Services, Accreditation and Compliance Officers, Medical Services Director, and General Counsel. The auditor applauds Travis County Probation leaders for their commitment to developing an effective staffing plan and reviewing it on an annual basis.

***Provision (e)***

The agency has a formal policy to ensure unannounced rounds are conducted. Agency Policy AS-902 "Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and harassment" states: "Shift Supervisors will go through the facilities throughout their shifts to ensure the staff are positioned appropriately and are providing the appropriate levels of supervision....The management team, comprised of the Division Director, Division Manager, Casework Manager and Shift Supervisors, will make unannounced rounds in order to detect and deter sexual abuse and sexual harassment. (a) Rounds will be made routinely during all three shifts. (b) On-duty staff will not alert other staff of the rounds unless it is related to legitimate operational functions of the facility such as compliance monitoring visits or tours of non-Department officials. (c) Issues will be noted and immediately addressed. (d) The rounds and any corrections will be documented accordingly" (Section III, E 3-4). This same policy also states, "Resources will be made available to accommodate the adjustments of the staffing plan as indicated in the review." (Section III, D, 10).

The Annual Staffing Plan Review (12/20/2022) states, "The CFR 115.313(e) requires each secure facility to implement a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds (management walk-through) to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Such policy and practice shall be implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts. The Department Policy AS-902 requires the Division Director, Division Managers, Casework Managers, and Shift Supervisors to make unannounced rounds routinely on all three shifts. The PREA Resource Center suggest that unannounced rounds occur, at a minimum of once per month to comply with the standard."

The Gardner-Betts facility maintains a report/detailed chart that tracks the number of unannounced rounds conducted per area (detention and/or intake) and across day of the week and shift (shifts include 6 AM -2 PM; 2 PM - 10 PM; and 10 PM - 6 PM). According to the document in a 12-month period (October 1,2021 - September 20, 2022) there were a total of 53 rounds conducted in detention and 36 rounds conducted in intake unit. These included all shifts and days of the week (including weekend). It was noted in Gardner-Betts Annual Staffing Plan Review Minutes (12/ 20/2022) that it was decided that the Compliance Unit would implement a practice of sending out the unannounced rounds reports on a quarterly basis rather than the end of the year. This will allow managers to identify trends and deficiencies in a timely manner.

The program uses the Travis County Juvenile Probation Management Walk-Through form to document its unannounced rounds. A review of the form indicated that the manager completing the form is required to observe a number of activities and possible safety security risks in various areas throughout the facility (i.e., classrooms, residential units, kitchen, gym, visitation area, etc.). The checklist includes a variety of important items such as whether staff were properly supervising youth; whether staff were appropriately positioned to allow adequate supervision; and to indicate other observations/ comments about the walkthrough.

The auditor reviewed the Management Walkthrough Excel tracking sheet (May 2022 - May 2023) and selected a random sample of completed checklists to review. Each of the completed forms were completed by intermediate and high-level managers across all shifts and on weekends/weekdays. The pattern for conducting these rounds appears to be random. Review of the completed rounds forms indicated there are a minimum of three rounds documented per month, although all staff reported that facility managers walk through the units unannounced multiple times throughout their shift. Staff also reported that these visits are unexpected and they are not alerted to when these rounds will occur. The management walkthrough tracking sheet (rounds conducted between May 2022 and May 2023) indicated there were a total of 131 unannounced rounds conducted and documented within the 13-month period across all shifts. This is an average of 10 rounds per month. The auditor noted that between the time period of May 2022 - December 2022 (8-month period) there were 42 documented walkthroughs completed (average of more than 5 a month). It was noted that there was only one round conducted on a Saturday, although all other days of week were adequately covered. However, the auditor's review revealed that this issue was remedied during the first two quarters of 2023, as the sample included representation from all days of the week and shifts, with a heavy portion of the rounds conducted on Saturday and Sunday.

The review indicates the Gardner-Betts facility is conducting rounds that exceed the minimum number dictated by PREA standards. These unannounced rounds are conducted across all shifts and across all days of the week, including the weekends. Documentation verified that these rounds appear to be random and unpredictable. Interviews with staff supported that they are not alerted to when supervisors and other upper-level managers will be coming through the unit.

The fact that the facility exceeds the staff-to-youth ratios; conducts unannounced

	<p>rounds several times throughout all shifts; that additional unannounced rounds are conducted by the PCM, Director of Detention Services, and the Case Work Manager (in addition to the daily rounds); and that the agency/facility has formal policies and an accompanying form to guide and document these rounds, allows the auditor to conclude the facility “exceeds” this PREA standard.</p>
--	--

<b>115.315</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>
----------------	--

	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
--	---

	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p>
--	----------------------------------

	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p>
--	--

- Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment”
- Gardner-Betts Annual Staffing Plan Review Minutes (12/20/2022)
- Policy 5-DS-5 “Juvenile Supervision and Movement”
- Policy 5-DS-8 “Searches”
- Policy AS-1203 “LGBTQI Juveniles”
- Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Housing Screening tool
- Cross-gender and Transgender Pat Searches Training PowerPoint
- Cross-gender searches training produced by the Moss Group and that is available on the PREA Resource Center website ([https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bV9a0R\\_f\\_z0](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bV9a0R_f_z0))
- Interviews with Shift Supervisors
- Interviews with random direct care staff across all shifts
- Interviews with random sample of youth
- Review of staff training records
- Observations during facility tour

**Provisions (a) (b) and (c)**

The Gardner-Betts facility does not conduct cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches (meaning a search of the anal or genital opening) except in exigent circumstances. However, Juvenile Detention Officers (JDOs) are formally trained on how to conduct cross-gender pat searches in the event a transgender or intersex youth prefers to be searched by the opposite gender. The county uses the training video produced by the Moss Group and that is available on the PREA Resource Center website ([https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bV9a0R\\_f\\_z0](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bV9a0R_f_z0)) as part of new hire training. Staff are required to demonstrate cross-gender searches during annual refresher training. Staff and youth interviews verified cross-gender searches are prohibited except in exigent circumstances (or as preferred by transgender and intersex youth). Review of staff training records also verified staff are required to complete the formal training



"PREA Cross Gender Pat Searches" at least every other year.

The facility has a formal policy addressing several provisions in this standard. Policy 5-DS-8 "Searches" states:

- "Juveniles will only be subjected to the following types of searches. 1. Frisk Search or Pat down Search a. A frisk search is conducted as necessary for facility security and safety. b. Juveniles will be frisk searched upon admission to the facility and when moving from one area of the facility to another. c. Female JDOs will frisk search female juveniles and male JDOs will frisk search male juveniles" (Section III, C, 1 a-c).
- "A juvenile who identifies themselves in the opposite gender may be frisk searched by staff of the same gender when in the best interest of the youth and as necessary for facility safety and security" (Section III, C 1(e)).
- "The specific factual basis a strip Search will be documented, in writing, on an Authorization for a Strip Search Form (Attached). The Detention Division Manager, Director of Detention Services, Deputy Chief Juvenile Probation Officer (Deputy Chief) or the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer (Chief) must review and approve the strip search prior to it being performed...Strip searches will be conducted by a staff member of the same gender as the juvenile. Another staff member, also of the same gender of the juvenile, will be present as a witness to the search...A strip search will be performed in an area that insures the privacy and dignity of the individual" (Section III, C, 3 (b)).

All staff and youth interviewed verified that male staff only search male youth, and female staff only search female youth. All staff were aware that transgender and intersex youth are allowed to be searched by staff whose gender they are most comfortable.

***Provision (d)***

Youth residing in the Gardner-Betts facility have privacy when using the bathroom and when changing their clothes. The facility is designed with a private bathroom/shower on each residential living unit that allows youth to shower individually with the door closed. As previously mentioned, some of the living units are equipped with wet rooms. When a youth is using the toilet located in their room, staff place a sign over the door window to allow for privacy. Staff are required to knock and verbally communicate with youth before removing the privacy shield/sign. Observations during the facility tour and youth interviews confirmed that youth have privacy when showering, toileting, and changing clothes.

Youth are required to change clothes in the bathroom or in their individual rooms with the door closed. They are not permitted to come out of their rooms unless they are fully clothed. Before entering a youth's room, all staff are trained to first knock and ask to enter. If a youth replies that he needs a few minutes, then the staff will not enter the room (unless a clinician feels youth is in danger of harming himself). In addition, prior to entering the residential living unit staff are required to announce

themselves. All youth verified staff follow this procedure of knocking and asking before entering the individual youth's room as well as when they are about to enter the living unit.

To support the practices ensuring youth privacy, the facility has established clear expectations in formal policy. Facility Policy 5-DS-5 "Juvenile Supervision and Movement" directs, "JDOs shall be prohibited from supervising and visually observing juveniles of the opposite gender during certain times. Same sex staff shall be the sole supervisor of juveniles during these instances: a. Showers; b. Physical searches; c. Pat down searches; d. Disrobing of juveniles; e. Supervision of hospitalized juveniles; or f. Other instances that might require a JDO of the same sex. (Section III, B (2)). This same policy also states, "3. To ensure the privacy of the residents, staff of the opposite gender will announce their presence when entering the housing units" (Section III, B, (3)). The agency Policy AS-902 "Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment" reiterates these expectations by stating, "To ensure the privacy of the residents, staff of the opposite gender will announce their presence when entering the housing units" (Section III, E (5)).

In further support of this provision, the Gardner-Betts Annual Staffing Plan Review Minutes (12/20/2022) states, "TJJD standards 343.430 require Juvenile Supervision Officers of both genders be on duty and available to the resident for every shift; Detention Services has male and female Juvenile Detention Officers (JDOs) assigned to each shift. Same-gender supervision is required during showers, disrobing, 1:1 supervision, and during other times that may require the presence of an officer of the same gender. Visual supervision by staff of the opposite-gender is prohibited during the times previously referenced. TJJD standards are consistent with the requirements outlined in PREA. To reinforce same-gender supervision, staff are required to announce their presence when entering a housing unit of the opposite gender" (page 3).

***Provision (e)***

Agency and facility policies support provision (e) that places restrictions on searching transgender and intersex youth. Specifically, facility Policy 5-DS-8 "Searches" states, "Staff will not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex resident for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status" (Section III, B, 8). Similarly, agency Policy AS-1203 "LGBTQI Juveniles" directs, "Staff will ensure that transgender or intersex juveniles are not subjected to strip searches solely to determine genital status or invasive medical procedures to identify an individual's sex. Information about a juvenile's genital status will be determined through conversations with the juvenile, review of available medical records or through a broader medical examination conducted privately by a medical practitioner." Staff interviews verified this policy is closely adhered to.

***Provision (f)***

Travis County Probation requires all Juvenile Detention Officers be formally trained on how to conduct cross-gender pat searches in the event a transgender or intersex youth prefers to be searched by the opposite gender. The county uses the training

	<p>video produced by the Moss Group and that is available on the PREA Resource Center website (<a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bV9a0R_f_z0">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bV9a0R_f_z0</a>) to assist in training new hires. Staff and youth interviews verified cross-gender searches are prohibited except in exigent circumstances (or as preferred by transgender and intersex youth). Staff interviews also verified they have been formally trained on how to conduct cross-gender searches and that these searches are only conducted in exigent circumstances (or as preferred by transgender or intersex youth).</p> <p>Information regarding a transgender or intersex youth’s preference for being searched is gathered at intake and documented on the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Housing Screening tool. The form has questions specifically for transgendered and intersex residents only. The intake screener is required to ask all youth their sexual orientation and to ask transgender and intersex youth what his/her preferred pronoun (he/him; she/her; they/them) and by whom they prefer to be searched. The facility practice is consistent with this standard and includes communicating the transgender and intersex youth’s preference to Shift Supervisors and the facility control center.</p> <p>The vulnerability risk assessment conducted by the nurses at intake inquires about a youth’s sexual identity. More specifically, when a youth identifies as transgender or intersex two questions are asked: Identified Pronoun (he/him; she/her; ze/hir) and Preferred search by male or female staff? Shift Supervisors are responsible for ensuring daily compliance with this expectation. At the time of the onsite review there were no transgendered or intersex youth in the facility. Staff reported that they are aware that facility must comply with a transgender and intersex youth’s preference for searches.</p> <p>All evidence reviewed (i.e., policies, documents, youth and staff interviews, facility tour observations, etc.) allows the auditor to conclude the facility is in compliance on all provisions in this standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.316</b>	<b>Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties”</li> <li>• Policy 5-DS-23 “Housing Classification”</li> <li>• Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment”</li> <li>• Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties”</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Accreditation and Compliance</li> <li>• Interview with Division Director (Facility Administrator)</li> </ul>

- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with JJPO intake staff
- Interviews with random direct care staff across all shifts

***Provision (a) and (b)***

The agency takes appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities (i.e., residents who are deaf or hard of hearing, those who are blind or have low vision, or those who have intellectual, psychiatric, or speech disabilities) or are limited English proficient have an equal opportunity to participate in the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Interviews with the Director of Accreditation and Compliance (designee for the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer), PCM, and facility managers verified interpreter services are available telephonically through the Language Line. In addition, interviews with intake staff and other direct care staff verified that if the facility housed youth with a disability or who are limited English proficient, these youth would also be provided with translation services as needed.

The facility's current practice is to read PREA education materials to youth at intake and to check for understanding. In support of these provisions, the facility Policy 5-DS-23 "Housing Classification" (page 7) states, "Juveniles with disabilities will be housed in a manner that provides for their safety and security. Juveniles with disabilities will have access to all appropriate programs, activities and will be integrated with the general population." To supplement the PREA education provided at intake, youth are required to participate in a PREA group within ten days of arrival (these groups are provided every Saturday). Interviews revealed that in the event a youth was limited English proficient or had a disability, certified translators would be provided to interpret this education information individually to youth. The facility currently has PREA education materials (i.e., abuse hotline posters, youth handbook, etc.) in English and Spanish. (i.e., zero tolerance, mandated reporting, how to file a grievance, etc.) onsite.

In addition, agency Policy AS-902 "Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and harassment" describes that youth will receive information on how to avoid high-risk situations and how to report incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. More specifically the policy directs, "Appropriate steps will be taken to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the Department's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Steps taken include, but are not limited to: a. Ensuring the information uses terminology appropriate to the residents' age, sophistication, and intelligence; b. Ensuring the information is accessible to residents with physical or intellectual disabilities; c. Providing formats in English and Spanish; d. Providing interpreters as needed; and e. Ensuring the staff read the information out loud if the resident has limited reading skills or a literacy issue exists" (Section III, G). Youth and staff interviews verified these practices are in place.

During the onsite review an ESL youth was provided an interpreter to participate in the audit interview.

**Provision (c)**

Interviews with program managers, direct care staff, and agency leaders all verified they would not allow residents to interpret for other youth, except in emergency situations. Facility Policy 4-DS-11 "First Responder Duties" states, "In the event a juvenile makes an outcry that he or she was sexually harassed. The first person of knowledge will: 1. Identify any language barrier; a. If a barrier exists, necessary steps will be taken to get a staff interpreter. b. Juveniles will not be used to interpret, read, or otherwise assist except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise safety, the performance of first-response duties, or an investigation....If a juvenile interpreter is used, staff will include the information in the documentation" (Section III, C, 1). This expectation and similar language also appears in agency Policy AS-903 "First Responder Duties" Staff interviews verified their understanding that they do not allow other youth to translate for staff. All staff reported they have access to many Spanish speaking staff as well as the Language Line.

At the time of the onsite review, there was one youth in the facility who was limited English proficient. The youth participated in the audit interview and a translator was provided. There were no youth who were deaf, blind, or who had physical disabilities in the program at the time of the onsite visit.

All evidence reviewed (i.e., policies, documents, staff interviews, etc.) allows the auditor to conclude the facility is in compliance on all provisions in this standard.

115.317	Hiring and promotion decisions
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Policy AS-203 "Personnel Selections, Retention, and Promotion"</li><li>• Policy AS-209 "Code of Ethics/Staff Juvenile Relationships"</li><li>• Policy AS- 1001 "Citizen, Volunteer, and Intern Services"</li><li>• Interlocal Agreement Travis County Probation Department and the Austin Independent School District</li><li>• Affirmative Duty to Disclose form</li><li>• Facility list of volunteers, contractors, and interns</li><li>• Volunteer/Intern Handbook 2020</li><li>• Interview with Administrative Services Senior Director (Human Resources)</li><li>• Interview with HR Specialist</li></ul>

- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- Personnel file reviews confirming all staff, volunteers, and contractors have criminal background and abuse registry checks (upon hire and a minimum of every five years)

***Provision (a)***

The Gardner-Betts facility does not hire or promote any individuals who have engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, or juvenile facility. The facility also does not hire any individuals who have been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity that was facilitated by force or coercion. The Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Policy AS-203 “Personnel Selections, Retention, and Promotion” clearly states:

“An individual will not be eligible for employment and will be disqualified from performing services if the following information is disclosed on the person’s criminal history or background check:

- a. A current felony or jailable deferred adjudication, probation, or parole;
- b. A felony conviction against the law of any state or the United States (US within the past ten (10) years);
- c. A deferred adjudication for a felony against the laws of any state or the US within the past ten (10) years;
- d. A jailable misdemeanor conviction agencies the laws of any state or the US within the past five (5) years;
- e. A deferred adjudication for a jailable misdemeanor against the laws any state or the US within the past five (5) years;
- f. The requirement to register as a sex offender under Chapter 62 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure;
- g. The individual has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution;
- h. The individual has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse;
- i. The individual has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in 3 g and 3 h; or
- j. The individual is listed on a child abuse registry” (Section III, F).

In addition, the Travis County agency Policy AS-209 “Code of Ethics/Staff Juvenile Relationships” dictates, “Juvenile justice professionals must not: be designated as a perpetrator in an abuse, exploitation, and neglect investigation conducted by TJJD

under Chapter 350 of this title and Texas Family Code Chapter 261” (Section III, B).

The Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Policy AS-1001 “Citizen, Volunteer, and Intern Services (page 3-4) clearly upholds PREA expectations outlined in standard 115.317 (a). The policy specifically states, “An individual will not be eligible to perform services and will be disqualified from performing services if the following information is disclosed on the person’s criminal history or background check: a. A current felony or jailable deferred adjudication, probation or parole; b. A felony conviction against the laws of any state or the United States (US) within the past ten (10) years; c. A deferred adjudication for a felony against the laws of any state or the US within the past ten (10) years; d. A jailable misdemeanor conviction against the laws of any state or the US within the past five (5) years; e. A deferred adjudication for a jailable misdemeanor against the laws any state or the US within the past five (5) years; f. The requirement to register as a sex offender under Chapter 62 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure; g. The individual has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution; h. The individual has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; i. The individual has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in 2 g and 2 h; or j. The individual is listed on a child abuse registry.”

In further support of DOJ PREA expectations, agency Policy AS-203 “Personnel Selections, Retention, and Promotion” states, “Initial selection and promotion will be made based on experience, education, training, performance, and physical condition as required for specific positions....Applicants must successfully pass a background check, which includes: a. a local, state and federal criminal history check; b. a sex offender registry check; c. a child abuse registry check; d. professional reference checks; and e. other screening processes adopted by the Department.”

Interviews with human resource staff, agency leaders, and facility managers confirmed the agency’s practices related to background checks. In addition, a review of a random sample of staff criminal background and abuse registry records (N=24 employees; N=4 volunteers; N=4 Contractors) verified the agency does not hire or promote anyone who meet the criteria outlined in provision (a) of this standard. The random sample selected included three staff members who were promoted.

***Provision (b)***

The PREA standard provision 115.317 (b) requires the agency to consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents. Currently, the facility requires employees to disclose incidents of sexual harassment prior to hire and promotion by completing the Affirmative Duty to Disclose form every two years. In support of this practice, the agency Policy AS-203 “Personnel

Selections, Retention, and Promotion” upholds, “The Department will consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire, promote, or enlist the services of any contractor or staff that may have contact with residents” (Section III, F, 7). This same policy also states “1. The Department will ask prospective employees directly about any previous sexual harassment allegations and misconduct (as described in #3 below) during the application process. Material omissions regarding misconduct or providing false information will be grounds for termination.” (Section III, F, 2). A review of HR files indicated all applicants (staff, contractors, and volunteers) are required to disclose previous incidents of misconduct. The facility also conducts reference checks (typically three previous employers) to attempt to gather information related to previous misconduct, including sexual harassment.

***Provision (c)***

As previously stated, the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Policy AS-203 “Personnel Selections, Retention, and Promotion” includes specific requirements regarding which background checks are required and how often. In addition, interviews verified the agency’s practice of conducting criminal background and abuse registry checks prior to hiring new employees. The agency conducts these requisite checks prior to hire and every two years for all Gardner-Betts employees. The agency requires all Juvenile Detention Officers to be recertified every two years and includes these checks as part of the certification process. Non-certified employees, contractors, interns, and volunteers are required to undergo background checks at least every five years (Policy AS-203, Section III, F, 8). In addition to this practice, an interview with the Travis County Administrative Services Senior Director (human resources) verified the agency is fulfilling its obligations set forth in this provision.

Prior to making a job offer, human resources staff contact previous employers to gather information. This practice is supported by agency Policy AS-203 “Personnel Selections, Retention, and Promotion” which directs, “The Department will make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse” (Section III, F, 7).

While onsite the auditor randomly selected a sample of personnel files to review, making sure all job classifications were represented. The sample was determined by selecting every fourth name on a list of all staff (part time and full time). The sample included all current Gardner-Betts employees. Approximately 40% of all personnel files were reviewed (i.e., n=24). The file reviews revealed that all current employee, contractor, and volunteer criminal background checks have been conducted prior to beginning work with youth.

***Provisions (d) and (e)***

Agency Policy AS-203 “Personnel Selections, Retention, and Promotion” requires, “criminal history and child abuse registry checks be conducted every two (2) years for certified officers and at least every five (5) years for non-certified staff,



contractors, interns and volunteers” (Section III, F, 8).

The Agency Policy AS- 1001 “Citizen, Volunteer, and Intern Services” (page 3) states, “Volunteers and interns must meet the age requirements and be of good moral character. 1. Applicants must successfully pass a background check, which includes: a. a local, state and federal criminal history check; b. a sex offender registry check; c. a child abuse registry check; professional reference checks; and d. other screening processes adopted by the Department.” A review of a sample of volunteer files supported that these individuals are required to undergo the requisite background checks consistent with PREA expectations.

DOJ PREA standards require that agencies perform criminal background checks and abuse registry checks prior to enlisting the services of contractors who may have contract with residents. Travis County has a contract with the local school district to provide youth education services onsite at the Gardner-Betts facility. This contract was reviewed by the auditor. The auditor verified contract language requires all teachers at Gardner-Betts undergo criminal background and abuse registry checks; are required to disclose any acts of misconduct; and are required to report any allegations of sexual abuse (if youth report) consistent with state mandatory reporting laws. A review of contracted teacher files (N=4) verified all teachers have had the requisite criminal background and abuse registry checks.

As part of the audit determination process, the auditor randomly selected a sample of personnel files to review, making sure all job classifications were represented. The sample was determined by selecting every fourth name on a list of all staff (part-time and full-time). The sample included all current Gardner-Betts employees. Approximately 40% of all personnel files were reviewed (i.e., n=24). The file reviews revealed that all Shift Supervisors, facility administrators, JDOs, and case work managers completed the criminal background checks prior to beginning work and subsequently every two years as per agency policy. There were three clinical interns (employees) and five nurses included in the sample. Of these five files, three of these nurses showed a large gap in the time between criminal background checks. More specifically, one nurse had an 11-year gap between background checks; one nurse had an 8-year gap; and one nurse had a six-year gap. In other words, 60% of the nurses in the sample (N=5) had not received a background check consistent with the five-year required timeframe as per standard 115.317 (e). However, information obtained from agency and facility leaders explained that the criminal background check requirement was not implemented until December 2021. All nurse files in the sample had a criminal background check in the past four years and are not due for another background check until 2025. Since the auditor’s review of other employee and contractor files provided consistent evidence that these individuals received a criminal background check every five years, the auditor expects that the five-year background checks (every two years for JDOs) will be completed moving forward. Therefore, the program is “in compliance” with this provision.

It is important to note that in the past two years, Travis County Probation Department has established the practice of enrolling all new staff in a national

system that will alert the agency if any staff members are arrested. However, the HR Specialist confirmed and file reviews supported that not all staff members (particularly those who have been employed for some time) are currently enrolled.

***Provision (f)***

PREA standard 115.317 (f) requires, “The agency shall also ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions and in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees. The agency shall also impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct.” The agency has several policies and documents to support compliance with expectations set forth in this provision. More specifically, Travis County agency policy AS-203 “Personnel Selections, Retention, and Promotion” requires the department to “... ask prospective employees directly about any previous sexual harassment allegations and misconduct...during the application process” (Section III, F, 1).

Interviews with human resource staff verified the agency requires all potential staff members complete the Affirmative Duty to Disclose form prior to hire or a promotion and as part of annual performance reviews, since this practice was formally implemented in 2021. A review of a random sample of staff files (N=24) verified all staff have completed this form every year as per policy.

The Travis County Probation Department also requires an affirmative duty to disclose previous or recent misconduct for volunteers and interns. More specifically, agency Policy AS-1001 “Citizen, Volunteer, and Intern Services” (page 5) requires volunteers and interns to inform the Volunteer Coordinator of any law violation charges within 48 hours. All volunteers and interns sign a form acknowledging they understand this requirement. In addition, the agency Policy AS-1001 “Citizen, Volunteer, and Intern Services” (page 7) explains, “Any volunteer or intern that fails to maintain the appropriate qualifications or criminal history as outlined in B (1) and (2) of this policy will be subject to termination or suspension of the person’s services.” The policy (pages 7-8) also clearly states, “Any volunteer or intern that engages in any form of abuse, neglect or exploitation, to include sexual abuse or sexual harassment, will be prohibited from having contact with juveniles. a. The conduct will be reported to the appropriate authorities per AS-901: Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation. b. Any ethical violations will be reported to the relevant licensing or certification agency as outlined in AS-209: Code of Ethics / Staff - Juvenile Relationships. 4. Any identification card will be returned to the Department upon termination or suspension of a person’s services.” A review of volunteer files (N=4) verified this practice is in place.

***Provision (g)***

The agency sets clear expectations regarding staff omitting misconduct information. More specifically, the Travis County agency Policy AS-203 “Personnel Selections, Retention, and Promotion” upholds, “1. The Department will ask prospective employees directly about any previous sexual harassment allegations and

misconduct (as described in #3 below) during the application process. Material omissions regarding misconduct or providing false information will be grounds for termination” (Section III, F, 2). This same policy also states, “The Department will consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire, promote, or enlist the services of any contractor or staff that may have contact with residents” (Section III, F, 7). Staff interviews verified that staff understand their employment will be terminated if they do not disclose this information. As part of annual reviews and/or promotions, staff are required to read and sign a form disclosing any misconduct not yet brought to the agency’s attention.

**Provision (h)**

Agency Policy AS-203 “Personnel Selection, Retention, and Promotion” clearly states, “Criminal history and child abuse registry checks will be conducted every two (2) years for certified officers and at least every five (5) years for non-certified staff, contractors, interns, and volunteers... c. The Department will provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer to whom he or she has applied.” (Section III, H, 8c). Interviews with the Administrative Services Senior Director (Human Resources) and HR Specialist revealed that after seeking counsel from the agency legal representative, the agency would provide information to future employees regarding substantiated cases of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The program has not had a request from another institutional employer and therefore, has not had to provide this information.

<b>115.318</b>	<b>Upgrades to facilities and technologies</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interview with Director of Accreditation and Compliance (designee for the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer)</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Detention Services (facility administrator)</li> <li>• Observations during facility audit tour</li> </ul> <p>In 2019, the Gardner-Betts facility installed additional surveillance cameras in the residential units, school classrooms/areas, breezeways, as well as cameras to monitor outside of the buildings. However, since the last PREA audit (2021) there have been no major modifications to the physical plant or additional cameras installed. At the time of the onsite review (June 2023), there are over 250 cameras strategically located throughout the facility. Interviews with agency and facility leaders verified that the decision to install additional cameras was made based on</p>

	<p>the desire to better ensure youth and staff safety. Since the last audit, the facility did install one new camera in the breezeway to address potential blind spots. Examples provided during staff interviews demonstrated the facility was intentional about addressing all potential blind spots and that the agency secured the needed resources to purchase and install additional cameras.</p>
--	---

<b>115.321</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-217 B “Conducting Administrative Investigations”</li> <li>• Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications”</li> <li>• Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse”</li> <li>• MOU between Travis County Juvenile Department and Safe Alliance - Travis County Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Survival Center (executed February 2019)</li> <li>• Copy of counseling license for the facility’s Clinician</li> <li>• Interview with the Director of Detention Services</li> <li>• Interview with facility Clinician</li> <li>• Interviews with direct care staff across all shifts</li> <li>• Interview with PREA Compliance Manager</li> <li>• Interviews with facility Nurses</li> <li>• Travis County Probation Department website describing investigative responsibilities of the agency and who conducts criminal investigations - Juvenile Court (traviscountytexas.gov)</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a)</b></p> <p>The Travis County Compliance Officers who have received specialized investigations training are responsible for conducting administrative/personnel investigations related to any violations of agency policies, including ethical misconduct. In cases of sexual abuse, first responders are required to secure the scene and contact the State of Texas Juvenile Justice Department and local law enforcement. Travis County Sheriff’s Office has an officer located on the Gardner-Betts campus and therefore, are able to respond quickly to allegations of sexual abuse. The agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” supports the practice that all allegations are investigated. More specifically, the policy states, “The Department will ensure that all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are investigated. The Department will promptly and fully investigate all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment as outlined in AS-217 B: Conducting Administrative Investigations” (Section III, B).</p>

Although the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department is not responsible for conducting criminal investigations, staff are trained on first responder duties which includes securing the scene and preserving evidence (i.e., telling youth not to shower, wash, brush teeth, use toilet, or change clothes) prior to law enforcement arriving to the scene. Local law enforcement is responsible for formally collecting physical evidence. Policy AS-217 B further supports this provision by stating, "The investigator will gather, preserve and review any available direct and circumstantial evidence. Evidence includes, but is not limited to: a. Incident reports; b. Correspondence with law enforcement; c. Correspondence with oversight agencies such as TJJD or DSHS; d. Training records of the staff member(s); e. Demographic information of the juvenile(s) involved; f. Medical information as available; g. Staff or juvenile rosters; h. Photographs or other physical evidence; i. Electronic monitoring data as available; and j. Any other relevant information" (Section III, D, 2).

During the onsite visit, nearly all staff interviewed revealed they understood the protocol and verbalized the process of separating youth and protecting evidence in the event of a sexual abuse allegation. In all cases, JDO all reported as part of the process that they are required to immediately alert their supervisors for direction on next steps. During interviews with Shift Supervisors, all supervisors reported the necessary first responder steps - i.e., separating the victim and perpetrator, telling the youth not to shower, preserving the scene (including clothes), etc.

***Provision (b)***

The Gardner-Betts facility employs more than a dozen Registered Nurses (RNs) and Head Nurse/Nurse Charge. None of the RNs are qualified Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE). Interviews revealed that the facility's coordinated response protocol requires that in the event of alleged abuse, the youth would be transported to the local hospital for a forensic examination by a SANE. The auditor made two attempts via telephone (messages left on 6/06/2023 and 6/13/2023) to connect with the SANE Manager at the hospital but the messages were not returned. In addition, the hospital SANE policy was not posted on the hospital's website. That said, the Travis County Probation Department's Policy AS-905 "Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse" requires, "a. As available, the forensic exam will be provided by a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) or Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or another qualified medical practitioner. b. The forensic protocol will be developmentally appropriate for youth and to the extent possible, be based on the protocol for sexual assault medical forensic examinations. c. Victims of sexual abuse shall be offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections (STIs) prophylaxis in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, whenever medically appropriate. Victims will be entitled to these services even if they decline a SANE or SAFE examination." (Section III, B, 3).

***Provision (c)***

As previously mentioned, although the Gardner-Betts facility employs more than a dozen Registered Nurses (RNs), none of the RNs are qualified Sexual Assault Nurse

Examiner (SANE). Therefore, in response to allegations of sexual abuse, youth would be transported to the local hospital for a forensic examination by a certified SANE. The auditor made two attempts via telephone to connect with the SANE Manager at the local hospital to confirm the hospital's SANE protocols. The auditor did not receive a call back in response to the two phone messages that were left. That said, Travis County has policies to support provisions in these standards. Agency Policy AS-905 "Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse" states, "a. Referrals to medical providers, to include an individual that is appropriately trained and qualified to perform a forensic examination" (Section III, A, 1a.).

In addition, this same policy also upholds: "Medical Services will be made available to juvenile victims of sexual abuse. 1. Determinations as to what testing and treatment services are medically necessary and appropriate will be made by a medical professional in accordance with their professional judgement. 2. If the abuse occurred in a facility or juvenile justice program, the cost of the treatment services will not be assessed to the victim or his or her family and will be provided whether or not the victim names the abuser and / or cooperates with any administrative or criminal investigation. 3. As evidentiarily or medically appropriate, the Department will transport juvenile victims of sexual abuse to a hospital, clinic, or emergency room that can provide a forensic examination. As available, the forensic exam will be provided by Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) or Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or other qualified medical practitioner" (Section III, B 1-3). This same policy also states, "If the abuse occurred in a facility or juvenile justice program, the cost of the treatment services will not be assessed to the victim or their family and will be provided whether or not the victim names the abuser and/ or cooperates with any administrative or criminal investigation" (Section III, C3).

Interviews with the agency leaders, Director of Detention Services, PCM, and facility Nurses verified SANE services would be provided without cost to the victim.

***Provision (d)***

In support of this standard provision, the Travis County Juvenile Department has secured an executed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with a local victim advocacy center, Safe Alliance (formerly Safe Place). The MOU was executed in February 2019.

In support of provisions in this standard, the Travis County Juvenile Department has secured an executed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with a local victim advocacy center, Safe Alliance (formerly Safe Place). The MOU was executed in February 2019. The MOU provides details regarding the responsibilities of each party. For example the MOU states that SAFE will provide victim support services seven days a week; complete specialized training regarding the prevalence and dynamics of sexual abuse while in custody; provide juveniles with referrals for treatment after release from custody or upon transfer to another facility; provide a victim advocate upon request from the juvenile department or youth; allow the victim advocate to accompany and support the victim during the SANE exam and during investigatory interviews; etc. Interviews with facility managers verified that

sexual abuse victims would be offered emotional support services.

***Provision (e)***

The Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” states, “Mental health services and crisis intervention counseling will be made available to juvenile victims of sexual abuse” (Section III, C). This policy also clearly states, “Victim advocate services providing support, crisis intervention, information, and additional referrals are available to all victims of sexual abuse” (Section III, E) and “The mental health professional will make the determination of appropriate counseling referrals, to include crisis intervention services, follow-up services, treatment plans and referrals for continued care” (Section III, C2). Interviews with clinical staff, nurses, and facility managers verified youth would be offered a victim advocate as part of the facility’s coordinated response protocol. The auditor reminds the facility that these services should automatically be offered to the victim (rather than relying on a victim who has been traumatized to remember to ask for these services).

Other agency policies support this standard provision. More specifically, agency Policy AS 217B “Conducting Administrative Investigations states “...victim advocate or a support person of the victim’s choosing shall be allowed to be present during the interview unless it would be harmful to the investigation.” Similarly, agency Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” states, “If requested by the juvenile, a victim advocate or qualified individual from a community-based organization will accompany and support the juvenile through the forensic medical examination and investigatory interviews” (Section III, E3).

In further support of PREA expectations and as previously mentioned, the MOU between Travis County Juvenile Department and Safe Alliance (executed February 2019) states that Safe Alliance will: “Provide a victim advocate upon request from TCJPD or a juvenile who will receive a forensic medical examination as a result of suffering sexual violence. They victim advocate shall accompany and support the victim during the examination process and investigatory interviews, as well as provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information and referrals.”

***Provisions (f) and (g)***

As previously mentioned, the Travis County Sheriff’s Office and the State of Texas Department of Juvenile Justice are responsible for conducting sexual abuse investigations. Individuals leading these investigations have received training on preserving physical evidence. Since the auditor could not connect with the SANE manager of the local hospital, she is unable to verify the process for conducting these SANE exams.

***Provision (h)***

The Gardner-Betts Juvenile Justice Center employs one clinician who is a Licensed Professional Counselor (LPC). The auditor was shown a copy of the clinician’s credentials during the file reviews to verify he is qualified to provide emotional

	<p>support services as needed.</p> <p>All evidence reviewed (i.e., policies, documents, youth and staff interviews, facility tour observations, etc.) allows the auditor to conclude the facility is in compliance on all provisions in this standard.</p>
--	--

<b>115.322</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-217 “Administrative Investigations”</li> <li>• Policy AS-217 B “Conducting Administrative Investigations”</li> <li>• Policy AS-901 “Abuse and Neglect Prevention and Response”</li> <li>• Referrals of Allegations for Investigations document posted on the Travis County website Juvenile Court (<a href="http://traviscountytexas.gov">traviscountytexas.gov</a>)</li> <li>• Texas Juvenile Justice Department website (<a href="https://www.tjjd.texas.gov">https://www.tjjd.texas.gov</a>)</li> <li>• TJJJ Policy “Zero Tolerance for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Activity, and Sexual Harassment”</li> <li>• PREA training Power Point for staff</li> <li>• Example of two completed investigation reports and supporting documents (2023)</li> <li>• Interviews with Juvenile Detention Officers (direct care staff)</li> <li>• Interviews with Travis County PREA Investigators</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Accreditation and Compliance (designee for the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer)</li> <li>• Interview with Direction of Detention Services</li> <li>• Travis County Grievance Review Form</li> <li>• Review of a sample of grievances</li> <li>• Grievance Excel tracking chart</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a)</b></p> <p>The Travis County Sherriff’s Office and the Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJJ) are responsible for conducting investigations of sexual abuse occurring at the Gardner-Betts Juvenile Justice Center. When an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment is made, first responders are required to make a report to TJJJ within four hours. If the allegation involves potentially criminal behavior first responders must contact the Travis County Sherriff’s Office within one hour of receiving the allegation. Investigators employed by Travis County and who are responsible for conducting PREA investigations at the Gardner-Betts facility would receive instruction from TJJJ and the local Sherriff’s office regarding how to proceed with the administrative investigation. Interviews with the PREA investigators revealed</p>



that at times the TJJD or the Sherriff's office assumes the Lead Investigator role and Gardner-Betts Investigators pause their involvement (i.e., refrain from interviewing the victim, witnesses, etc.). In other cases, the Travis County PREA investigators are permitted to conduct the administrative investigation simultaneously. These roles and responsibilities are detailed in the document titled, "Referrals of Allegations for Investigations" which is posted on the Travis County website Juvenile Court (traviscountytexas.gov).

The Travis County Probation Department requires all allegations of sexual abuse to be reported to the appropriate authorities and thoroughly investigated. The agency has several policies that support provisions in this standard. Agency Policy AS-904 Corrective Action and Notifications puts forth, "The Department will ensure that all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are investigated. 1. The Department will promptly and fully investigate all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment as outlined in AS-217 B: Conducting Administrative Investigations. 2. All allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment will be investigated regardless of how much time has passed since the alleged incident. All third-party reports will be investigated regardless of the approval or agreement of the alleged victim." (Section III, B 1-3).

In addition, agency Policy AS-217 "Administrative Investigations" directs, "The Department conducts administrative investigations of alleged violations of Department policy, procedure, contract or standard. The Chief Juvenile Probation Officer (Chief) or designee will designate an investigator to review an allegation made against an employee, intern, volunteer or contractor. An allegation may include, but is not limited to: abuse, neglect or exploitation of a juvenile, to include sexual abuse and sexual harassment; 2. discrimination, harassment, retaliation or sexual harassment; 3. a violation of the Department's Code of Ethics; 4. falsification of work related documentation; 5. use or abuse of alcohol and drugs; 6. employee grievance or complaint; and 7. other incidents as determined by the Chief or designee.. B. ....A thorough and competent investigation into the allegation will be conducted" (Section III, A - B).

There have been two allegations of sexual abuse or sexual misconduct at the Gardner-Betts facility in the past 12 months. The auditor reviewed both investigation reports and supplemental documents to verify a thorough investigation was conducted as a result of these investigations. In addition, the auditor reviewed a sample of grievances (non-sexual) to gather information regarding how the facility response to issues raised by youth. The facility has a form titled, "Travis County Grievance Review Form" on which the Gardner-Betts Case Work Manager logs the information obtained during the investigation; the date youth was notified of the outcome; and action taken. The form also includes a question asking youth whether they wish to appeal the decision and requires the youth to sign and date that they have received/discussed this information. The auditor also reviewed the Excel Grievance Tracking sheet which details the number of grievances, the type of grievance, the outcome/resolution, and whether there was an appeal to the grievance resolution. The auditor confidently determines that the facility has a clear process in place for addressing grievances and thoroughly

investigates all concerns raised by residents.

**Provision (b)**

As stated previously, the Travis County Probation Department has several policies that require all allegations to be reported to the proper authorities to be thoroughly investigated. These notifications include calling TX DJJ within four hours and if there is potentially criminal behavior, calling law enforcement within one hour. The agency Policy AS-901 “Abuse and Neglect Prevention and Response” (page 5) establishes clear expectations for referring allegations to law enforcement. The policy states, “The staff will notify the law enforcement agency having criminal investigation jurisdiction of the allegation, which includes Travis County Sherriff’s Department at the main campus and Austin Police Department and / or AISD Police Department at satellite office and program space” (Section III, C1). Staff are required to document these referrals/notifications in an incident report.

Interviews with the PREA investigators revealed that at times the TJJD or the Travis County Sherriff’s Office assumes the Lead Investigator role and Gardner-Betts investigators pause their involvement (i.e., refrain from interviewing the victim, witnesses, etc.). In other cases, the Travis County PREA investigators are permitted to conduct the administrative investigation simultaneously. These roles and responsibilities are detailed in the document titled, “Referrals of Allegations for Investigations” which is posted on the Travis County website Juvenile Court ([traviscountytexas.gov](http://traviscountytexas.gov)). This document clearly states, “The Department will ensure a specially-trained investigator conducts an administrative investigation into the allegation. The Department will ensure that any administrative investigation does not interfere with the TCSO or TJJD investigation. The Department will take any remedial action upon the findings that the allegation was founded.” The auditor verified the link to the document referenced is in working order.

Interviews with PREA investigators and direct care staff verified they understand the requirement to report all allegations of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation to the proper authorities immediately.

**Provision (c)**

The document “Referrals of Allegations for Investigations” defines the roles and responsibilities of the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department, Travis County Sherriff’s Office (TCSO), and the Department’s specialized investigators. This document is posted on the Travis County website (Juvenile Court ([traviscountytexas.gov](http://traviscountytexas.gov))).

**Provision (d)**

The Travis County Juvenile Probation Department has several policies to guide and govern how administrative investigations are conducted. Policy AS-217 “Administrative Investigations” and Policy AS-217 B “Conducting Administrative Investigations” provide detailed guidance regarding who will lead these investigations; evidence to be collected; criteria for substantiation; etc.

	<p>The Texas Juvenile Justice Department or the local Sherriff Department are responsible for conducting criminal investigations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Texas Juvenile Justice Department has a policy titled, “Zero Tolerance for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Activity, and Sexual Harassment” which includes language regarding conducting investigations. The auditor reviewed the state’s policy to verify compliance with this PREA provision.</p> <p><b>Provision (e)</b></p> <p>The Department of Justice (DOJ) does not conduct investigations at the Gardner-Betts facility.</p> <p>All evidence reviewed (i.e., policies, documents, staff interviews, etc.) allows the auditor to conclude the facility is in compliance on all provisions in this standard.</p>
--	--

<b>115.331</b>	<b>Employee training</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Exceeds Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-901 “Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation”</li> <li>• Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment”</li> <li>• Policy AS-401 “Staff Training and Development Plan”</li> <li>• Training Acknowledgment Form: PREA - Creating a Culture of Safety</li> <li>• Review of Power Point used for staff PREA training</li> <li>• Review of “First Responder Role” Staff Training Power Point</li> <li>• Review of training records verifying staff completed required PREA training on annual basis</li> <li>• Review of training records verifying staff completed the required attestation form</li> <li>• Interviews with Juvenile Detention Officers (direct care staff)</li> <li>• Interviews with specialized staff</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a)</b></p> <p>The Travis County Probation Department requires all staff to receive annual training on PREA. This expectation is memorialized in Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and harassment” which states, “The staff will receive annual training on sexual abuse and sexual harassment as outlined in AS-401” (Section III, C). Agency Policy AS-401 “Staff Training and Development Plan” also directs:</p> <p>“In addition to the topics listed in Subsection F 4. above, the Department requires the staff assigned to facilities to have training on PREA information (sexual abuse,</p>

assault and harassment) during orientation and on an annual basis. Training includes, but is not limited to:

- a. The Department's zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
  - b. Fulfilling the responsibilities under the Department's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures;
  - c. Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
  - d. The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
  - e. Dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in juvenile facilities;
  - f. Common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
  - g. Detecting and responding to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse and distinguishing between consensual sexual contact and sexual abuse between residents;
  - h. Avoiding inappropriate relationships with residents;
  - i. Communicating effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents; and
  - j. Complying with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities; and
  - k. Relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent.
- l. Certified officers shall be trained on conducting searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner in the least intrusive manner possible that is consistent with security needs." (Section III, F5).

Review of the PREA staff training PowerPoint slide deck provided clear evidence that all required DOJ training topics are covered in detail (i.e., zero tolerance, how to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents, how to communicate effectively and professionally with LGBTQI youth; etc.). Although, the PREA standards only require an annual refresher during the in-between years, the Gardner-Betts facility requires all employees to complete the comprehensive PREA training and complete the attestation form each year (see Provision d). In addition, the agency requires all staff to complete a separate "First Responder Role" training each year. This training includes detailed information regarding how to respond to allegations of sexual abuse (i.e., separate the victim, secure the scene, etc.). Review of training records indicate the Gardner-Betts facility provides numerous PREA-related trainings throughout the year. These include:

- Identifying and Reporting Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation with PREA (including department procedures - sexual abuse and sexual assault)

- PREA First Responder Training
- PREA Training: Creating a Culture of Safety and Communicating with LGBTQIA Youth in the Juvenile Justice System
- PREA Cross-Gender Pat Searches
- PREA Placement of Residents in Housing, Bed, Program Education, and Work Assignments

The topics outlined in this provision are covered in several of the required trainings listed above. The auditor applauds the agency/facility for ensuring staff are well trained on zero tolerance; first responder duties; how to effectively work with LGBTQIA youth; how to conduct cross-gender pat searches (in exigent circumstances); and how to make effective programming and placement decisions (based on vulnerability risk information). These trainings exceed DOJ expectations in content and frequency.

The auditor reviewed a sample of staff training records (N = 21) that included full and part-time positions (i.e., JDOs, facility managers, nurses, and other specialized staff). The auditor confirmed all staff have received the PREA training upon hire and every year thereafter. In addition, staff interviews further verified they have received this training and they understand their responsibilities related to maintaining a zero-tolerance environment; mandatory reporting; responding to allegations of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation; and other key topics.

***Provision (b)***

A review of the PREA Power Point presentation used to train staff on PREA expectations verified this training addresses the dynamics of abuse within facilities; communicating effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents; and working with female residents.

***Provision (c)***

As previously mentioned, the Gardner-Betts facility requires all staff to complete PREA training and the First Responder role trainings and to sign the attestation form on an annual basis. This practice is supported by Travis County Policy AS-901 "Reporting Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation" which directs, "Required training: All Department personnel shall be trained annually on procedures for reporting abuse, neglect and exploitation" (Section III, F). Review of a random sample of signed acknowledgment forms (n=21) confirmed staff have received the training consistent with Travis County policy and DOJ PREA standards.

***Provision (d)***

Upon completing the PREA training Gardner-Betts employees are required to sign the "Training Acknowledgment Form: PREA - Creating a Culture of Safety" which reads:

“I have received training on the elements of PREA Standard 115.331 (a) and had the opportunity to ask questions about:

- The Department’s zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
- How to fulfill my responsibilities under the Department’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures;
- Resident’s right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
- The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
- The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in juvenile facilities;
- The common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
- How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse and how to distinguish between consensual sexual contact and sexual abuse between residents;
- How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents;
- How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities;
- Relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent; and
- Communicating effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents;

“I understand this training, the importance of these topics and the responsibilities for reporting any instance of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and reporting any retaliation for reporting.”

As previously mentioned, the auditor reviewed a sample of staff training records (N = 21) that included full and part-time positions (i.e., JDOs, facility managers, nurses, and other specialized staff). The auditor confirmed all staff have received the PREA training and have signed the “Training Acknowledgment Form: PREA – Creating a Culture of Safety” upon hire and every year thereafter.

The numerous and comprehensive trainings provided throughout the year as well as other evidence reviewed (i.e., training records, policies, documents, staff interviews, etc.) allows the auditor to conclude the facility exceeds expectations put forth in this standard.

<b>115.332</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>

**Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:**

- AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and harassment
- AS-1001 “Citizen, Volunteer, and Intern Services”
- Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Volunteer/Intern Handbook 2020
- Travis County Juvenile Probation Volunteer and Intern Responsibilities
- Document “Recognizing and Reporting Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation”
- Review of completed “Recognizing and Reporting Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation: Contractor Acknowledgement Form” for contracted teachers and volunteers
- Review of PREA training rosters for contracted teachers
- Review of PREA training records for contracted clinical interns
- List of contractors, volunteer, and interns
- Interviews with sample of contracted teachers
- Interviews with sample of volunteers

***Provision (a)***

All volunteers and contractors are required to participate in the agency PREA training. Clear expectations regarding zero-tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment is set forth in the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Volunteer/Intern Handbook 2020. The handbook explains in detail the agency/facility expectations regarding zero tolerance and details the orientation training which includes specific information regarding zero-tolerance and healthy boundaries. More specifically, the orientation training instructs volunteers to NOT “...accept gifts, favors or other advantages that could give the appearance of impropriety or impair the impartial and objective exercise of professional responsibilities...Maintain or give the appearance of maintaining an inappropriate relationship with a juvenile including, but not limited to, bribery or solicitation or acceptance of gifts, favors or services from juveniles or their families...” (page 26). This orientation also clearly requires volunteers to “Maintain the integrity and confidentiality of juvenile information, not seek more information than is needed to perform their duties, and not reveal information to any person who does not have authorized access to the information for a proper, professional use” (page 26).

The volunteer/intern training also includes PREA specific information (page 29- 36) which includes sexual abuse and sexual harassment definitions; zero tolerance policy for all forms of abuse and harassment; specific procedures for reporting sexual abuse, neglect, sexual harassment, or other serious negative behaviors; list of vulnerable populations; reporting dynamics (why boys or girls may not report); how to recognize red flags for staff sexual misconduct; signs of sexual abuse; signs of grooming and behaviors related to potential perpetration; and entities to which a report can be made (and the corresponding contact information). The handbook also states that volunteers are required to sign an acknowledgement form declaring s/he “Understand and acknowledge the Department’s zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and be informed about how to report sexual

abuse.”

All teachers are contracted by Travis County to provide education services in the Gardner-Betts facility. The auditor reviewed a sample of contracted teacher records (n=4) and verified teachers have received the zero-tolerance PREA training. The auditor also conducted a review of volunteer files. A review of a sample of volunteer records (n=4) indicated that volunteer records included evidence of PREA training completion and understanding of the training received.

***Provision (b)***

The Gardner-Betts Volunteer Coordinator is responsible for ensuring volunteers and contractors are trained consistent with agency and DOJ PREA standards. This responsibility is supported by formal agency Policy AS-1001 “Citizen, Volunteer, and Intern Services” (page 2) which directs the Volunteer Coordinator to: “....Provide or make provisions for the orientation and ongoing training of organizations, volunteers and interns required by standards” (Section III, A, 8). This same policy also states volunteers and interns will receive orientation training to include, but not limited to “...2. Identifying and reporting abuse, neglect, and exploitation; 3. Prison Rape Elimination Act and the Department’s sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures (to include the Department’s zero tolerance policy towards sexual abuse” (Section III, E 2-3). As described in Provision (a) above, a review of the volunteer PREA training verified the training includes the requisite topics (i.e., the agency’s zero-tolerance policy, how to report incidents, healthy boundaries, signs of abuse, etc.).

A review of training and acknowledgement forms support that appropriate level of training is provided to volunteers and contractors.

***Provision (c)***

The Travis County Probation Department Policy AS-1001 “Citizen, Volunteer, and Intern Services” (pages 4-5) specifically states, “The volunteer or intern signs an agreement which includes provisions to....Report, in accordance with Department policy, any belief that abuse, neglect, or exploitation of a juvenile has occurred.” Upon completing the facility’s zero-tolerance training, all contractors and volunteers are required to complete the “Recognizing and Reporting Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation: Contractor Acknowledgement Form”. The form specifically states, “By signing this form, I acknowledge that the information related to my obligation to report abuse, neglect and exploitation, to include sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures was explained to me and I was allowed to ask questions. I understand the contents of this training and understand the importance and responsibilities for compliance with the mandatory reporting laws.”

At the time of the onsite visit, the Gardner-Betts facility had 17 volunteers and 12 contractors. Interviews with a sample of volunteers and contractors verified they have received and understood the PREA training. The auditor also reviewed a sample of volunteer records to verify they had completed the training (signed the attestation form). As previously mentioned, the teachers who provide education



	<p>services are contracted through the local school district. A review of a sample of contracted teacher records (n=4), contractors (n=1), and volunteers (n=4) verified these individuals have received the zero-tolerance PREA training. All files included a signed form attesting that they understood the training received.</p>
--	---

<b>115.333</b>	<b>Resident education</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment”</li> <li>• Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Services Juvenile Handbook - “Abuse Education for the Orientation Process”</li> <li>• Pamphlet for youth “A Teen’s Guide to Reporting Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation in Juvenile Justice Facilities” (published by Texas Juvenile Justice Department and provided at intake)</li> <li>• Spanish version of A Teen’s Guide to Reporting Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation in Juvenile Justice Facilities” (published by Texas Juvenile Justice Department and provided at intake)</li> <li>• Agency’s “Abuse Education for the Orientation Process” (at intake)</li> <li>• Agency’s “Prevention, Intervention, and Minimizing Your Risk for Abuse” (at intake)</li> <li>• “Acknowledgment of Receipt of Orientation Information and Materials” (at intake)</li> <li>• Sample of signed youth “Acknowledgment of Receipt of Orientation Information and Materials” (at intake)</li> <li>• Travis County Juvenile Probation Department: Gardner-Betts Intake Packet</li> <li>• Comprehensive PREA education for youth - “End Silence: Youth Speaking Up About Sexual Abuse in Custody” (three books - Charlie’s Report; Billy Speaks Out; and Sheila’s Dilemma)</li> <li>• “End Silence: Youth Speaking Up About Sexual Abuse in Custody - A Facilitator’s Guide”</li> <li>• Review of a sample of “Detention Services PREA Group Sign-In Sheet” (10-day PREA education)</li> <li>• Youth education video provided by PRC</li> <li>• Interviews with youth</li> <li>• Interview with Intake Workers</li> <li>• Interview with Case Manager</li> <li>• Interviews with Juvenile Detention Officers responsible for conducting comprehensive PREA training for youth</li> <li>• Interview with Shift Supervisors</li> </ul>

**Provision (a)**

The Gardner-Betts facility provides several avenues by which youth receive zero-tolerance information. At intake, the Intake Worker (JPPO) provides copies of and verbally reviews three documents with the youth: 1) Travis County Juvenile Probation Department: Abuse Education for the Orientation Process; 2) County Juvenile Probation Department: Prevention, Intervention, and Minimizing Your Risk for Abuse; and 3) Pamphlet for youth “A Teen’s Guide to Reporting Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation in Juvenile Justice Facilities” (published by Texas Juvenile Justice Department). Some of the information provided at intake, as outlined in the “Abuse Education for the Orientation Process” include, but is not limited to:

- Types of abuse (physical, sexual, emotional), neglect, and exploitation
- Avenues for reporting abuse (i.e., Report to an adult you trust; write a grievance; call the Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD) ANE hotline; call the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS))
- Contact phone numbers for TJJD and DFPS
- A youth’s right to confidentiality when making a report
- A youth’s right to be free from retaliation for making a report
- A youth right to access emergency medical and mental health treatment if s/he is a victim of a sexual assault
- A declaration that all allegations of abuse will be investigated

In addition, intake staff are required to verbally review the agency’s “Prevention, Intervention, and Minimizing Your Risk for Abuse” document at intake. This document clearly states, “This facility has a zero-tolerance policy on abuse, sexual assault, sexual activity, and harassment. These are things that you can do to protect yourself from abuse while you are here in this facility or wherever you might go. You will not be punished or retaliated against for taking steps to minimize risk of sexual abuse.” The document lists strategies such as avoiding isolated or secluded areas; not entering other resident’s room; not giving or accepting gifts; if youth feel uncomfortable report to the Shift Supervisor; and reporting all incidents to TJJD, to name a few.

The agency’s “Prevention, Intervention, and Minimizing Your Risk for Abuse” document also outlines the Department’s responsibility to prevent and intervene when suspected or confirmed sexual abuse. Some of these listed include offering the victim access to sexually transmitted infections testing; offering mental health assessment and crisis intervention counseling; separating the victim and alleged perpetrator; and referring substantiated allegation of misconduct that appear to be criminal referred for prosecution, to name a few.

Once processed at intake the Gardner-Betts facility has JDOs conduct another brief intake process. These individuals review portions of the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Services Juvenile Handbook with youth. This handbook provides detailed information about youth rights (i.e., right to be treated fairly; right to be free from corporal punishment, harassment, threats, intimidation,

physical/sexual assaults, physical/sexual abuse, exploitation, neglect or embarrassment; right to report abuse, neglect, or exploitation directly to TX DJJ; etc.); definitions/examples of sexual abuse/assault/harassment/retaliation; avenues for reporting; staff obligation as mandatory reporters; emotional support services (Safe Alliance); how to prevent and/or avoid high risk situations; and other information relevant to youth safety. The handbook provides the phone numbers and mailing address for Safe Alliance (victim advocates) as well as TX DJJ ANE hotline.

After the information is reviewed with the youth by the JDO, the resident is required to sign and date the agency's "Acknowledgment of Receipt of Orientation Information and Materials." This form specifically states, "I understand that I have the right to confidentiality in the grievance process and reporting any type of suspected abuse, which includes sexual abuse and assault, neglect or exploitation (ANE). I also have the right to confidentiality for preventing and intervening in suspected sexual abuse, minimizing the risks of sexual abuse, and accessing treatment and counseling. I also understand that I will not be punished for participating in any activity stated above or reporting any concerns. I understand that anytime I have a question about any part of the program, I can check the handbook or ask staff. My signature below confirms the listed orientation information was read and explained to me by Department Staff and that I have access to copies of the listed information." The auditor reviewed signed intake orientation forms for all current youth as well as a sample of those youth discharged in the past 12 months (N=27; 18 current youth and 9 discharged youth between May 2022-May 2023) to verify youth received this initial PREA information. All youth received this PREA education provided by the JDO at intake.

In support of these practices the agency Policy AS-902 "Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and harassment" states, "Residents in facilities will receive information on how to avoid risk situations, how to safely report sexual abuse and sexual harassment and their rights to be free of retaliation" (Section III, G). This same policy also requires, "Orientation materials will be provided within 12 hours of intake and include explanations of: a. the Department's zero tolerance policy on any form of abuse; b. the right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment; c. systems in place to protect them from harm to include accessing the grievance process, accessing outside parties such as parents / guardians, attorneys and the court system and methods of contacting oversight agencies such as TJJD or DSHS; d. being free from retaliation when utilizing the Department's methods of reporting complaints" (Section III, G3)

Youth interviews verified they received this information when they first arrived at the facility.

***Provision (b)***

Within ten days of intake all youth are provided more comprehensive PREA education. Each Saturday Juvenile Detention Officers lead a PREA group, to which all new youth must attend. All youth are encouraged to attend but youth new to the

facility are required. The JDOs facilitate a one-hour discussion using the “End Silence: Youth Speaking Up About Sexual Abuse in Custody – A Facilitator’s Guide” (authors Briggs, Yarussi, Kinard, and Smith 2012). The facilitator’s guide is based on the three PREA education books: “End Silence: Youth Speaking Up About Sexual Abuse in Custody- Charlie’s Report; Billy Speaks Out; and Sheila’s Dilemma.” Youth who attend the PREA education group take turns reading the pages of the illustrated books out loud to the group. The facilitator uses a series of structured discussion questions provided at the back of the books to engage youth in the education process. The discussion questions target key content in these PREA education books including, but not limited to: How to avoid high risk situations; how to report abuse; appropriate supports available if you are a victim of abuse (i.e., counseling); and what youth would do if they were sexually assaulted. Several youth also reported they viewed a PREA video (issued by the PREA Resource Center) during one of the weekend classes. Most youth stated they found the video helpful and one youth stated in a positive manner, “there was a lot of information in there!”

To support these practices the agency has several policies mandating youth be provided with PREA education. More specifically, agency Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and harassment” states, “Information and comprehensive education will be provided to residents housed in facilities within 10 days of intake. a. Signs and posters are provided throughout the housing areas to remind the residents of their right to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to TJJD and / or DSHS. b. Education will be age-appropriate and provided in formats to ensure all residents may fully benefit from the Department’s efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Documentation of participation in the resident education sessions will be maintained. c. Residents will be provided periodic updates and reminders throughout their stay in the facilities. 5. The staff will ensure the resident comprehends the information and is able to articulate their understanding.” (Section III, G4). Onsite interviews with youth verified they understand the key pieces of PREA – zero tolerance; how to report; staff are mandatory reporters; etc.

The auditor reviewed a sample (n=27) of signed “Detention Services PREA Group Sign-In Sheet” (10-day PREA education sessions) to verify all youth have completed the comprehensive PREA training addressing their right to be free from sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation as well as the process for reporting such incidents. All youth interviewed stated they participated in at least one of the weekly PREA groups.

***Provision (c)***

As previously stated, youth training records, signed acknowledgement forms, and youth interviews verified all residents have received the comprehensive PREA education within 10 days of arriving to the facility.

***Provision (d)***

Agency Policy AS-902, “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and harassment” clearly describes how youth with disabilities and/or ESL will be provided with PREA

educational material. More specifically, the policy states, "Appropriate steps will be taken to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the Department's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Steps taken include, but are not limited to: a. Ensuring the information uses terminology appropriate to the residents' age, sophistication, and intelligence; b. Ensuring the information is accessible to residents with physical or intellectual disabilities; c. Providing formats in English and Spanish; d. Providing interpreters as needed; and e. Ensuring the staff read the information out loud if the resident has limited reading skills or a literacy issue exists" (Section III, G2). A Spanish-version of the orientation and PREA education materials reviewed with youth at intake are available (i.e., the Teen's Guide pamphlet; the orientation packet, and the juvenile handbook). Interviews with two JPPO Intake Workers verified if a youth was limited English proficient, they could engage a staff member who was fluent in the youth's language to review the material with the youth. They also stated that he could access formal translation services through Travis County. The Gardner-Betts JDOs also reported that to ensure youth with limited reading skills and/or cognitive disabilities understand the information, intake staff are required to verbally review the PREA-related information with youth and to check youth's understanding of the information provided. JDOs reported they would access interpretive services through the Language Line to accommodate youth who are ESL or to accommodate a disability. During the audit tour the auditor observed zero tolerance posters/TX DJJ ANE hotline posters in Spanish.

***Provision (e)***

As previously stated, the auditor reviewed a sample of youth training records (n=27), verifying youth completed the required PREA education sessions and confirming the Gardner-Betts facility is formally documenting participation in these sessions. In support of this practice, agency Policy AS-902 "Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment" directs "documentation of participation in the resident education sessions will be maintained" (Section III, G, 4b).

***Provision (f)***

As previously mentioned, the Travis County Juvenile JDO reviews the contents of the Detention Services Juvenile Handbook with youth at intake. While youth are not provided a copy of the handbook to take back to their living unit, a copy of this handbook is available outside of the staff office on each unit. The auditor observed these handbooks on each residential unit during the facility tour. In addition, all youth interviewed knew the handbook was available and how to access it. Youth interviewed also understood the zero-tolerance policy; how to report abuse; their right to call the TX DJJ abuse hotline privately; etc.

As previously mentioned, JDOs facilitate a weekly PREA group to which all youth are encouraged to attend. Youth interviews, the ongoing education group, training records, and the accessibility of the juvenile handbook, allows the auditor to conclude the program is in compliance with this PREA provision.

<b>115.334</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Exceeds Standard</p> <hr/> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agency Policy AS-217B “Conducting Administrative Investigations”</li> <li>• Specialized Investigator Training (2-day training) records confirming PREA investigators have received specialized investigation training (12/02/2020 and 12/09/2020)</li> <li>• TX Department of Juvenile Justice policy titled, “Zero Tolerance for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Activity, and Sexual Harassment”</li> <li>• Review of the NIC online Specialized Investigations curriculum</li> <li>• Interviews with Internal Travis County PREA Investigators</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a)</b></p> <p>As previously mentioned, the Gardner-Betts facility is not responsible for conducting criminal sexual abuse investigations. The Travis County Sheriffs Office and TX DJJ are responsible for conducting these investigations and for ensuring investigators complete the required specialized training. However, Travis County Probation Department Accreditation and Compliance Officers are responsible for conducting internal administrative investigations. All Travis County internal investigators have been formally trained on conducting sexual abuse investigations. The three investigators most often assigned to conduct PREA investigations at the Gardner-Betts facility, have completed the National Institute of Corrections online course entitled, “PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting.”</p> <p>In addition, in September 2020, a total of 22 Travis County staff participated in a two-day (16 hours) PREA Specialized Investigator Training through the PREA Resource Center. Among the topics covered included PREA investigative standards; first responder and evidence collection; understanding trauma; conducting interviews (victim, perpetrator, and witnesses); addressing sexual harassment; and writing a comprehensive investigation report, to name a few. The auditor reviewed the training roster to verify training completion (particularly for the three main investigators assigned to the Gardner-Betts facility). Interviews with investigators verified they attended the two-day training. All criminal investigations are handled by the Travis County Sheriff’s Office.</p> <p>The agency has several policies and documents requiring specialized training for investigators. The agency Policy AS-217B (page 2) requires, “Designated investigators will receive specialized training on how to conduct sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations in facilities / confinement settings. Documented training will include: 1. techniques for interviewing juvenile sexual abuse victims; 2. sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings; 3. criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action; and 4. criteria and</p>

evidence required to substantiate a case for prosecution referral.” In addition, the document titled, “Referrals of Allegations for Investigations” which is posted on the Travis County website Juvenile Court (traviscountytx.gov) states, “The Department will ensure a specially-trained investigator conducts an administrative investigation into the allegation. The Department will ensure that any administrative investigation does not interfere with the TCSO or TJJD investigation. The Department will take any remedial action upon the findings that the allegation was founded.” The auditor verified the link to the document referenced is in working order.

***Provision (b)***

The agency Policy AS-217B “Conducting Administrative Investigations” (page 2) defines the specialized training required of PREA investigators who conduct administrative investigations. The policy states, “Investigators will receive specialized training in topics to include: 1. Actions that constitute abuse, neglect and exploitation; 2. Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings; 3. Evidence collection and review; 4. Document review and interpretation; 5. Interviewing techniques; and 6. Preparation of investigative reports.” In addition, this same policy states “Designated investigators will receive specialized training on how to conduct sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations in facilities / confinement settings. Documented training will include: 1. techniques for interviewing juvenile sexual abuse victims; 2. sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings; 3. criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action; and 4. criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for prosecution referral.

Interviews with internal PREA Investigators verified they understand the nuances of interviewing juvenile sexual abuse victims, the use of Miranda and Garrity warning, evidence collection, and preponderance of evidence criteria used for substantiation. The auditor also reviewed the specialized training materials as additional evidence of compliance with this PREA provision.

***Provision (c)***

As previously mentioned, the auditor reviewed training records from the two-day specialized investigation training provided by the PRC. These records verified all internal investigators leading administrative investigations related to PREA, have been sufficiently trained on the required topics.

***Provision (d)***

The state of Texas Department of Juvenile Justice (TX DJJ) must demonstrate compliance with federal DOJ PREA standards. The TX DJJ policy titled, “Zero Tolerance for Sexual Abuse, Sexual Activity, and Sexual Harassment” specifically requires specialized training for their investigators. More specifically, the policy states: “(A) TJJD staff members who investigate allegations of sexual abuse receive specialized training that includes: (i) techniques for interviewing juvenile sexual abuse victims; (ii) proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings; (iii) sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings; and (iv) criteria and evidence required

	<p>to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. (B) TJJD maintains documentation that such training has been completed.”</p> <p>Review of all evidence and due to the fact that Travis County requires ongoing training in specialized investigations, allows the auditor to determine the facility has “exceeded” this PREA standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.335</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-401 “Staff Training and Development”</li> <li>• Copy of the clinician’s counseling degree</li> <li>• Sample of training records and signed acknowledgment forms verifying Nurses and the Clinician received and understood PREA training</li> <li>• Interview with Administrative Services Senior Director (human resources)</li> <li>• Interview with the Nurse Charge</li> <li>• Interviews with random sample of facility nurses</li> <li>• Interview with the Gardner-Betts Clinician</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a)</b></p> <p>A review of training records verified all facility Nurses and the facility Clinician have completed the agency PREA training. As previously described in Standard 115.331, this training includes information about how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse; how to respond effectively and professionally to juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and how and to whom report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. In addition, interviews with medical staff and the mental health clinician verified they have received specialized training in these areas as part of their academic degree and credentialing processes.</p> <p>In support of PREA requirement outlined in this standard, the agency Policy AS-401 “Staff Training and Development” states, “In addition to the topics listed in Subsection F 4. above, the Department requires the staff assigned to facilities to have training on PREA information (sexual abuse, assault and harassment) during orientation and on an annual basis. Training includes, but is not limited to: a. The Department’s zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment; b. Fulfilling the responsibilities under the Department’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures; c. Residents’ right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment; d. The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse</p>



and sexual harassment; e. Dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in juvenile facilities; f. Common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; g. Detecting and responding to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse and distinguishing between consensual sexual contact and sexual abuse between residents; h. Avoiding inappropriate relationships with residents; i. Communicating effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents; and j. Complying with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities; and k. Relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent. l. Certified officers shall be trained on conducting searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner in the least intrusive manner possible that is consistent with security needs” (Section III, F, 5).

In support of this standard, the agency Policy AS-401 “Staff Training and Development” states, “All professional specialists must receive a total of 160 hours of training in the first year of employment. Every calendar year thereafter, each employee in this category must receive 40 hours of training to include the Department’s mandatory training topics” This policy further explains, “Detention Services Division: Professional specialists working with Detention must have training on the topics listed for the juvenile care workers. 2. All professional specialists that are medical or mental health staff shall have training on the following: i. How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; ii. How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse; iii. How to respond effectively and professionally to juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and iv. Additional PREA training topics as outlined in Subsection F 5. below” (Section III, F3).

Interviews with facility nurses and the clinician verified they are aware of the signs of abuse, how to respond appropriately to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and to whom to report these allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

***Provision (b)***

The facility does not conduct any forensic evaluations. In the event a youth alleges sexual abuse, the victim would be taken to the local hospital to be examined by a certified SANE. Two attempts were made by the PREA auditor to interview the SANE Manager (phone messages were left on 6/06/23 and 6/13/2023). The auditor did not receive a return phone call. Since the nurses employed by Travis County and who work at the Gardner-Betts facility do not conduct forensic examinations this provision can be considered Not Applicable (N/A).

***Provision (c)***

Interviews with the Administrative Services Senior Director (human resources) verified the agency requires credentialed staff to keep up to date on their licensing requirements. An interview with the Gardner-Betts Nurse Charge verified she is responsible for ensuring the 12 RNs and LVNs complete their required CEUs needed to maintain their license. During annual performance appraisals, the facility’s Nurse Charge reviews this information. Up-to-date licenses/certifications are submitted to

	<p>the Travis County Probation Department Human Resources unit for placement in staff files.</p> <p>To support this practice, the agency Policy AS-401 “Staff Training and Development” directs, “Training and certification records are updated and maintained on file by the Training Unit.”</p> <p><b>Provision (d)</b></p> <p>A review of training records verified all facility Nurses and the facility Clinician have completed the agency PREA training.</p> <p>All evidence reviewed (i.e., policies, documents, staff interviews, training records, etc.) allows the auditor to conclude the facility is in compliance with all provisions in this standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.341</b>	<b>Obtaining information from residents</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy 5-DS-23 “Housing Classification”</li> <li>• Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse”</li> <li>• Standard Operating Procedures, Subject: Detention Housing Screener”</li> <li>• Standard Operating Procedures - Subject: Process for collection and dissemination of juvenile information collected on the Housing Screener as it pertains to PREA standards</li> <li>• Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Housing Screening</li> <li>• Youth file reviews verifying vulnerability assessments completed within 72 hours of intake</li> <li>• Copies of a sample of completed vulnerability assessment instruments</li> <li>• Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff to include JDOs who conduct intakes)</li> <li>• Interviews with facility nurses who are responsible for conducting vulnerability assessments</li> <li>• Interviews with youth</li> <li>• Interview with PREA Compliance Manager</li> <li>• Observations during facility tour that vulnerability information is accessible only to limited staff</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a)</b></p> <p>All Gardner-Betts youth are assessed for vulnerability risk the day they arrive to the program. Numerous data sources are reviewed by the Intake Worker, nurse, and the clinician to determine the level of risk. Among these documents are court and legal</p>

documents, previous treatment reports, and medical records, to name a few. Within 24 hours of the youth arriving, a facility nurse meets with youth to conduct an assessment of the youth's physical health. A minimum of two nurses are stationed in the facility 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. As part of the intake process, the nurse gathers information from youth and referral documents to complete the "Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Housing Screening" tool. This instrument assesses specific factors associated with risk to be sexually victimized and/or perpetrate (see provision (c) for more information). The auditor reviewed 27 youth files (18 current and 9 discharged youth) and verified a vulnerability assessment was completed well within 72 hours of arrival. Interviews with facility nurses verified this assessment process is fully institutionalized.

In support of DOJ PREA expectations, the agency has a policy AS-905 "Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse" which states, "during the facilities' intake process and before being placed on a housing unit, all juveniles will be screened for prior sexual victimization or sexual abusiveness" (Section III, A). In addition, the Gardner-Betts facility has a Policy 5-DS-23 "Housing Classification" (page 2) which directs, "Within the first two (2) hours of admission and prior to any program or room assignment, JDOs will review the Behavioral Screening (housing screener) to determine potential vulnerabilities or tendencies for acting out with sexually aggressive or assaultive behavior....The housing screener will be reviewed periodically throughout a juvenile's stay at the facility and will be documented in the juvenile's file" (Section III, C).

Following the last audit in 2021, the facility created "Standard Operating Procedures, Subject: Detention Housing Screener" to map out clear expectations regarding the vulnerability assessment. This SOP requires all nurses to conduct the Detention Housing Screener on all juveniles at intake. The SOP specifically states, "If a juvenile answers yes to any of the questions 7-10 or if the juvenile identifies as gay, lesbian, bisexual or questioning, medical will check the Vulnerable to Victimization box on page two and write in the reason in the comment section."

***Provision (b)***

A review of the "Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Housing Screening" tool verifies the instrument is objective and includes structured questions specific to vulnerability risk outlined in standard provision (c). Each item of the instrument is scored and a total score provides a level of risk (low, medium, or high).

***Provision (c)***

Review of the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Housing Screening tool verified that key variables associated with risk for sexual perpetration and/or victimization are explored using an objective method. More specifically, the tool asks whether youth have ever had a sexual experience they did not want; ever been arrested on a sexual offense; ever engaged in behavior that may be considered sexually aggressive; whether they make friends easily; whether they feel at risk from attack or abuse from other youth, etc. The screening tool also

requires the person completing the instrument to document observations of intellectual or developmental disabilities, mental disabilities, cognitive or emotional issues, as well as physical appearance (i.e., smaller build, non-gender conforming, etc.), to name a few. The vulnerability assessment requires the screener to make a determination using three options: Vulnerable to Victimization; Sexually Aggressive; or None.

In support of this provision, the facility's Housing Classification procedure 5-DS-23 lists some of the factors that PREA requires be assessed at intake. Some of these factors are: Sex; age; Physical size/stature; current charge(s)/offense history; mental or physical disabilities; medical status; level of emotional and cognitive development; intellectual or developmental disabilities; sexual orientation; likelihood of being exploited/victimized by other juveniles; prior history of sexual victimization or abuse; and identification as a predator who exploits/victimizes other juveniles, to name a few.

As previously mentioned, facility nurses are responsible for completing the vulnerability risk screening tool at intake. Interviews with a sample of nurses and the Nurse Charge, review of the risk screening tool, and youth file reviews provides sufficient evidence for compliance with this standard provision.

***Provision (d)***

The vulnerability risk tool requires the screener to use other sources to corroborate youth testimony regarding previous history of sexualized behavior (adjudicated or non-adjudicated) and/or history of sexualized aggression or sexual assault. Interviews with nurses revealed that information is obtained mainly through an interview with the youth. Most often youth arrive and there is little or no information accompanying the youth except for his/her/their offense. The auditor reminds the facility for those youth who are returning to the facility, it is critical that other documents be reviewed to produce the most accurate score on the vulnerability assessment. It is common for youth to forget and/or not want to disclose the truth about sexual traumas or sexual perpetration in the past. As such, when additional information is obtained through case files, past facility behavioral reports, court records, etc. it may be necessary to change the original answer on the instrument to accurately reflect past experiences related to sexual abuse (victimization and/or perpetration). Since placement and programming decisions are based in part, on the results of vulnerability risk tool it is important that the results be accurate.

***Provision (e)***

The "Standard Operating Procedures, Subject: Detention Housing Screener" directs Medical staff to retain the original three pages of the vulnerability tool and provide the three carbon copy pages to the JDO conducting the youth intake. Completed vulnerability tools are stored in hardcopy in the youth's medical records which are kept locked in the nursing office in the intake building (and to which only medical staff have access). The nurse/screener provides the three pages (carbon copies) of the assessment to the JDO responsible for making the housing placement and programming decisions. All JDOs do not see the specific history of youth but rather,

	<p>are provided the minimal information needed (i.e., youth is aggressive/assaultive; sexually inappropriate; medical issues; etc.). Interviews with nursing staff verified this process is fully institutionalized. Additionally, interviews with JDOs (direct care staff) provided evidence that only the JDO conducting the youth intake and the Shift Supervisor have access to the complete vulnerability tool (three-page carbon copy). The intake JDO are not permitted to share information with other JDOs, but rather, make a placement recommendation based on the final page of the tool (overall score) and place the third page (score only) in the youth’s secure folder on the unit. Direct care staff interviews verified they are required to uphold confidentiality and follow strict guidelines regarding protecting client information.</p> <p>To further support this provision, the agency Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment” states, “The needs of each resident in the facility will be reviewed to ensure appropriate housing, programming, education and potential work assignments are made based on risk of vulnerability or likelihood to exploit or victimize others. 1. Any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting shall be strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff, as necessary, to inform treatment plans and security and management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments” (Section III, F 1-2).</p> <p>Review of all evidence allows the auditor concludes the Gardner-Betts facility in compliance on this PREA standard.</p>
--	--

<b>115.342</b>	<b>Placement of residents</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment”</li> <li>• Policy AS-1203 “LGBTQJ Juveniles”</li> <li>• Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties”</li> <li>• Policy 5-DS-23 “Housing Classification”</li> <li>• Policy 10-DS-8 “Room Isolation/Confinement”</li> <li>• “Standard Operating Procedures, Subject: Detention Housing Screener”</li> <li>• Standard Operating Procedures - Subject: Process for collection and dissemination of juvenile information collected on the Housing Screener as it pertains to PREA standards</li> <li>• Review of a sample of completed “Travis County Juvenile Probation Detention Housing Screener”</li> <li>• Interview with the Director of Detention Services</li> <li>• Interview with PREA Compliance Manager</li> </ul>

- Interview with facility nurses who are responsible for conducting vulnerability risk assessment
- Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff) responsible for placing youth based on vulnerability risk information
- Interviews with Shift Supervisor responsible for reviewing/approving JDO placement recommendation
- Interviews with staff who supervise youth 1:1

***Provision (a)***

In support of PREA expectations, the agency Policy 5-DS-23 “Housing Classification” require JDOs (direct care staff) to make housing assignments “...after considering the following issues and utilizing the Housing Levels guide: 1. Sex 2. Age 3. Physical size/stature 4. Current charge(s)/offense history 5. Mental/Physical disabilities 6. Medical Status 7. Current state of mind 8. Current behavior 9. History of Behavior in the facility 10. Level of emotional and cognitive development 11. Intellectual or developmental disabilities 12. Sexual orientation 13. Siblings, relatives or close friends who are already detained; 14. Known or alleged gang affiliations 15. Enemies of record 16. Alleged co-perpetrators (partners in the alleged crime) 17. Likelihood of being exploited/victimized by other juveniles 115.342 (a)-1 18. Prior history of sexual victimization or abuse 19. Identification as a predator who exploits/victimizes other juveniles 20. Escape Risk or returned to the facility from escape 21. Administrative Segregation or Room Confinement 22. Court ordered housing assignment” (Section III, C).

DOJ PREA standard 115.342 requires information from the vulnerability tool be used to inform programming and placement decisions. Interviews with JDO’s (direct care staff) who conduct intakes and make the initial recommendation for placement, indicate while age and offense/classification are two of the main criteria that are used in placement decisions, information about sexual victimization and perpetration are also considered. JDO staff consistently reported they review the second and last page of the vulnerability tool which includes a total risk score for sexual victimization and perpetration and a section to document the “initial housing assignment” and unit and room number. JDOs conducting intakes all understood that they are not to share specific information with all staff.

In addition to interviews, the facility Policy 5-DS-23 “Housing Classification” provides evidence that placement decisions are considered based on individual needs (particularly those factors outlined on the vulnerability assessment tool). For example, the Policy 5-DS-23 “Housing Classification policy” states: “Unit A: A secure unit utilized as a disciplinary unit for female juveniles. Unit A may also house female juveniles who are in the general population. Female juveniles who should be assigned to Unit A are characterized by the following: a. Assaultive behavior b. Possible predatory behavior c. Charged with a serious offense d. Large in stature e. More mature f. Escape risk and/or returned to detention from escape g. Continually disruptive h. Administratively Segregated or in Room Confinement” (Section III, D,1). The policy also explains, “Unit G: General population unit for male juveniles. a.

Behavior permitting, juveniles who are young or small in stature will be assigned to Unit G. b. Unit G may be used to house female juveniles when the female population exceeds the capacity of Units A and B. 8. Unit H: General population unit for male juveniles. Behavior permitting, juveniles who are young or small in stature will be assigned to Unit H.” (Section III, D 7-8). Policy language supports the facility’s existing practice of using vulnerability assessment information to place youth within the facility, to better ensure youth and staff safety.

Following the previous audit, TCJPD created a Standard Operating Procedures – “Subject: Process for collection and dissemination of juvenile information collected on the Housing Screener as it pertains to PREA standards” to ensure clear expectations are set. This document provides clear guidance on the purpose of the SOP, requirements, definitions, and procedures. More specifically the SOP directs Medical staff to:

1. “Administer the Housing Screener to all juveniles that are to be detained in Detention Services.
  - a. If a juvenile identifies as gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, or questioning, Health Care Staff must check the box, “Vulnerable to Victimization”.
  - b. If a juvenile prefers to be searched by staff of the opposite sex of the juvenile’s birth sex, it must be notated on page 1 and 3 of the Housing Screener.
  - c. If a juvenile responds “yes” to question 7, they must be offered a follow up meeting with a counselor and their response is to be documented directly below the question. If a referral is requested by the juvenile, the recipient of the referral and method of communication must be noted on the Housing Screener.
  - d. If a juvenile responds “yes” to question 17, they must be offered a follow up meeting with a counselor and their response is to be documented directly below the question. If a referral is requested by the juvenile, the recipient of the referral and method of communication must be noted on the Housing Screener.

Provide all 3 pages to the Juvenile Detention Officer who will be processing the juvenile into Detention Services.”

In addition, the SOP puts forth the requirements:

- a. “Juvenile Detention Officer conducting the orientation and intake into Detention Services will review information on the Housing Screener to assist with housing assignment. The JDO will ensure sensitive information is not exploited to the resident’s detriment by staff or other residents. The Shift Supervisor will approve the housing assignment.
- b. If the juvenile is requesting a cross gender pat down the JDO will notify the Facility Administrator to see if an MDT will be requested. The JDO will mark the appropriate box on the Housing Screener to indicate if an MDT was requested or not.

c. If the juvenile has a requested pronoun other than that of their birth sex, this will be communicated by the JDO to appropriate Detention staff.

d. After completion of the housing assignment, the JDO will place page 1 and 2 of the Housing Screener in the juvenile's blue folder and page 3 will go into the juvenile's green folder."

All interviews onsite confirmed this process is in place and that this information is protected.

**Provision (b)**

Interviews revealed the program uses disciplinary isolation (called Safety Based Seclusion - SBS) and uses this only as a last resort. Youth are required to remain in their rooms and remain separated from the group only for as long as needed to stabilize behavior. However, managers and JDOs reported that if the program identified a need to keep youth separate from the group in order to keep a youth safe from sexual (based on the vulnerability tool), the youth may be placed on a separate living unit with one-on-one supervision. During this time the youth would have full access to the dayroom, his/her sleeping quarters, daily exercise, education programming, and treatment-related services offered by the facility. The youth would not be in a locked cell. Interviews with nurses indicate they are required to check in with youth who are separated from the group once per shift during their regular "sick call rounds."

In support of PREA expectations outlined in this provision, the facility has several policies that speak to isolation. Policy 10-DS-8 "Room Isolation/Confinement" clearly states, "Juveniles in room isolation / confinement will be afforded living conditions and privileges approximating those available to juveniles in the general population except when justified by clear evidence. A. There will be no deprivation of meals, showers, or personal hygiene. b. Family visits will not be restricted unless the juvenile is displaying potentially harmful behavior...d. When a juvenile is in his or her room for more than eight (8) hours, the on-duty Supervisor will arrange for the juvenile to participate in physical activity." (Section III, A3).

In further support this standard provision is Policy 4-DS-11 "First Responder Duties" which directs, "The Director or designee will ensure that protective custody is used as a last resort and only when other less restrictive measures are inadequate to protect the juvenile" (Section III, Additionally, facility Policy 10-DS-8 "Room Isolation/ Confinement" states:

"Protective isolation may only be used as a last resort when a juvenile is physically threatened by a juvenile or a group of juveniles, and less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep the juvenile safe. 1. The decision to place a juvenile on protective isolation will be approved in writing by the Director or designee. 2. Protective isolation may only be used until alternative means for keeping the juvenile safe can be arranged. 3. Juveniles on protective isolation will be afforded living conditions and privileges approximating those available to juveniles in the general population except when justified by clear evidence that the juvenile's safety



is at risk. While on protective isolation, the juvenile will: a. receive all legally required educational programming and daily large muscle exercise; b. receive daily visits from medical or mental health care staff; and c. have access to other programs and services to the extent possible. 4. The decision to place a juvenile on protective isolation will be approved in writing by the Director or designee 5. If the protective isolation of a juvenile exceeds 24 hours, the Director or designee will: a. immediately conduct a documented review of the circumstances surrounding the level of threat faced by the juvenile; b. make a determination about whether less restrictive protective measures are appropriate and available; document why no alternative means of separation can be arranged if the review indicates that continued protective isolation is appropriate; and d. if continued protective isolation is approved, ensure that the formalized written review document includes an alternative service delivery plan to ensure the isolated juvenile is afforded all required programming during his or her period of protective isolation. 6. Documentation of the threat faced by the juvenile and the reason(s) why no alternative means of separation can be arranged will be maintained in the juvenile's file." (Section III, E).

***Provision (c)***

Staff interviews verified the facility does not assign LGBTQI youth to a particular housing unit based solely on their gender identity. In support of this provision, agency Policy AS-1203 "LGBTQI Juveniles" specifically directs, "A screener will be used to determine appropriate housing and program assignments in facilities. LGBTQI juveniles will not be placed in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of identification or status" (Section III, E). In addition, this same policy states, "LGBTQI identification or status will not be considered an indicator or likelihood of being sexually abusive" (Section III, E, 1, c). The policy also explains, "The MDT will develop the Individual Classification Plan (ICP) for each transgender and intersex juvenile on a case-by-case basis" (Section III, F, 1).

***Provision (d)***

Interviews with nurses, facility leaders, shift supervisors, and JDO intake workers confirmed initial placement decisions are made by the intake JDO (based on the vulnerability tool information, age, and offense/classification) and reviewed and finalized by the Shift Supervisors. Interviewees reported that if a youth identifies as transgender or intersex at intake, an Multi-Disciplinary Team (MDT) is convened to discuss the initial placement recommendation. The agency's policy AS-1203 "LGBTQI Juveniles" supports this practice by stating, "The MDT will develop the Individual Classification Plan (ICP) for each transgender and intersex juvenile on a case-by-case basis" (Section III, F, 1).

The Gardner Betts facility superintendent (Director of Detention Services) provided an example of a transgendered female who felt more comfortable programming with female residents. To accommodate this preference, the youth would join the female unit for daily programming but sleep in their individual bedroom on the male unit. To make the transgendered female more comfortable the youth would enter

the male unit after all youth were in their bedrooms for the night and would be the first youth up in the morning so she could be transported to the female unit for the day. Interviews with the Director of Detention Services and JDOs (direct care staff) verified the practice of considering youth's preference regarding placement within the facility is in place.

***Provision (e)***

PREA standards require specific practices when working with transgendered and intersex youth. Standard 115.342 (e) requires: "placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex resident shall be reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by resident." Although the Gardner-Betts facility is a short-term program with a length of stay of approximately 16 days, the agency has policy language that supports this provision. More specifically, agency Policy AS-1203 LGBTQI states, "Placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex juvenile will be reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the resident." Interviews with nurses and facility managers verified that although there have been transgender or intersex youth at the facility in the past these individuals were at Gardner-Betts for less than six months (and therefore would not have been formally reassessed). That said, the facility nurses, clinician, and other facility managers reported that clinical staff and managers routinely check in with these youth to see if they feel safe and comfortable and to inquire if there are any concerns throughout the youth's stay.

***Provision (f)***

PREA standard 115.342 (f) requires a transgender or intersex resident's own view with respect to his own safety be given serious consideration. Interviews with the Director of Detention Services, PCM, facility nurses who conduct vulnerability risk assessments, and JDOs who are responsible for placing youth in residential living units based on the risk information verified that a youth's own safety is considered when making placement and programming decisions. In addition, a review of the vulnerability risk instrument confirmed there are several questions that gather this information including but not limited to: "Do you feel OK being with groups of people you don't know well?"

Interviews with nurses, JDOs, and facility managers confirmed that a youth's safety is seriously considered when making placement decisions. In support of this, agency Policy AS-1203 "LGBTQI Juveniles" states, "Staff will ask if the juvenile has particular concerns or needs related to being LGBTQI. Staff will sensitively inquire about fears the juvenile may have of being harassed in the facility" (Section III, E3) and "4. Serious consideration will be given to each transgender or intersex juvenile's own view of his or her safety" (Section III, F 4).

***Provision (g)***

As previously explained, all residential living units have a bathroom with a shower and toilet that is secured by a closed door. All youth shower separately and have

privacy when doing so. Some units also have a wet room in the youth's individual sleeping quarters. When youth use the restroom inside their room staff are required to place a paper shield over the window for privacy. Interviews with youth confirmed they have privacy when showering, using the toilet, and changing their clothes.

To support this provision, the agency Policy AS-1203 "LGBTQI Juveniles" states, "While housed in facilities, transgender and intersex juveniles will have the opportunity to disrobe, shower, and dress apart from other juveniles. Accommodations will be provided in a sensitive manner" (Section III, G).

***Provision (h)***

Interviews with JDOs, facility managers, and youth confirmed the facility does not use isolation cells routinely, although youth can be placed in disciplinary seclusion because of egregious behaviors. Interviewees explained that most often, if a youth exhibits severe negative behavior that threatens the safety of other youth, the youth may be placed on one-on-one supervision on an empty living unit. The youth would be separated from the group only for as long as needed to stabilize behavior. During this time the youth would have full access to the dayroom, his/her sleeping quarters, daily exercise, education programming, and treatment related services offered by the facility. The youth would not be in a locked cell. Facility managers stated they would not isolate victims of sexual abuse for protective custody purposes. They would instead increase supervision of the perpetrator and most often place the alleged perpetrator on a separate unit.

In support of this PREA provision the agency Policy 10-DS-8 "Room Isolation/Confinement" directs, "If the protective isolation of a juvenile exceeds 24 hours, the Director or designee will: a. immediately conduct a documented review of the circumstances surrounding the level of threat faced by the juvenile; b. make a determination about whether less restrictive protective measures are appropriate and available; document why no alternative means of separation can be arranged if the review indicates that continued protective isolation is appropriate; and d. if continued protective isolation is approved, ensure that the formalized written review document includes an alternative service delivery plan to ensure the isolated juvenile is afforded all required programming during his or her period of protective isolation. 6. Documentation of the threat faced by the juvenile and the reason(s) why no alternative means of separation can be arranged will be maintained in the juvenile's file" (Section III, E, 5).

***Provision (i)***

As described in the provision (h) the program does not use isolation often. As per Policy 10-DS-8 "Room Isolation/Confinement," the facility can use protective custody and place a youth in seclusion. However, if there is a need to have youth isolated beyond 24 hours an assessment must be made as to the continued need for the separation; document that no alternative means to establish safety exists; etc. Staff interviews revealed the facility has not yet had to separate a youth from the group for any reason for 30 or more days.

<b>115.351</b>	<b>Resident reporting</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties”</li> <li>• Policy AS-401 “Staff Training and Development”</li> <li>• Policy AS-901 “Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation”</li> <li>• Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment”</li> <li>• Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties”</li> <li>• Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Services Juvenile Handbook</li> <li>• Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Resident Rights (read to youth at intake)</li> <li>• Pamphlet for youth “A Teen’s Guide to Reporting Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation in Juvenile Justice Facilities” (published by Texas Juvenile Justice Department)</li> <li>• “Travis County Grievance Review Form”</li> <li>• Zero-tolerance/child abuse hotline number posters</li> <li>• Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff)</li> <li>• Interviews with youth including those who have filed a grievance (not PREA related)</li> <li>• Interview with PREA Compliance Manager</li> <li>• Facility audit tour observations</li> <li>• Review of grievance tracking sheet</li> <li>• Review of a sample of grievances (not PREA-related)</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a)</b></p> <p>The Travis County Gardner-Betts Juvenile Justice Center Program has multiple avenues by which residents can privately report sexual abuse, sexual harassment, or retaliation by other residents or staff. The Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Services Juvenile Handbook clearly describes the grievance process (pages 6-7). More specifically the handbook states:</p> <p>“If you feel like you have been treated unfairly by any staff member, please use the following process to resolve/solve your grievance. Talk to the on-duty staff or Unit Leader and try to solve your problem. • If you could not resolve the grievance by talking to staff, ask any staff member for a Grievance Form and pencil. Grievance Forms are also available in the day area. NOTE: Staff may ask you to wait for a more appropriate time to fill out grievances. • Fill out the form or request a staff member to help you. • Return the form and pencil to any staff member and the form will be taken to the Shift Supervisor. • If you don’t want anyone to read your grievance except a Shift Supervisor, you can ask to send the grievance directly to the Supervisor in a sealed envelope. • The Shift Supervisor will contact you within 3</p>

working days for an initial decision. • If you are not happy with the decision of the Shift Supervisor, please ask to speak with the Detention Division Manager. • If you have an emergency grievance, you should ask to send the grievance directly to the Supervisor. An emergency grievance is when something is affecting your safety or health. For example, if you are not getting proper medical care or if you were being physically abused, you should submit an emergency grievance. • Staff will not give you restrictions or punish you for submitting a grievance.”

Interviews with youth verified all youth knew the various ways they could report and that reports of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation could be made directly to outside entities. All youth interviewed articulated that if someone was harming them, they would tell a staff member, their lawyer or their parent/guardian. All youth also understood that s/he could write a written grievance using the facility’s grievance form and hand it in a sealed envelope to a staff member they trusted or place it in the locked grievance box. Youth and staff both reported staff are not permitted to open the envelope but instead, are required to give the grievance to the Shift Supervisor (who passes it along to the Grievance Coordinator). The auditor observed posters hung up throughout the program displaying the TX DJJ abuse hotline number which nearly all youth referenced as one reporting option. Most youth understood they are able to file an anonymous grievance; that they could file a grievance on behalf of another resident; and that a family member or third party could file a grievance on the youth’s behalf.

Detention Services Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties” supports expectations outlined in this PREA provision. More specifically, the policy states, “The Department will provide multiple ways to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment....Any juvenile wishing to make a report of abuse will be granted access to the necessary tools to make those reports. Tools may include, but are not limited to: 1. grievances or paper to document the complaint; 2. writing utensils; and 3. access to oversight agencies, such as Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD), by phone or uncensored letters” (Section III) This same information also appears in agency Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties.”

There have been two allegations of sexual abuse made at the Gardner-Betts facility (both allegations were determined to be unfounded). The allegations were reported to staff verbally and one youth requested to call the abuse hotline to make a report himself (which he did). To get a broader sense of how the facility addresses grievances the auditor also reviewed a sample of written grievances (non-PREA related) that were filed in the past 12 months. The facility has a form titled, “Travis County Grievance Review Form” on which the Gardner-Betts Case Manager logs the information obtained during the “investigation;” the date youth was notified of the outcome; and action taken. The form also includes a space that asks whether the youth wishes to appeal the decision and requires the youth to sign and date they have received/discussed this information. The auditor also reviewed the Excel Grievance Tracking sheet which details the number of grievances, the type of grievance, the outcome/resolution, and whether there was an appeal to the grievance resolution. The auditor determined the facility has a clear process in place for addressing grievances and thoroughly investigating all concerns raised by

residents.

**Provision (b)**

Detention Services Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties” supports expectations outlined in this PREA provision. More specifically, the policy states, “Any juvenile wishing to make a report of abuse will be granted access to the necessary tools to make those reports. Tools may include, but are not limited to: 1. grievances or paper to document the complaint; 2. writing utensils; and 3. access to oversight agencies, such as Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD), by phone or uncensored letters” (Section II, 1a). This same information also appears in agency Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties.”

All youth interviewed articulated that if someone was harming them, they would tell a staff member or file a written grievance. The majority of youth also referenced at least one external source they could contact if they did not feel safe confiding in Gardner-Betts staff. Most youth stated they would tell their parent/guardian or lawyer. Most youth also articulated that they could call the TX DJJ abuse hotline by calling the number on the posters displayed on the residential living units. This information can also be found in the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Services Juvenile Handbook, which is available to youth outside the staff office on the living units.

Youth also understood they have the right to privacy when making a report of abuse (calling the hotline number). Youth explained that staff would probably take them to an empty unit to make this call as needed and would observe youth but would not be within ear-shot. Staff interviews confirmed this would be the method to afford youth privacy when reporting.

The agency and facility have formal policies and documents supporting provisions in this standard. More specifically Policy AS-901 “Reporting Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation” states, “Signs reflecting the Department’s zero tolerance policy concerning the abuse of juveniles shall be prominently displayed in public areas as determined by the Chief or designee. Signs will include methods for juveniles to have unimpeded access to report allegations directly to TJJD” (Section III, E, 1). In addition, agency Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment” (Section III, G, 6) explains residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes will be provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security. The contact information (telephone number and mailing address) for the US Department of Homeland Security and the Mexican Consulate are provided in the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Services Juvenile Handbook.

In addition, the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Services Juvenile Handbook (page 5) clearly explains a youth’s right to report the abuse via phone and provides the phone numbers for the Texas Juvenile Justice Department and the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services abuse hotline (to make a report).

**Provision (c)**

The agency has several policies supporting expectations laid out in this provision. Specifically, agency Policy AS-901 “Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation” states, “Allegations will be accepted verbally or in writing from juveniles, parents / guardians, a third party or a private or anonymous source. There are no time limits for reporting allegations” (Section III A, 2) This same policy also declares, “If an ANE allegation is received from an anonymous source, TJJD, or any outside agency or entity, the staff member receiving the information will follow the steps outlined in C (1-3) of this policy to make the required notifications and reports” (Section III, C, 4).

Similar information related to third-party and anonymous reports appear in agency Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties.” More specifically, agency Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties” states, “Juveniles may have third parties file an allegation on their behalf. For those situations, requests may be received from: 115.351 (c)-1 a. Fellow juveniles; b. Staff members; c. Family members; d. Attorneys; e. Outside advocates; and / or f. Oversight agencies (such as TJJD or DSHS).” This policy also states “Staff shall accept reports made verbally and in writing from juveniles, parents / guardians and third parties. Private and /or anonymous reports will also be accepted” (Section III, A, 2).

The Gardner-Betts facility also has policy language supporting third-party reporting. More specifically, facility Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties” directs, “Staff shall accept reports made verbally and in writing from juveniles, parents / guardians and third parties. Private and / or anonymous reports will also be accepted” (Section III, A, 1).

Agency policy “AS-401: Staff Training and Development Plan” requires all JSO staff be trained on the department procedures for reporting abuse, neglect and exploitation (Section III, F, 2, e).

All staff and youth interviewed verified that staff are mandatory reporters and are required to report all allegations including third-party and anonymous reports.

**Provision (d)**

As previously described, all youth reported that s/he could write a written grievance using the facility’s grievance form and handing the sealed envelope to a staff member they trusted. Youth and staff both reported staff are not permitted to open the envelope but instead, are required to give the grievance to the Shift Supervisor to open as soon as possible. This Shift Supervisor is then required to read the grievance and give the grievance to the facility’s designated manager - the Case Worker Manager/Grievance Officer. Several agency policies support this standard provision. Policy AS-901 “Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation” clearly states, “Any juvenile wishing to make a report of abuse will be granted access to the necessary tools to make those reports. Resources may include, but are not limited to: 1. grievances or paper to document the complaint; 2. writing utensils; 3. reasonable access to confidential contact with attorneys and designated

representatives of attorneys through telephone, uncensored letters and personal visits; and 4. access to oversight agencies and victim advocacy entities, such as TJJD, DSHS and SafePlace, by phone or uncensored letters.” This same language appears in agency Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties.” In addition, facility Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties” directs, “Any juvenile wishing to make a report of abuse will be granted access to the necessary tools to make those reports. Tools may include, but are not limited to: 1. grievances or paper to document the complaint; 2. writing utensils; and 3. access to oversight agencies, such as Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD), by phone or uncensored letters” (Section II, 1a).

Staff and youth interviews confirmed that youth are provided with the tools necessary to make a written report. In addition, review of a sample of non-PREA related grievances provided evidence that residents understand how to make a written report using the grievance form.

**Provision (e)**

As previously mentioned, youth interviewed understood they have the right to privacy when making a report of abuse (calling the hotline number or writing a grievance that is in a sealed envelope). Youth explained that if s/he wanted to call the abuse hotline number, staff would transport them to an empty living unit to make this call. Youth explained that they would have privacy – staff would dial the phone and then observe them from afar (not within ear shot). Staff interviews verified this would be the method used to afford youth privacy when making an abuse reporting. Staff and youth also confirmed that written grievances are sealed and not read by JDOs (only Shift Supervisors and the Gardner-Betts Case Work Manager/Grievance Officer are permitted to read these grievances in private).

In support of this PREA expectation, agency Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties” explains, “Staff may report a complaint privately to an individual other than their direct supervisor if they believe that following the chain of command will jeopardize the confidentiality or integrity of the complaint.” The Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Resident Rights which are reviewed with youth at intake, clearly states, “You have the right to confidentiality when reporting incidents of abuse, neglect, or exploitation.”

<b>115.352</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Exceeds Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties”</li> <li>• Policy 9-DS-4 “Juvenile Grievance Procedures”</li> </ul>



- Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties”
- Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications”
- Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Services Juvenile Handbook
- Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Resident Rights (read to youth at intake)
- Pamphlet for youth “A Teen’s Guide to Reporting Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation in Juvenile Justice Facilities” (published by Texas Juvenile Justice Department)
- “Travis County Grievance Review Form”
- Random sample of written grievances (non-PREA related)
- Grievance Excel Tracking Sheet and Charts
- Interviews with youth
- Interviews with Shift Supervisors
- Interview with JDOs (direct care staff)
- Interview with the Grievance Coordinator/Case Work Manager

***Provision (a)***

Youth can file a grievance at any time while at the Gardner-Betts facility and are not required to use an informal grievance process such as attempting to resolve the issue with the staff member who may be the subject of the grievance. The facility Policy 9-DS-4 “Juvenile Grievance Procedures” clearly states, “Juveniles in Detention will be afforded a method of addressing complaints about their treatment and facility services through a formalized grievance process.” Youth and staff interviews verified the facility has a formal grievance process in place. The process involves youth filling out the “Travis County Grievance Review Form” and placing it in a sealed envelope. Youth can hand the envelope to a staff member of their choosing or place it in the locked grievance box on the unit (which is checked daily by facility managers). JDOs are not permitted to open the grievance but are required to submit it to the Shift Supervisor as soon as possible. The Shift Supervisor is then required to give the grievance to the facility’s designated manager – Grievance Officer for resolution and documentation. Interviews with youth and staff verified this process is fully operationalized. As previously mentioned, the auditor reviewed a sample of grievances filed within the past 12 months. The grievance process is described in the in the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Services Juvenile Handbook and is explained to youth at intake.

***Provision (b)***

The Gardner-Betts facility has a formal grievance process in place. This process allows youth to file a grievance at any time while at the facility. The designated Grievance Officer/Case Work Manager is responsible for talking with youth and documenting the grievance resolution on the Travis County Grievance Form. In addition, the Case Work Manager logs each grievance in the Grievance Excel Tracking Sheet. Grievances are categorized (i.e., staff; point/level system; programming; facility; food services; and medical services) and grievance data is

aggregated (and corresponding charts created) on a monthly basis. Staff and youth interviews as well as the auditor's review of a sample of grievances, verified all grievances are addressed in a timely fashion. In support of this provision, Policy 9-DS-4 "Juvenile Grievance Procedures" states "All juveniles will have unimpeded access to the grievance process, including forms and methods of submission" (Section III, A, 2).

Staff interviews confirmed there is no time limit on when a youth can submit a grievance and that the facility does not require youth to submit a grievance to the subject of the grievance. This is supported by facility Policy 4-DS-11 "First Responder Duties" which includes the language: "Any juvenile wishing to make a verbal report of abuse may tell any staff member without having to submit it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint" (Section III, page 3).

***Provision (c)***

In support of this PREA provision, agency Policy AS-903 "First Responder Duties" states, "Any juvenile wishing to make a verbal report of abuse may tell any staff member without having to submit it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. c. Juveniles may report allegations anonymously by calling the 24 hour tollfree hotline established by TJJD or DSHS for licensed substance use programs." Facility Policy 4-DS-11 "First Responder Duties" also includes language: "Any juvenile wishing to make a verbal report of abuse may tell any staff member without having to submit it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint" (Section III, A, 3). All youth and staff interviewed verified they can submit a grievance to any staff member including submitting it directly to the Grievance Officer/Case Work Manager and/or the Facility Superintendent (Director of Detention Services).

***Provision (d)***

Although there have been no PREA-related written grievances filed in the past 24 months, the auditor reviewed a sample of non-PREA related written grievances filed between May 2022 - June 2023 to determine if grievances are addressed in a timely manner consistent with PREA expectations. Most grievances were reviewed by the Grievance Coordinator (or designee) within 24 - 72 hours. In addition, the majority of grievances were resolved (1st level of review/resolution) within the 96 hours of receiving the written grievance. None of the grievances reviewed were emergency grievances (besides the two reports that were made and investigated). Non-PREA related grievances reviewed included perception of unfair treatment (points taken away for cursing at staff); wanting additional food items; not wanting to go outside for recreation; wondering about mail being lost; cold food; etc. All grievance forms indicated documentation of the initial findings and how the grievance was resolved (action taken) These filed grievances were signed by the Grievance Coordinator and the youth. Each grievance form indicated whether the youth wished to appeal the grievance decision. For those few grievances that youth wished to appeal the decision, the program documented additional steps taken (including referring to Compliance for investigation of the PREA related allegations).

In support of this provision, the facility Policy 9-DS-4 "Juvenile Grievance Procedures" states, "the Grievance Officer will review his/her resolution of the grievance with the aggrieved juvenile and provide the juvenile a written response within 5 days of receiving the grievance" (Section III). Evidence reviewed and interviews confirmed the facility responds rapidly to grievances and exceeds the PREA of 90 days.

***Provision (e)***

Facility Policy 4-DS-11 "First Responder Duties" states "Juveniles may have third parties file an allegation on their behalf. For those situations, requests may be received from: a. Fellow juveniles; b. Staff members; c. Family members; d. Attorneys; e. Outside advocates; and /or f. Oversight agencies (such as TJJD)" (Section III, A, 2). All youth interviewed stated they understood that a family member or staff member could assist them in filing a grievance. Similarly, all staff confirmed they would assist youth with writing a grievance upon request (and many stated they would offer this option to youth).

***Provision (f)***

Facility Policy 4-DS-11 "First Responder Duties supports this provision. Specifically, the policy states, "When a staff learns that a juvenile is at risk of sexual abuse, he or she will take appropriate steps to protect the juvenile immediately, but no later than 48 hours. The initial information will be reviewed and remedial steps for protecting the juvenile will be made in the event of a serious and credible threat or legitimate fear from the juvenile. 2. Information reviewed about the threat may include the specific type of risk, the credibility of the information, the juvenile's housing and program assignment and previous victimization or risk of victimization. 3. Based on the initial information, the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer (Chief) or designee may initiate an internal investigation. 4. After the review, corrective action may be taken and documented accordingly. Actions may include but are not limited to: a. Separating the potential victim from the source of the threat; b. Reclassifying the juvenile; c. Adding staff supervision; d. Reviewing of any specialized treatment or housing plans; and / or e. Implementing a safety plan" (Section III, B). In addition, the facility Policy 9-DS-4 "Juvenile Grievance Procedures" directs, "The Grievance Officer will review his/her resolution of the grievance with the aggrieved juvenile and provide the juvenile a written response within 5 days of receiving the grievance" (Section III, D, 2). Interviews with staff supported that grievances are addressed within a day or two of the grievance being filed. Emergency grievances, such as a sexual abuse allegation would be addressed immediately or within 24 hours at the latest.

As previously mentioned, a sample of two sexual abuse allegations and investigation report and supporting documents, verified all grievances are addressed immediately. Both investigations for the sexual abuse allegations commenced within less than 48 hours. In addition, the auditor reviewed a sample of non-emergency and non-PREA related grievances during the onsite audit. Each of the grievance forms included a resolution; whether youth wished to appeal the

	<p>decision; and youth signature and date verifying the outcome was discussed with the youth. This serves as sufficient documentation of compliance with this provision which requires an initial facility response to emergency grievances within 48 hours and a final decision regarding youth placement is issued well within the five-day requirement. Staff and youth interviews verified all grievances are taken seriously and handled immediately by the Grievance Officer/Case Work Manager.</p> <p>The auditor concludes the facility “exceeds” expectation for this standard provision.</p> <p><b>Provision (g)</b></p> <p>Agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” states, “For the purpose of disciplinary action, a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred will not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish sufficient evidence to support that the allegation was founded” (Section III, C). The Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Resident Rights is reviewed youth at intake and clearly states, “You have the right NOT to be punished or retaliated against for reporting incidents of abuse, neglect, or exploitation.” Youth interviews revealed all youth understood they would not be punished or retaliated against for making a report in good faith. Staff interviews also confirmed they understand retaliation is prohibited.</p>
--	---

<b>115.353</b>	<b>Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties”</li> <li>• Policy 12-DS-11 “Access to Telephones”</li> <li>• Policy 12-DS-2 “Juvenile Visitation”</li> <li>• Policy 12-DS-3 “Correspondence”</li> <li>• Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse”</li> <li>• MOU between Travis County Juvenile Department and Safe Alliance - Travis County Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Survival Center (executed February 2019)</li> <li>• Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Services Juvenile Handbook</li> <li>• Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Resident Rights (read to youth at intake)</li> <li>• Abuse Education for the Orientation Process</li> <li>• Interviews with youth</li> </ul>

- Interviews with intake staff
- Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff)

***Provision (a)***

The Gardner-Betts facility provides residents with access to outside victim advocates. Contact information (i.e., telephone number and mailing address) for Safe Alliance is provided in the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Services Juvenile Handbook. More specifically, page 5 of the handbook explains a youth's right to report the abuse via phone and provides the phone numbers for the Texas Juvenile Justice Department and the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services abuse hotline (to make a report). There is also a description of Safe Alliance which is the local community advocacy center (related to PREA standard 115.353). The description in the handbook includes an explanation that the "SafePlace hotline can give you emotional support and access to counseling, so you can begin to heal from the trauma of sexual abuse. The hotline crisis intervention specialists are staff and volunteers trained to handle calls from sexual abuse survivors. This hotline features a relay/VRS for the Deaf community, Spanish-speaking advocates and can use interpretation services to respond to callers who speak other languages." The SafePlace hotline number (#512-267-7233) and mailing address (Safe Alliance, PO Box 19454, Austin, TX 78760) are provided.

In support of this PREA provision the agency's Policy AS-905 "Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse" requires youth have access to outside support services and legal representation. More specifically, the policy explains, "Victim advocate services providing support, crisis intervention, information and additional referrals are available to all victims of sexual abuse. 1. If requested by the juvenile, a victim advocate or qualified individual from a community-based organization will accompany and support the juvenile through the forensic medical examination and investigatory interviews. 2. Current mailing addresses and telephone numbers of the local victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations will be made available. Information for state and national advocacy agencies will be made available as requested. 3. The Division Director / designee will facilitate reasonable communication between residents and support services as requested" (Section III, E 1-4). In further support of this provision, facility Policy 4-DS-11 "First Responder Duties" (page 8) states, "Treatment and counseling staff will ensure the victim's mental health needs are addressed. a. The counselor will follow up with victim to see if any crisis intervention counseling services are needed. b. The counselor will assist the victim in accessing outside advocates as requested."

Youth interviews revealed not all youth were aware of the emotional support services available to them if they are victims of sexual abuse. That said, this information is provided at intake and can be found in the Juvenile Handbooks located on each living unit. This information is available to youth in several formats at intake and throughout their stay at the facility.

**Provision (b)**

Agency Policy "AS-905: Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse" states, "to the extent allowable by local, state and federal law, communications will be private. Prior to the contact, the victim will be informed of the extent of the confidentiality or privilege allowed" (Section III, E, 4). Staff interviews provided evidence that youth would be afforded privacy when receiving emotional support services. Staff reported a youth who alleged sexual abuse and wished to access emotional support services would be taken to an empty unit to make the phone call. Staff would visually observe youth and be out of ear shot of youth. An interview with a youth who made a report to the abuse hotline, stated that this was the process that was followed. Staff were about 20 feet away by the staff office but not listening to the conversation.

**Provision (c)**

In support of provisions in this standard, the Travis County Juvenile Department has secured an executed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with a local victim advocacy center, Safe Alliance. The MOU was executed in February 2019. The MOU provides details regarding the responsibilities of each party. For example the MOU states that Safe Alliance will provide victim support services seven days a week; complete specialized training regarding the prevalence and dynamics of sexual abuse while in custody; provide juveniles with referrals for treatment after release from custody or upon transfer to another facility; provide a victim advocate upon request from the juvenile department or youth; allow the victim advocate to accompany and support the victim during the SANE exam and during investigatory interviews; etc. Interviews with facility managers verified that sexual abuse victims would be offered emotional support services. The auditor reviewed the MOU and determined the facility is in compliance on this provision.

**Provision (d)**

The agency and facility have several policies to support this provision. More specifically, agency Policy AS-905 states, "Juveniles in facilities have the right to call, send letters and receive visits from attorneys and parents / legal guardians as detailed in the facility's communications policies" (Section III, E). The facility Policy 5-DS-5 "Juvenile Supervision and Movement" also puts forth, "Private visitation between one juvenile and his or her attorney, an authorized visitor, or clergy may occur without the constant physical presence of a JDO." In addition, facility Policy 12-DS-1 "Access to Telephone" directs, "Juveniles will be provided reasonable phone access to their attorneys. Juveniles will not be in audible range of facility staff or other juveniles when making calls to their attorneys or their attorneys' designated representatives" (Section III, H). Similarly, facility Policy 12-DS-2 "Juvenile Visitation" also requires, "Juveniles will be allowed to visit with a parent, legal guardian or custodian at least once every seven (7) calendar days for at least 30 minutes"(Section III, A).

Furthermore, facility Policy 12-DS-3 "Correspondence" states, "The Detention Facility recognizes the juveniles' needs for and right to maintain contact with

persons outside the Detention Facility and asserts that juveniles may do so with a reasonable degree of privacy” (Section I). This same policy also clarifies, “The amount of mail a juvenile may receive or send is unlimited....Legal correspondence will not be limited...Legal Correspondence is never opened by JDOs....Juveniles are permitted to send sealed letters to specific persons and organizations, including but not limited to: 1. Courts 2. Lawyers 3. Officials of the Confining and Releasing Authorities. 4. Administrator of the Grievance System” (Section III, D - G).

The Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Services Juvenile Handbook also informs youth of these rights to privacy with their attorneys. In addition, the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Resident Rights that is read to youth at intake clearly states, “You have the right to confidential contact with legal counsel through telephone, uncensored letters, and personal visits at any reasonable time” (page 4). All youth interviewed verified they are permitted to talk with their attorneys. Staff interviewed explained how they provide privacy when a youth makes this call (process is explained earlier sections of this audit findings report).

The Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Resident Rights also states, “You have the right to visitation with your parent/guardian and siblings.” Youth interviews confirmed they are able to visit with their families in person and via telephone/video several times throughout the week and are afforded reasonable privacy when doing so.

<b>115.354</b>	<b>Third-party reporting</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-901 “Reporting of Child Abuse Neglect and Exploitation”</li> <li>• “End the Silence” pamphlet posted on the agency’s website (Brochure_PREA_male_v2 (traviscountytexas.gov))</li> <li>• “Referrals of Allegations for Investigation” posted on the agency’s website</li> <li>• “End the Silence” posters observed posted throughout the facility provides phone number for reporting abuse to the Texas Juvenile Probation Department</li> </ul> <p>As described in other sections of this report, Travis County Probation Department and the Gardner-Betts facility have several policies requiring staff to take reports from third parties and requiring them to contact the necessary authorities (including TX DJJ). Agency Policy AS-901 “Reporting of Child Abuse Neglect and Exploitation” requires, “Allegations will be accepted verbally or in writing from juveniles, parents / guardians, a third party or a private or anonymous source. There are no time limits</p>

	<p>for reporting allegations” (Section III, A, 2).</p> <p>The Travis County Probation Department makes third-party reporting information available through the “End the Silence” pamphlet posted on the agency website (<a href="http://www.traviscountytexas.gov">www.traviscountytexas.gov</a>). The webpage also hosts a document titled, “Referrals of Allegations for Investigation” which states, “Allegations of abuse, neglect and exploitation, to include sexual abuse and sexual harassment are accepted verbally and in writing. Third parties, such as family members, attorneys and advocates may file an allegation on the behalf of a juvenile served by the Department. Private reports may be submitted through the toll-free hotline operated by the Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD) by calling 1-877-STOP ANE (1-877-786-7263)” (page 1).</p> <p>While onsite the auditor observed “End the Silence” posters throughout the facility (including in the intake area) that provides the ANE reporting hotline number. All direct care staff and facility leaders interviewed reported they are required to report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to the appropriate authorities (i.e., supervisors, law enforcement, TX JJJ, etc.).</p>
--	---

<b>115.361</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications”</li> <li>• Policy AS-901 “Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation”</li> <li>• Policy AS-217 “Administrative Investigations”</li> <li>• Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment”</li> <li>• Staff PREA Training (describing mandatory reporting duties and coordinated response activities)</li> <li>• Sample of staff PREA training records</li> <li>• Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff)</li> <li>• Interview with PREA Compliance Manager</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Detention Services</li> <li>• Interview with the Case Work Manager</li> <li>• Interview with Clinician</li> <li>• Interview with facility nurses</li> <li>• Investigation reports (from 2023) showing proper notification was made and documented</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a)</b></p> <p>The state of Texas child abuse reporting laws requires individuals working with</p>



children, who have reasonable cause to believe that a child has been abused or neglected, to make a report to the TX Juvenile Justice Department. In support of this regulation, the Travis County Probation Department has several policies that clearly state all individuals who work at the Probation Department (including the Gardner-Betts facility) are mandatory reporters and that they are required to report allegations of sexual abuse immediately to the appropriate authorities. More specifically, agency Policy AS-901 "Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation" states, "The staff will notify the law enforcement agency having criminal investigation jurisdiction of the allegation, which includes Travis County Sherriff's Department at the main campus and Austin Police Department and / or AISD Police Department at satellite office and program space" (Section III, C, 1). Similarly, the agency Policy AS-217 "Administrative Investigations" directs any staff member "...who feels that he or she is a victim of discrimination or harassment or has been subjected to retaliation should immediately report it to his or her immediate supervisor, Division Manager, Division Director, Assistant Chief; or HR personnel." (Section III, H, 1).

Review of the staff PREA training verified mandatory reporting responsibilities are addressed as well as how to make these reports. Training records verified all staff have been formally trained on reporting requirements. Staff interviews verified all staff (facility managers and direct care staff) understand they are mandatory reporters and are obligated to report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding incidents of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and/or retaliation.

***Provision (b)***

As previously stated, all staff interviewed understand mandatory reporters and the state of Texas expectation under child abuse reporting laws. In support of this provision the agency Policy AS-901 "Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation" states, "In accordance with Texas Family Code 261.101, the duty to report cannot be delegated to another person. 115.361 (b)-1 a. The staff member who is the first person of knowledge shall report the information immediately, but no later than being relieved of duty on the same date he or she receives the information. b. The requirement to report under this section applies without exception to an individual whose personal communications may otherwise be privileged, to include an attorney, a member of the clergy, a medical practitioner, a social worker, or a mental health professional" (Section III, A, 5).

***Provision (c)***

The Gardner-Betts facility prohibits staff from revealing information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than the extent necessary to make decisions related to treatment, investigations, and safety and security. When interviewed, staff stated that that they are not permitted to investigate the incident or to share detailed information with anyone about the allegation (only the minimal information to ensure youth and staff safety). Several staff stated they could be terminated for sharing details and breaking privacy expectations. In support of this practice, agency Policy AS-901 "Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation" states,

“The staff member will maintain confidentiality of all reports and notifications. a. Pertinent information will only be disclosed if needed to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions” (Section III, A, 8).

***Provision (d)***

Agency Policy AS-901 “Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation” states, “In accordance with Texas Family Code 261.101, the duty to report cannot be delegated to another person. The staff member who is the first person of knowledge shall report the information immediately...The requirement to report under this section applies without exception to an individual whose personal communications may otherwise be privileged, to include an attorney, a member of the clergy, a medical practitioner, a social worker, or a mental health professional” (Section III, A, 5). All medical and mental health staff understood their obligation to report sexual abuse.

In further support of this PREA provision, the agency Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment” directs, “Residents will be notified of the staff duty to report and the limitations of confidentiality when allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassments are disclosed” (Section III) All facility nurses and the Clinician verified they disclose their responsibilities as a mandatory report to youth prior to engaging youth in services. In addition, all youth interviewed knew about confidentiality and understood that staff’s duty as a mandatory reporter supersedes the confidentiality clause in situations of alleged sexual abuse.

***Provision (e)***

Provision (e) of this PREA standard requires the Program Director or designee to contact the alleged victim’s parents or legal guardians; case worker if youth is under the guardianship of the child welfare system; and youth’s attorney or legal representative within 14 days of receiving the allegation. Although the Gardner-Betts facility has not had an allegation of sexual abuse in the past 12 months, the program has a practice in place of making these notifications for all allegations of sexual harassment and/or sexual abuse. Staff interviews verified they are required to make these notifications and document them on the incident report. Specifically, Director of Detention Services explained that when an abuse report is made to the state, the Shift Supervisor is responsible for contacting the parent/legal guardian to alert them of the allegation and to what the facility is doing to ensure their child’s safety. The Director also explained the Shift Supervisor is also responsible for contacting the youth’s probation officer. He or his designee would consult with the agency’s General Counsel to determine who would inform the youth’s lawyer. The auditor also reviewed two investigation reports from 2023 and supplemental documents to confirm these notifications are consistently made.

In support of this practice the agency Policy AS-901 “Reporting Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation” states, “Within 72 hours of learning of the allegation, the Chief or designee will communicate the Department’s report to the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer, Facility Administrator or governing body of a department that placed the juvenile in a Travis County facility, program or on interim or permanent

supervision. The Chief or designee will make the appropriate notifications of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. a. If the court retains jurisdiction over the juvenile, the juvenile’s attorney or other legal representative will be contacted within 14 days of the receipt of the allegation” (Section III, C, 5-6). Similarly, agency Policy AS-901 “Reporting Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation” directs that for Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation (ANE) allegations occurring at a non-Department facility that “Within 72 hours of learning of the ANE allegation, reports will be made to the facility head and /or the governing body of the jurisdiction where the allegation is alleged to have occurred” (Section III, D, 10).

**Provision (f)**

The agency Policy AS-901 “Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation” states, “Upon observing or learning that a juvenile may be a victim of physical, mental, emotional or sexual abuse, neglect, exploitation, or sexual harassment (ANE), the staff will take immediate steps to report the allegation” (Section III, A).

This same policy also explains, “If an ANE allegation is received from an anonymous source, TJJD, or any outside agency or entity, the staff member receiving the information will follow the steps outlined in C (1-3) of this policy to make the required notifications and reports” (Section III, C,4). As previously mentioned, all staff interviewed articulated that they are obligated to report all allegations abuse regardless of the source of the report (i.e., anonymous, third-party, etc.). Youth interviews also verified that the majority of youth understood they could make an anonymous report or have someone else make a report on their behalf including a staff member or family member.

All evidence reviewed (i.e., policies, documents, youth and staff interviews, etc.) allows the auditor to conclude the facility is in compliance on all provisions in this standard.

<b>115.362</b>	<b>Agency protection duties</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-901 “Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation”</li> <li>• Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties”</li> <li>• Policy AS-217 “Administrative Investigations”</li> <li>• Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties”</li> <li>• Review of two investigation reports (from 2023) and supplemental documents</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Accreditation and Compliance (designee for the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer)</li> </ul>

- Interview with Administrative Services Senior Director (human resources)
- Interviews with nurses
- Interviews with mental health clinician
- Interview with PCM
- Interview with JDOs (direct care staff)

All Gardner-Betts staff interviewed verified they are formally trained on how to keep youth safe in the event they are at imminent risk for sexual abuse. Interviewees explained the process as taking the immediate action to separate the alleged perpetrator and victim. Interviews with facility leaders, PCM, and direct care staff confirmed that in the event a staff member was alleged to have sexually abused a youth, the staff member would be immediately escorted out of the facility and placed on administrative leave. This practice is supported by agency Policy AS-217 "Administrative Investigations" which states, "At the Juvenile Board Chair, Chief or designee's discretion, an employee may be reassigned or placed on administrative leave pending the outcome of an investigation. Interns, volunteers, contractors and other individuals working under the auspices of the Department may not be allowed to work at the Department in their respective capacities during the course of the investigation."

Staff interviews also revealed that in the event of a youth-on-youth sexual abuse allegation, the program would immediately separate the youth and ensure youth were properly supervised by staff to guard against self-harm or harm to others. As part of the response protocol staff immediately inform the Shift Supervisor of the allegation. Staff interviews revealed JDOs and Shift Supervisors understand the coordinated response protocol which includes immediate action and then following up to ensure safety longer term (i.e., changing youth bedrooms, providing one-on-one staff supervision, etc.). Interviews with nurses and the mental health clinician also verified they are mandatory reporters and that they inform youth of the limitations to confidentiality prior to initiating services.

The agency and facility have policies to support this PREA standard. More specifically, facility Policy 4-DS-11 "First Responder Duties" states, "When a staff learns that a juvenile is at risk of sexual abuse, he or she will take appropriate steps to protect the juvenile immediately, but no later than 48 hours" (Section III, B).

Agency Policy AS-903 "First Responder Duties" also states, "When a staff learns that a juvenile is at risk of sexual abuse, he or she will take appropriate steps to protect the juvenile immediately, but no later than 48 hours. The initial information will be reviewed and remedial steps for protecting the juvenile will be made in the event of a serious and credible threat or legitimate fear from the juvenile. 2. Information reviewed about the threat may include the specific type of risk, the credibility of the information, the juvenile's housing and program assignment and previous victimization or risk of victimization" (Section III, B, 1 -2).

Similarly, facility Policy 4-DS-11 "First Responder Duties" directs, "When a staff learns that a juvenile is at risk of sexual abuse, he or she will take appropriate steps to protect the juvenile immediately, but no later than 48 hour" (Section III, B). This

	<p>same policy further explains, “2. Information reviewed about the threat may include the specific type of risk, the credibility of the information, the juvenile’s housing and program assignment and previous victimization or risk of victimization. 3. Based on the initial information, the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer (Chief) or designee may initiate an internal investigation. 4. After the review, corrective action may be taken and documented accordingly. Actions may include but are not limited to: a. Separating the potential victim from the source of the threat; b. Reclassifying the juvenile; c. Adding staff supervision; d. Reviewing of any specialized treatment or housing plans; and / or e. Implementing a safety plan” (Section III, B, 2-4).</p> <p>Review of two investigation reports and supplemental documents (i.e., sexual abuse allegations) verified the facility immediately responds when a youth is at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. The document review provided additional evidence that the parents and/or legal guardians are notified when an allegation of sexual abuse is made.</p> <p>All evidence reviewed (i.e., policies, documents, staff interviews, etc.) allows the auditor to conclude the facility is in compliance on all provisions in this standard.</p>
--	---

<b>115.363</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-901 “Reporting Child Abuse, Neglect and Exploitation”</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Accreditation and Compliance (designee for the Travis County Probation Chief Juvenile Probation Officer)</li> <li>• Interview with the Director of Detention Services</li> <li>• Interview with PCM</li> <li>• Interviews with internal PREA Investigators</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a)</b></p> <p>The Gardner-Betts facility has not had an incident in which a youth disclosed they were sexually abused while in a prior placement/facility in the past 12 months prior to the onsite review. However, facility and agency interviews indicated that if this were to happen, the Director of Detention Services would be responsible for contacting the superintendent/program director of the youth’s prior placement within 72 hours. In support of these testimonies the Policy AS-901 states, “The Chief or designee will make the appropriate notifications of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment” (Section III, C, 6). This same policy explains “For ANE allegations occurring at a non-Department facility: If the incident occurred in an outside facility or program, reports are made to the operating, licensing, certifying,</p>

or registering agency and /or the agency responsible for regulation or oversight of the facility or program where the incident is alleged to have occurred” (Section III, D). The policy provides detailed instructions for reporting to various types of facilities based on the agency who operates the facility and where the abuse is alleged to occur – i.e., a residential facility or program licensed by TDFPS; a residential facility or program licensed by the Texas Department of State Health Services (TDSHS); a residential facility or program registered by TJJD; a facility operated by TJJD; outside a facility, etc.

Agency Policy AS-901 “Reporting Child Abuse, Neglect and Exploitation” supports this PREA provision stating, “Within 72 hours of learning of the ANE allegation, reports will be made to the facility head and /or the governing body of the jurisdiction where the allegation is alleged to have occurred. a. If the allegation was made while a juvenile was in a facility, the Division Director of the facility will communicate the Department’s report. b. If the allegation was made outside a facility, the Chief or designee that received the allegation will communicate the Department’s report. c. TDFPS or TJJD will also be notified of the allegation when either have conservatorship” (Section III, D, 10).

***Provision (b)***

Agency Policy AS-901 “Reporting Child Abuse, Neglect and Exploitation” supports this PREA provision stating, “Within 72 hours of learning of the ANE allegation, reports will be made to the facility head and /or the governing body of the jurisdiction where the allegation is alleged to have occurred” (Section III, D, 10).

Interviews with the PCM and Director of Detention Services verified a notification to the other facility superintendent would be made well within 72 hours (most likely within 24 hours of receiving the allegation).

***Provision (c)***

Interviews revealed that the notification to another facility superintendent where abuse alleged to have occurred would be documented on the incident report. Agency Policy AS-901 “Reporting Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation” directs staff to document the details of the allegation and the corresponding reporting information from DSHS on a Department serious incident report.

***Provision (d)***

As previously stated, staff interviews verified that all allegations of sexual abuse are investigated. In the event the Gardner-Betts facility received notification that abuse had occurred in its facility, the staff member would be obligated as a mandatory reporter to report this to the proper authorities (consistent with the facility’s coordinated response plan). As previously discussed, TCJP has several policies that support their practice that all allegations are investigated and that all proper notifications are made consistent with PREA expectations.

<b>115.364</b>	<b>Staff first responder duties</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties”</li> <li>• Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties”</li> <li>• Travis County PREA Power Point training for staff</li> <li>• “Role of the First Responder” Power Point Presentation (staff training)</li> <li>• Travis County First Responder Plan for PREA</li> <li>• Interviews with Juvenile Detention Officers (direct care staff)</li> <li>• Interviews with Shift Supervisors</li> <li>• Interview with PCM</li> <li>• Interview Director of Detention Services</li> </ul> <p><b>Provisions (a) and (b)</b></p> <p>Interviews with Juvenile Detention Officers (direct care staff), Shift Supervisors, and other facility leaders verified they understand their first responder duties. All staff reported in the event a resident alleges sexual abuse the staff member would take action immediately. Staff stated as part of their response they would: Separate the victim and perpetrator and protect the crime scene and all evidence (i.e., cordon off the room where the event may have occurred; ask youth not to shower, use the bathroom, or brush their teeth; ask youth not to change their clothes, etc.). A detailed review of the Power Point slides for the staff training titled, “Role of the First Responder” verified the training includes details on appropriate actions first responders must take. In addition the TCJPD has a First Responder PREA Plan that outlines the duties of the first responder as well as the medical staff; mental health staff; shift supervisors; and other individuals.</p> <p>The Travis County Probation Department and the Gardner-Betts facility have memorialized its coordinated response in formal policy. More specifically, facility Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties” directs, “The Department has a zero tolerance for sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Any staff with reason to believe a juvenile is a victim of sexual abuse or sexual harassment will take immediate steps to protect the juvenile from further harm All allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment will be taken seriously and responded to immediately” (Section I). This same policy directs the first responder to “4. take appropriate steps to separate the alleged victim from the alleged abuser; 5. request that the alleged victim and alleged abuser not take any actions to destroy physical evidence as outlined section F of this policy; 6. notify his or her supervisor or manager immediately; and 7. document and report the allegation” (Section III, D).</p> <p>The policy further explains, “E. In the event a staff member discovers or interrupts a sexual assault in progress in a facility, the staff will: 1. immediately call for</p>

assistance; 2. Take appropriate steps to separate the alleged victim from the alleged abuser; 3. request that the alleged victim and alleged abuser not take any actions to destroy physical evidence as outlined section F of this policy; 4. call the medical staff to assess the victim; 5. ensure minimal impact on the scene; and 6. identify language barriers, report and document the incident as outlined in section D of this policy after the immediate needs of the victim have been addressed” (Section III, E, 1-6).

Facility Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties” also states, “F. Key personnel will coordinate responses to an allegation or observation of sexual abuse. 1. In facilities, the supervisor on duty at a facility will coordinate the response and emergency services for the victim by: a. protecting the victim from further harm by separating him or her from the alleged perpetrator; b. contacting law enforcement and notifying them of the situation; c. getting medical assistance to address any acute health concerns; 1. if the alleged abuse occurs within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence: a. request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including as appropriate, washing / showering, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating; and ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence. 2. coordinating transportation to the appropriate health care facility, preferably one that can provide a SAFE / SANE exam; d. ensuring that the exact location where the sexual abuse occurred is protected until law enforcement can collect any evidence; 1. Steps will be taken to minimize disruption to scene of alleged assault. The supervisor will: a. minimize access to the area by moving all individuals out of the area and closing it off; b. be aware of DNA sources such as blood, semen, saliva, skin and hair; c. avoid talking, sneezing or coughing in the alleged crime scene to ensure minimal impact on evidence collection; d. be aware of additional evidence that will need to be collected, such as clothing, linens and fingerprints; and e. coordinate with law enforcement or other emergency responders as needed. 2. If possible, potential witnesses will be isolated; e. assisting in identifying witnesses and alleged perpetrator” (Section III, F, 1a-b).

Detailed first responder duties also appears in agency Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties” (page 4 - 7). More specifically, the policy includes specific directives that staff “ In facilities, the supervisor on duty at a facility will coordinate the response and emergency services for the victim by: 1 a. protecting the victim from further harm by separating him or her from the alleged perpetrator; b. contacting law enforcement and notifying them of the situation; c. getting medical assistance to address any acute health concern; c. getting medical assistance to address any acute health concerns; 1. if the alleged abuse occurs within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence: a. request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including as appropriate, washing / showering, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating; and b. ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence; 2. coordinating transportation to the appropriate health care facility, preferably one that can



	<p>provide a SAFE / SANE exam; d. ensuring that the exact location where the sexual abuse occurred is protected until law enforcement can collect any evidence; 1. Steps will be taken to minimize disruption to scene of alleged assault. The supervisor will: a. minimize access to the area by moving all individuals out of the area and closing it off; b. be aware of DNA sources such as blood, semen, saliva, skin and hair; c. avoid talking, sneezing or coughing in the alleged crime scene to ensure minimal impact on evidence collection; d. be aware of additional evidence that will need to be collected, such as clothing, linens and fingerprints; and e. coordinate with law enforcement or other emergency responders as needed. 2. If possible, potential witnesses will be isolated; e. assisting in identifying witnesses and alleged perpetrator; f. addressing additional safety concerns as needed; and g. ensuring the information is documented” (Section III, F, 1).</p> <p>Staff interviews and review of the First Responder Training slides provides sufficient evidence of compliance with this PREA standard.</p>
--	--

<b>115.365</b>	<b>Coordinated response</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties”</li> <li>• Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties”</li> <li>• Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment”</li> <li>• Review of Power Point slides for the “Role of the First Responder” training</li> <li>• Travis County First Responder Plan for PREA</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Detention Services</li> <li>• Interviews with internal PREA Investigators</li> <li>• Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff)</li> <li>• Interviews with Shift Supervisors</li> <li>• Interviews with Mental Health Clinician</li> <li>• Interviews with facility nurses</li> </ul> <p>The facility’s coordinated response plan is thoroughly described in several agency policies. These include agency Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties;” agency Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment;” and facility “Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties.” In addition to the detailed evidence provided in Standard 115.364 of this audit findings report, agency Policy AS-903 “First Responder Duties” describes the coordinated response protocol and the specific roles of first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership. More specifically, the policy states:</p>

- “The supervisor will notify or have someone notify the Division Director or designee and the Assistant Chief”(Section III, F, 2).
- “Medical staff will address the acute and long-term health care needs of the victim. a. The nurse will arrange for the victim to be transported to a health care facility where a forensic exam can be performed. b. The nurse will talk to the juvenile to ensure that the destruction of possible physical evidence is minimized. c. The nurse will provide for any special needs the victim may have. d. Additional medical needs will be attended to as outlined in AS-905: Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” (Section III, F, 3).
- “Treatment and counseling staff will ensure the victim’s mental health needs are addressed. a. The counselor will follow up with victim to see if any crisis intervention counseling services are needed. b. The counselor will assist the victim in accessing outside advocates as requested. Follow up treatment will be addressed as outlined in AS-905: Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” (Section III, F, 4).
- “The Division Director or designee will take the necessary steps to assist in coordinating the response. The Director or designee will follow up with the supervisory staff and ensure the victim is protected from further harm. b. The Director or designee will follow up with medical and mental health care staff to attend to the victim’s treatment needs. c. The Director or designee will ensure that protective custody is used as a last resort and only when other less restrictive measures are inadequate to protect the juvenile. d. If the alleged perpetrator is a staff member, The Director or designee will ensure the individual is placed on administrative leave or placed in a position in which he or she does not have any contact with juveniles pending the outcome of an investigation” (Section III, F, 5).

In addition, a review of Power Point slides for the “Role of the First Responder” training verified staff are trained each year on the coordinated response plan. Staff interviews verified all staff are aware of the coordinated response protocol and their role in the response process. Staff reported they respond immediately to allegations of sexual abuse. To ensure smooth coordination of activities related to first responder duties the facility uses the Travis County First Responder Plan for PREA to guide the response process in the event a sexual abuse allegation is made.

<b>115.366</b>	<b>Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<b>Evidenced Used in Compliance Determination:</b>

- AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment”
- Memorandum from Steve Owen, Accreditation and Compliance Officer to Sharon Pette, DOJ Certified PREA Auditor dated May 5, 2023
- Interview with Director of Accreditation and Compliance (designee for the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer)
- Interview with PCM
- Interview with Administrative Services Senior Director (human resources)
- Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff)

**Provisions (a) and (b)**

In support of provisions in this standard, a formal Memorandum dated 5/05/2023 was submitted by Steve Owen, PCM/Accreditation and Compliance Officer to the auditor. The memo reads, “Because Texas is a right to work state, the Department cannot enter into or renew a collective bargaining agreement. Pursuant to 37 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 358, all staff members who are identified as the person of interest in an allegation of abuse, neglect and exploitation, to include sexual abuse and sexual harassment, are required to be reassigned to positions that would not put them in contact with residents throughout the administrative investigation process.” In addition, the agency policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment” clearly states, “The Department will not enter into any agreements that would limit its ability to remove or reassign alleged staff abusers from contact with juveniles pending the outcome of an investigation or determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted” (Section III).

Interviews with agency leaders and facility staff members (management and non-management), confirmed that Travis County Juvenile Probation Department do not have existing collective bargaining agreements. In the event an allegation of sexual abuse is made, a staff member would immediately be placed on administrative leave until a thorough investigation has been completed.

<b>115.367</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications”</li> <li>• Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment”</li> <li>• Policy AS-217 “Administrative Investigations”</li> <li>• Retaliation Monitoring Form used by PREA Investigators</li> <li>• Investigation files</li> <li>• Interviews with PREA Investigators</li> </ul>

- Interview with PCM
- Interview with Direction of Detention Services
- Interviews with Shift Supervisors
- Interview with facility Clinician
- Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff)

**Provision (a)**

There are several Travis County Probation Department policies that put forth a zero tolerance for retaliation for making a report of sexual harassment or sexual abuse. Agency policy AS-217 “Administrative Investigations” states, “All staff members that report staff misconduct or cooperate with an investigation have the right to be free from discrimination, harassment and retaliation by staff or juveniles” (Section III, H). Similarly, agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” states, “Retaliation against any individual who reports sexual abuse or sexual harassment or who cooperates with an investigation is strictly prohibited” (Section III, G). In further support of this standard provision, Policy AS-904 further explains,

- “3. Staff participating in harassment, discrimination or retaliation will be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination as outlined in AS-214: Disciplinary Procedures” (Section III, H, 3).
- 3. Contractors or volunteers may be prohibited from further contact for with any individual under the supervision of the Department (Section III, D, 3).
- 6. Juveniles who participate in harassment, discrimination or retaliation will be subject to the program or facility’s disciplinary plan” (Section III, H, 4)
- “The Chief or designee will appoint an advocate to monitor victims of sexual abuse and residents that reported sexual abuse or cooperated with the investigation. Resident monitoring will include resident behavior changes, progress in program and treatment progress or regression” (Section III, G, 2).

Interviews with PREA Investigators indicate they are responsible for monitoring retaliation at the Gardner-Betts facility. The investigator assigned to the case conducts periodic check-ins with youth and documents these check-ins on the Travis County indicate Retaliation Monitoring Form JDOs (direct care staff). While onsite the auditor reviewed two investigation files (from 2023) to verify this form is completed as part of the monitoring process. Both allegations were unfounded and therefore, monitoring retaliation was not necessary. Gardner-Betts staff also reported that they are all responsible for monitoring retaliation and are required to report retaliation by staff or youth.

**Provision (b)**

The Gardner-Betts facility uses multiple protection measures to ensure safety of staff and residents, particularly from retaliation for making a sexual abuse report or cooperating with an investigation. Staff interviews with the Director of Detention Services, Human Resources staff, and Shift Supervisors verified that if staff was the

alleged perpetrator they would be removed from the facility. If another youth was the alleged perpetrator, youth would be placed on separate residential living units. The agency Policy AS-904 "Corrective Action and Notifications" support provisions in this standard by requiring formal check ins with youth to assess for retaliation. More specifically, Policy AS-904 states, "The advocate will conduct periodic status checks, which include, but are not limited to a review of the resident's disciplinary reports, housing changes, and program modifications" (Section III, G, 2).

**Provision (c)**

Agency Policy AS-904 "Corrective Action and Notifications" states, "The Chief or designee will appoint an advocate to monitor victims of sexual abuse and residents that reported sexual abuse or cooperated with the investigation. Resident monitoring will include resident behavior changes, progress in program and treatment progress or regression" (Section III, G, 2). This same policy also directs, "The advocate will conduct periodic status checks, which include, but are not limited to a review of the resident's disciplinary reports, housing changes, and program modifications. b. The advocate will document all contacts and notify the Chief or designee immediately of any indication of retaliation so that appropriate protective measures can be instituted immediately" (Section III, G, 2 a-b). In addition, this agency policy explains, "To help prevent retaliation, protection measures for residents and staff that fear retaliation will be made available for at least 90 days following the initial report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment" (Section III, G, 1) and "c. The monitoring may be terminated if the allegation is determined to be unfounded. d. Monitoring may continue past the initial 90-day period if the resident or staff member experienced harassment, discrimination or retaliation" (Section III, H, 2).

Interviews with PREA Investigators indicate they are responsible for monitoring retaliation at the Gardner-Betts facility. The investigator assigned to the case conducts periodic check-ins with youth and documents these check-ins on the Travis County Retaliation Monitoring Form JDOs (direct care staff). As previously mentioned, the two allegations of sexual abuse were investigated and determined to be unfounded and therefore, no monitoring of retaliation was warranted.

**Provision (d)**

As stated previously, the PREA Investigators are responsible for conducting and documenting periodic checks with youth and staff who have made a report of sexual abuse. Several agency policies support this practice, including Policy AS-904 "Corrective Action and Notifications."

**Provision (e)**

Agency Policy AS-904 "Corrective Action and Notifications" (page 6) states, "The Chief or designee will appoint an individual to monitor staff members to prevent harassment, discrimination or retaliation due to their report or cooperation with an investigation. a. Staff monitoring may include, but is not limited to reassignments, disciplinary actions and negative performance reviews. b. Following a report of

	<p>harassment, discrimination or retaliation, the Chief or designee will take appropriate measures to remedy the behavior” (Section III, H, a - b). Interviews with the Director of Detention Services, PCM, and PREA Investigators verified they are required to take immediate action to end retaliation against youth or staff member.</p> <p><b>Provision (f)</b></p> <p>Interviews with PREA Investigators verified they understood that the obligation to monitor retaliation ends if the youth leaves the facility or if the investigation determines the allegation is unfounded.</p>
--	---

<b>115.368</b>	<b>Post-allegation protective custody</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy 10-DS-8 “Room Isolation/Confinement”</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Detention Services</li> <li>• Interviews with Shift Supervisors</li> <li>• Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff)</li> <li>• Interviews with youth</li> </ul> <p>During onsite interviews, staff and youth reported they do not use protective isolation for victims of sexual abuse. As previously described in this report, the Gardner-Betts facility would separate youth for safety reasons by placing youth on different living unit or on an unoccupied living unit with one-on-one supervision. If the youth was on one-on-one supervision, they would not be confined to their bedroom (they would be out in the day room during the day). Youth who are separated from the group continue to receive education, large-muscle exercise, and daily visits from a facility nurse. Staff and youth interviews verified victims of sexual abuse are not placed in isolation (although sexual perpetrators may be for disciplinary sanctions associated with the abuse). If there is a need to separate the victim from the group (on another living unit), youth are provided the necessary programming and services (i.e., access to counselors, visits from medical staff, education, recreation, etc.).</p> <p>In support of this PREA standard the facility Policy 10-DS-8 “Room Isolation/ Confinement” defines “protective isolation” as: “The exclusion of a threatened juvenile from the group by placing the juvenile in an individual room that minimizes contact with the juveniles from a specific group” (Section II). This policy clearly directs:</p> <p>“Protective isolation may only be used as a last resort when a juvenile is physically</p>

threatened by a juvenile or a group of juveniles, and less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep the juvenile safe. 1. The decision to place a juvenile on protective isolation will be approved in writing by the Director or designee. 2. Protective isolation may only be used until alternative means for keeping the juvenile safe can be arranged. 3. Juveniles on protective isolation will be afforded living conditions and privileges approximating those available to juveniles in the general population except when justified by clear evidence that the juvenile’s safety is at risk. While on protective isolation, the juvenile will: a. receive all legally required educational programming and daily large muscle exercise; b. receive daily visits from medical or mental health care staff; and c. have access to other programs and services to the extent possible. 4. The decision to place a juvenile on protective isolation will be approved in writing by the Director or designee. 5. If the protective isolation of a juvenile exceeds 24 hours, the Director or designee will: a. immediately conduct a documented review of the circumstances surrounding the level of threat faced by the juvenile; b. make a determination about whether less restrictive protective measures are appropriate and available; document why no alternative means of separation can be arranged if the review indicates that continued protective isolation is appropriate; and d. if continued protective isolation is approved, ensure that the formalized written review document includes an alternative service delivery plan to ensure the isolated juvenile is afforded all required programming during his or her period of protective isolation. 6. Documentation of the threat faced by the juvenile and the reason(s) why no alternative means of separation can be arranged will be maintained in the juvenile’s file”(Section III, E, 1-6).

In addition, Policy 10-DS-8 “Room Isolation/Confinement” describes: “d. When a juvenile is in his or her room for more than eight (8) hours, the on-duty Supervisor will arrange for the juvenile to participate in physical activity. e. Juveniles on room isolation / confinement will be allowed access to any legally required educational programming or special education services and other programs to the extent possible. f. Juveniles in room isolation / confinement will receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care staff...”(Section III, A, 3 d – f). The policy also specifies, “A mental health provider shall be consulted before disciplinary seclusion is imposed if the juvenile has a current designation as moderate (suicide precaution) or high risk (suicide watch) for suicide” (Section III, B, 3).

<b>115.371</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-217 “Administrative Investigations”</li> </ul>

- Policy AS-217 B “Conducting Administrative Investigations”
- Policy AS-901 “Reporting Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation”
- Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications”
- ANE/PREA Investigation Tracking Log 2023 (Excel Spreadsheet)
- TCJPD Internal Investigation Checklist
- Referrals of Allegations for Investigations (posted on the Travis County website)
- Review of two investigation reports (from 2023) and supplemental documents
- Example of notification letter sent to youth and family member
- Training roster from PRC “PREA Specialized Investigator Training”
- Interview with Travis County internal investigators
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator

***Provision (a)***

As stated previously, the Travis County Probation Department has several policies that require all allegations to be reported to the proper authorities to be thoroughly investigated. These notifications include calling TX DJJ within four hours and if there is potentially criminal behavior, calling law enforcement within one hour. The agency Policy AS-901 “Abuse and Neglect Prevention and Response” establishes clear expectations for referring allegations to law enforcement. The policy states, “The staff will notify the law enforcement agency having criminal investigation jurisdiction of the allegation, which includes Travis County Sherriff’s Department at the main campus and Austin Police Department and / or AISD Police Department at satellite office and program space” (Section III, C). Staff are required to document these referrals/notifications in an incident report. In addition, agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” puts forth: “The Department will ensure that all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are investigated. The Department will promptly and fully investigate all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment as outlined in AS-217 B: Conducting Administrative Investigations. 2. All allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment will be investigated regardless of how much time has passed since the alleged incident” (Section III, B).

The Travis County Sherriff’s Office and the Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD) are responsible for conducting investigations of sexual abuse occurring at the Gardner-Betts Juvenile Justice Center. When an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment is made, first responders are required to make a report to TJJD within four hours. If the allegation involves potentially criminal behavior first responders must contact the Travis County Sherriff’s Office within one hour of receiving the allegation. Investigators employed by Travis County and who are responsible for conducting PREA investigations at the Gardner-Betts facility would receive instruction from TJJD and the local Sherriff’s office regarding how and when to proceed with the administrative investigation. It is important to note that the county Sherriff’s office is stationed on campus which facilitates communication, allows



investigations to be conducted in a timely fashion, and allows the internal Travis County investigators to stay informed throughout the course of an investigation. Interviews with PREA investigators verified that investigations are completed in a timely manner.

Additional agency policy provides detailed guidance regarding conducting a thorough investigation. Agency Policy AS-217 B “Conducting Administrative Investigations” describes, “The investigator will conduct thorough interviews with the individuals who have been identified as having direct knowledge of the incident by: a. ensuring the interview space is private and free from distractions; b. ensuring the appropriate equipment is available for tape recording the interview as directed by the Chief or designee; c. explaining the purpose of the interview and having each staff witness review AS-217: Administrative Investigations and acknowledging his / her understanding of his / her responsibilities; d. providing an administrative warning as indicated; e. documenting the date, time and location of each interview; f. asking questions to determine facts and identify new information or developments; and g. using terminology appropriate to the individual’s age, sophistication, and intelligence” (Section III, D, 5).

There were two allegations of sexual abuse at the Gardner-Betts facility in the past 12 months. The auditor reviewed two investigation reports from 2023 (staff-to-youth inappropriate sexual contact) which provided evidence that investigations are conducted in a reasonable timeframe. Both investigations commenced within 48 hours of the allegation being reported. The final reports were issued within approximately three weeks of the allegation being reported. Travis County is conducting investigations in a timely manner as required by DOJ PREA standards.

A detailed review of the investigation reports revealed Travis County investigators conduct thorough investigations. The report detailed the purpose of the investigation; investigation method; summary of interviews; summary of findings; and attachments. Among the attachments were victim, witness, and perpetrator statements; staff assignments/roster for the day the alleged incident occurred; and the Alleged Victim Attorney Notification form. The investigator provided a detailed account of statements from the victim, witnesses, and the perpetrator as well as describing additional documents considered in making a determination. The investigator documented the “Findings of Fact” which provided the points of agreement and disagreement (resulting from reviewing all information) and the final outcome of the investigation. When interviewed, all four PREA investigators articulated the thorough process for conducting investigations.

The internal PREA investigators use the TCJPD Internal Investigation Checklist to ensure they document and track key pieces of an investigation. The checklist requires the investigator to document the individuals involved in the incident (alleged victim and people of interest); type of allegation; where and when staff were reassigned; and the date and time the requisite notifications were made to law enforcement, parent/guardian, victim’s attorney, TJJJ, etc. In addition, this checklist provides documentation for when the investigation began/concluded, the outcome of the investigation, and other important elements. The auditor applauds the

agency for creating a method to better ensure thorough investigations are completed consistent with agency and DOJ PREA expectations.

The auditor confidently concludes the Gardner-Betts facility is in compliance with expectations in this standard provision.

***Provision (b)***

All Travis County internal investigators have been formally trained on conducting sexual abuse investigations. The three investigators most often assigned to conduct PREA investigations at the Gardner-Betts facility, have completed the National Institute of Corrections online course entitled, "PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting." In addition, in September 2020, a total of 22 Travis County staff participated in a two-day PREA Specialized Investigator Training through the PREA Resource Center. The auditor reviewed the training roster to verify training completion (particularly for the four main investigators assigned to the Gardner-Betts facility). Interviews with investigators verified they attended the two-day training. All criminal investigations are handled by the Travis County Sheriff's Office.

The agency has several policies and documents requiring specialized training for investigators. The agency Policy AS-217B requires: "Designated investigators will receive specialized training on how to conduct sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations in facilities / confinement settings. Documented training will include: 1. techniques for interviewing juvenile sexual abuse victims; 2. sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings; 3. criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action; and 4. criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for prosecution referral" (Section III, C). In addition, the document titled, "Referrals of Allegations for Investigations" which is posted on the Travis County website Juvenile Court ([traviscountytexas.gov](http://traviscountytexas.gov)) states, "The Department will ensure a specially-trained investigator conducts an administrative investigation into the allegation. The Department will ensure that any administrative investigation does not interfere with the TCSO or TJJD investigation. The Department will take any remedial action upon the findings that the allegation was founded." The auditor verified the link to the document referenced is in working order.

***Provision (c)***

In support of provisions in this standard agency Policy AS-217B "Conducting Administrative Investigations" directs: "The investigator will gather, preserve and review any available direct and circumstantial evidence. Evidence includes, but is not limited to: a. Incident reports; b. Correspondence with law enforcement; c. Correspondence with oversight agencies such as TJJD or DSHS; d. Training records of the staff member(s); e. Demographic information of the juvenile(s) involved; f. Medical information as available; g. Staff or juvenile rosters; h. Photographs or other physical evidence; i. Electronic monitoring data as available; and j. Any other relevant information" (Section III, D, 2).

As previously mentioned, a detailed review of two investigation reports from 2023

revealed Travis County investigators conduct thorough investigations. The reports detailed the purpose of the investigation; investigation method; summary of interviews; summary of findings; and attachments. The investigators provided a detailed account of statements from the victim, witnesses, and the perpetrator as well as described additional documents and evidence considered in making a final determination. The investigators documented the "Findings of Fact" which provided the points of agreement and disagreement (from reviewing all information) and the final outcome of the investigation. When interviewed, all four PREA investigators articulated the process of gathering and preserving all direct and circumstantial evidence.

***Provision (d)***

Interviews with Travis County internal PREA investigators verified that investigations are not terminated if the source of the allegation recants the allegation. In one of the two investigation files reviewed the youth retracted his statement and admitted to making up the allegation to get back at staff. The Gardner-Betts investigator continued the full investigation until it was completed. This practice is supported by directs set forth in Policy AS 217B "Conducting Administrative Investigations." The policy specifically states, "The Department will not terminate an investigation solely because: a. the source of the allegation recants; b. the alleged abuser has resigned from employment or has been released from the facility; or c. the victim has been released from the facility" (Section III, E, 2 a-c).

***Provision (e)***

Agency policy and information obtained from interviews provided sufficient evidence of compliance with this provision. Policy AS 217B "Conducting Administrative Investigations" states, "The Chief, General Counsel or designee will confer with prosecutors prior to conducting compelled interviews to ensure they are not an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution. b. If the administrative investigation can be conducted at the same time as the criminal investigation, the investigator will attempt to coordinate interviews with law enforcement" (Section III, J, 2 a-b). Interviews with internal PREA investigators confirmed that all allegations of sexual abuse are reported to local law enforcement. As previously mentioned, the county Sherriff's office is stationed on campus which facilitates communication throughout the course of an investigation. All PREA investigators consistently and clearly reported that during interviews (victim, witnesses, and perpetrator) if there is evidence that the allegation may support criminal prosecution, the interview is immediately paused. The PREA investigator would immediately contact the agency's General Counsel for guidance as well as the Sherriff's office. This would lead to the Sherriff's office assuming the lead on the investigation.

***Provision (f)***

Agency Policy AS 217 B "Conducting Administrative Investigations describes the process for determining the credibility of a witness. The policy describes, "The investigator will balance the weight of the evidence and the credibility of the information sources to determine findings of fact. 1. Credibility is not determined by

status as a resident or staff. 2. The investigator will evaluate the physical, testimonial and documentary evidence. 3. The investigator will determine credibility of a statement by carefully reviewing the information gathered during the course of the investigation to include, but not limited to: a. Testimonial information; b. Documents reviewed; c. Physical evidence such as photographs or floor plans; d. A review of the inconsistencies or contradictions within or between witness statements; and e. A review of the contradictions between statements and other evidence, such as photographs, floor plans and incident reports” (Section III, F, 1-3). Interviews with investigators as well as review investigation reports provided evidence the agency is aligned with this PREA provision.

Interviews with internal PREA investigators also revealed that polygraph tests are not used by Travis County to determine whether a victim’s allegation is true. This practice is supported by language in Policy AS 217 B “Conducting Administrative Investigations” which states, “The Department will not require an individual to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of an allegation” (Section III, E, 1).

***Provision (g)***

Travis County internal PREA investigators are responsible for conducting administrative investigations. The agency has several policies that support current practices and that align with PREA expectations. Agency Policy AS 217 B “Conducting Administrative Investigations” states, “Reports sent to TJJD will include the elements listed in Title 37 of the Texas Administrative Code Chapter 358. The report will include at a minimum: a. information on the alleged victim(s) and person(s) of interest; b. a summary of the incident; c. a summary of the steps taken during the investigation; and d. relevant documentation” (Section III, K, 1). In addition, Policy AS-217 “Administrative Investigations states, “The investigator’s role is to be objective, impartial and conduct a thorough review of an incident to determine the facts. 3. The investigator will submit a report outlining the findings” (Section III, D, 1-3). This same policy describes in detail the investigation process. More specifically, agency Policy AS 217 “Administrative Investigations” states, “...A thorough and competent investigation into the allegation will be conducted. Whenever possible, investigations will clearly support or refute the allegations” (Section III, B).

Agency policy also provides detailed guidance regarding gathering information during the investigatory process. Agency Policy AS-217 B “Conducting Administrative Investigations” which states, “The investigator will conduct thorough interviews with the individuals who have been identified as having direct knowledge of the incident by: a. ensuring the interview space is private and free from distractions; b. ensuring the appropriate equipment is available for tape recording the interview as directed by the Chief or designee; c. explaining the purpose of the interview and having each staff witness review AS-217: Administrative Investigations and acknowledging his / her understanding of his / her responsibilities; d. providing an administrative warning as indicated; e. documenting the date, time and location of each interview; f. asking questions to determine facts

and identify new information or developments; and g. using terminology appropriate to the individual's age, sophistication, and intelligence" (Section III, D, 5).

Interviews with investigators as well as review of the two investigation reports provided evidence the agency is aligned with this PREA provision.

***Provision (h)***

As previously described, a review two investigation reports provided evidence that investigation reports include a description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence. In addition, the report included a series of attachments (i.e., documents reviewed by the investigator and used in making the investigation determination). In support of this practice the agency Policy AS 217 B "Conducting Administrative Investigations" states, "Investigation reports will follow the approved Department format. The reports will minimally include the: 1. Purpose of the investigation. 2. Investigation methodology, which includes: a. individuals that were interviewed, b. documents that were reviewed, and c. chronology of investigation. 3. Summary of interviews. 4. Summary of facts and findings, which includes: a. reasoning behind credibility assessments; b. information about whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to or may have exacerbated the situation; c. any code of ethics or policy violations; and d. additional information as requested by the Chief or designee, which may include: 1. circumstances that went well and deserve recognition; 2. processes that need to be changed or enhanced, such as training or policy and practice; and / or 3. more appropriate responses to a similar situation" (Section III, H, 1-4). Final investigation reports and supporting documents are sent to the General Counsel and kept in secure locked file cabinet to which only designated individuals have access.

***Provision (i)***

The agency has policies to support expectations set forth in this PREA standard provision. Agency Policy AS-901 "Reporting Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation" states, "For ANE allegations at a Travis County Juvenile Probation Department facility or program: If the incident occurred in a Department facility or program, reports are made to the operating, licensing, certifying, or registering agency and / or the agency responsible for regulation or oversight of the facility or program where the incident is alleged to have occurred. 1. The staff will notify the law enforcement agency having criminal investigation jurisdiction of the allegation, which includes Travis County Sherriff's Department at the main campus and Austin Police Department and / or AISD Police Department at satellite office and program space" (Section III, C).

In addition, agency Policy AS 217 B "Conducting Administrative Investigations" clearly directs, "During the course of an administrative investigation, if an investigator has cause to believe a juvenile is a victim of unreported abuse, neglect or exploitation, he or she shall immediately report such allegations as required by policy AS-901: Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation. The Department will promptly and fully cooperate with law enforcement agencies and / or any oversight agency for allegations of abuse, neglect and exploitations, to include

sexual abuse or sexual harassment” (Section III, I - J).

All PREA investigators interviewed confirmed that during the course of an administrative investigation, if there appears to be potential criminal activity the investigator would pause the investigation and contact the General Counsel and local law enforcement.

***Provision (j)***

Policy AS-217 “Administrative Investigations states, “Upon completion of the investigation, the investigation report will be kept in perpetuity a secure location separate from the personnel files. An electronic copy of the investigation may be maintained in a secure drive accessible to the Chief or designee” (Section III, I). Interviews with PREA investigators confirmed investigation reports and supporting documents are sent to the General Counsel and kept in secure locked file cabinet to which only designated individuals have access. Currently, these records are kept a minimum of ten years. The agency is in the process of moving to electronic records which will allow the agency to retain these records in perpetuity.

***Provision (k)***

Interviews with Travis County internal PREA investigators provided evidence that all investigations are completed even if the alleged abuser or victim leaves the agency employ. This practice is supported by directs set forth in Policy AS 217B “Conducting Administrative Investigations.” The policy specifically states, “The Department will not terminate an investigation solely because: a. the source of the allegation recants; b. the alleged abuser has resigned from employment or has been released from the facility; or c. the victim has been released from the facility” (Section III, E, 2 a-c).

***Provision (l)***

The Texas Department of Juvenile Justice is responsible for conducting sexual abuse investigations along with local law enforcement. These individuals are formally trained on how to conduct specialized investigations and are subject to the PREA requirements listed in this standard.

***Provision (m)***

The agency Policy AS-217B “Conducting Administrative Investigations” states, “The Department will promptly and fully cooperate with law enforcement agencies and / or any oversight agency for allegations of abuse, neglect and exploitations, to include sexual abuse or sexual harassment” (Section III, J). In addition, agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” states, “The Chief or designee will maintain contact with outside investigative agencies, such as the local law enforcement or oversight agency, to monitor the status of their investigation into sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations” (Section III, B, 4).

Interviews with internal Travis County PREA investigators verified they are responsible for maintaining contact with local Sherriff’s department and/or TX DJJ

	<p>when the outside party is the primary investigator.</p> <p>All evidence indicates the facility is in compliance with provisions in this standard.</p>
--	--

<b>115.372</b>	<b>Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-217 “Administrative Investigations”</li> <li>• Policy AS-217 B “Conducting Administrative Investigations”</li> <li>• Interview with Travis County internal PREA Investigators</li> <li>• Interview with PREA Compliance Manager</li> <li>• Review of two investigation reports (2023)</li> </ul> <p>Interviews with Travis County Internal PREA Investigators, the Agency PREA Coordinator, and the Facility PREA Compliance Manager verified that the agency uses a standard of “preponderance of evidence” when determining whether an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment occurred (i.e., is substantiated). In support of this practice the agency Policy AS-217 B “Conducting Administrative Investigations” states, “The Department conducts administrative investigations of alleged violations of Department policy, procedure, contract or standard. The preponderance of evidence standard will be used to determine whether an investigation is founded, unfounded or unable to be determined” (Section I).</p> <p>There are three findings the agency uses to define an outcome of an investigation: Founded, Unable to Determine, and Unfounded. These terms are clearly defined in Policy AS-217 “Administrative Investigations. ” This same policy also defines the preponderance of evidence standard as “A standard of proof meaning the greater weight of credible evidence makes it more likely than not that an event occurred” (Section II, B).</p> <p>Review of two investigation report coupled with information obtained from interviews provides evidence that the agency is in compliance with this PREA standard.</p>

<b>115.373</b>	<b>Reporting to residents</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>

**Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:**

- Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications”
- Policy AS 217 “Administrative Investigations”
- Copy of notification letter sent to youth and family member
- Review of a sample grievances
- Review of two investigation reports (2023)
- Interview with Director of Detention Services
- Interview with PCM
- Interview with Travis County Internal PREA Investigators

***Provision (a)***

The Travis County Probation Department sets clear expectations that align with provisions of this PREA standard. Agency Policy AS 217 “Administrative Investigations” states, “Final outcomes of administrative investigations are determined by the Chief. 1. The outcomes of the Department’s administrative investigations are founded, unfounded, or unable to determine. If the allegation involves a juvenile, the Department will notify the juvenile, parent /guardian and the person of interest of the outcome. State oversight agencies will be notified as required by law” (Section III, G). In further support of this PREA provision the agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” states, “The findings for sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations will be disseminated to the appropriate parties. 1. If the juvenile is still housed in a facility when the administrative investigation is completed, he or she will be notified in writing of the outcome. a. The notification will indicate if the allegation has been determined to be founded, unfounded or unable to determine. The notification will also detail the current status of the law enforcement investigation” (Section III, F).

As previously mentioned, there have been two allegations of sexual abuse made within the past 12 months. Review of these files as well as interviews with Travis County Internal PREA Investigators and the PCM verified that these notifications are documented. In addition, the auditor reviewed a sample of non-PREA related grievances filed May 2022 – May 2023 to determine if grievances are addressed in a timely manner. The majority of grievances reviewed were addressed in less than 48 hours. The resolution was written on the grievance form; youth were offered an appeal (which was also documented on the form); and youth were required to sign and date the grievance form. A sample notification letter sent by the General Counsel (2023) was also reviewed by the auditor. This serves as sufficient documentation of compliance with this provision which requires youth to be informed of the outcome of the investigation. Staff and youth interviews verified grievances, especially those alleging sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment, are taken seriously and handled immediately by the Grievance Officer/Case Work Manager.

***Provision (b)***

Agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” states, “The Chief or



designee will maintain contact with outside investigative agencies, such as the local law enforcement or oversight agency, to monitor the status of their investigation into sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations” (Section III, B, 4). Interviews with PREA Investigators verified they are responsible for maintaining contact with outside entities leading an investigation. This is done via regular emails. The TCJPD Internal Investigation Checklist has a space where the investigator is required to indicate if charges were filed by law enforcement (and when) and the outcome of the investigation if TX JJD was the primary investigator.

***Provision (c)***

Interviews with the PCM and Travis County PREA Investigators verified that residents would be notified of the outcome of the investigation and whereabouts of the staff member who was the alleged abuser. Agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” states, “If the allegation was against a staff member, the alleged victim will be informed when: 1. the staff member is no longer posted on his or her unit; 2. the staff member is no longer employed at the facility; 3. the Department learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; and /or 4. the Department learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility” (Section III, F, 1, b). A notification letter sent by the General Counsel was reviewed by the auditor (from a 2023 incident) to verify compliance.

***Provision (d)***

Agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” states, “If the allegation was against another resident, the alleged victim will be informed when: 1. the Department learns that a petition or indictment has been filed against the alleged abuser on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; and / or the Department learns that the alleged abuser has been adjudicated or convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility” (Section III, F, 1, c). PCM and Internal PREA Investigators reported they would be responsible for making this notification to the victim. In addition, a formal letter is sent by the General Counsel further demonstrating compliance with this PREA provision.

***Provision (e)***

Provision (e) of this PREA standard requires youth to be notified of the outcome of the sexual abuse investigation and that “all such notifications or attempted notification shall be documented.” Agency Policy AS-217 “Administrative Investigations” states, “Final outcomes of administrative investigations are determined by the Chief. 1. The outcomes of the Department’s administrative investigations are founded, unfounded, or unable to determine. 2. If the allegation involves a juvenile, the Department will notify the juvenile, parent/guardian and the person of interest of the outcome. State oversight agencies will be notified as required by law” (Section III, G). As part of the Travis County process, once the investigation has concluded, the agency’s General Counsel sends a formal letter to the parent/legal guardian and the youth informing them of the outcome of the sexual abuse investigation. A copy of this letter is maintained in the investigation

	<p>file. This practice is supported by agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” which states “The notifications or due diligence efforts to notify will be documented and maintained in the investigation file” (Section III, F, 4). If the youth is a ward of the state, a formal letter is also sent to the youth’s case worker as well as the youth’s attorney. Interviews with PREA Investigators verified this practice is in place. As stated earlier, the auditor reviewed a copy of the formal notification letter sent to the victim as further evidence of compliance with this PREA provision.</p> <p><b>Provision (f)</b></p> <p>The auditor is not required to audit this provision.</p>
--	---

<b>115.376</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment”</li> <li>• Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications”</li> <li>• Policy AS-241 “Disciplinary Procedures”</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Accreditation and Compliance (designee for Chief Juvenile Probation Officer)</li> <li>• Interview with PCM</li> <li>• Interview with Administrative Services Senior Director (human resources)</li> <li>• Interviews with investigators</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Detention Services</li> <li>• Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff)</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a)</b></p> <p>As previously described in this report, the Travis County Probation Department has several policies supporting zero tolerance. The agency disciplinary sanctions include termination if a staff member violates the agency’s sexual abuse and harassment policies. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment” which states, “If a staff member engages in sexual abuse or sexual harassment, he / she will be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination” (Section III)</li> <li>• Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” which states, “Disciplinary sanctions for staff members are outlined in policy AS-214: Disciplinary Procedures. a. The presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff found to have engaged in sexual abuse is termination. b. Disciplinary</li> </ul>

sanctions will be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable misconduct" (Section III, D, 1).

- Policy AS-241 "Disciplinary Procedures" declares, "Conduct warranting discipline or dismissal includes, but is not limited to....4. Being found to have engaged in abusive, neglectful, and / or exploitive behavior towards a juvenile, to include sexual abuse and sexual harassment" (Section III, C, 4).

Interviews with the Director of Accreditation and Compliance, PCM, and Administrative Services Senior Director (human resources) verified that the agency acts in accordance with its policies and federal DOJ regulations.

***Provision (b)***

Interviews with agency and facility leaders confirmed that any staff member substantiated for sexual abuse would be immediately terminated (and would have been on administrative leave during the investigation process). In the event the determination of an investigation for staff-to-youth sexual harassment was substantiated, agency and facility leaders reported that the agency would be prohibit the staff member from working directly with any youth and would likely terminate their employment with Travis County Probation Department. This practice is supported by agency Policy AS-904 "Corrective Action and Notifications" (page 3) which states, "Disciplinary sanctions for staff members are outlined in policy AS-214: Disciplinary Procedures. a. The presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff found to have engaged in sexual abuse is termination." Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff) verified they understood the zero-tolerance policy and that termination would result if they sexually abused or sexually harassed youth at Gardner-Betts.

***Provision (c)***

Interviews confirmed compliance that disciplinary sanctions for incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are "commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offense by other staff with similar histories," as set forth in PREA standards. Agency Policy AS-904 "Corrective Action and Notifications" includes this specific language: "Disciplinary sanctions will be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable misconduct" (Section III, D, 1)

***Provision (d)***

Travis County Policy AS-904 "Corrective Action and Notifications" provides some evidence that when/if a staff member is substantiated on an allegation of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment, the relevant licensing bodies will be notified of this outcome. More specifically, this policy states, "The Chief or designee will contact the staff member's licensing and / or certification agency regarding all founded violations of the Department's sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Notifications will include terminations and resignations by staff members who would

have been terminated if they had not resigned.” Interviews with agency and facility leaders as well as PREA Investigators verified this is the notification process in the event a staff member is substantiated for sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment. Interviewees reported that the General Counsel along with the Investigator, Director of Detention Services, and PCM would determine who is responsible for making the notification to relevant licensing bodies if an allegation was substantiated.

<b>115.377</b>	<b>Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS 1001 “Citizen, Volunteer, and Intern Services”</li> <li>• Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications”</li> <li>• Policy AS-901 “Reporting of Child Abuse Neglect and Exploitation”</li> <li>• Travis County Probation Department Volunteer/Intern Handbook 2020</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Accreditation and Compliance (designee for the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer)</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Detention Services</li> <li>• Interview with Administrative Services Senior Director (human resources)</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a) and (b)</b></p> <p>All contractors and volunteers are subject to agency policies and protocols related to sexual abuse and harassment. There are several agency policies that support provisions in this standard. Agency Policy AS-1001 “Citizen, Volunteer, and Intern Services (page 5) requires volunteers and interns to “Inform the Volunteer Coordinator of any law violation charges within 48 hours.” In addition, this same policy (page 7) states, “Any volunteer or intern that fails to maintain the appropriate qualifications or criminal history as outlined in B (1) and (2) of this policy will be subject to termination or suspension of the person’s services.” The policy (pages 7-8) also clearly states, “Any volunteer or intern that engages in any form of abuse, neglect or exploitation, to include sexual abuse or sexual harassment, will be prohibited from having contact with juveniles. a. The conduct will be reported to the appropriate authorities per AS-901: Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation. b. Any ethical violations will be reported to the relevant licensing or certification agency as outlined in AS-209: Code of Ethics / Staff - Juvenile Relationships. 4. Any identification card will be returned to the Department upon termination or suspension of a person’s services.”</p> <p>Similarly, agency Policy AS-1001 “Citizen, Volunteer, and Intern Services (pages 7-8) clearly states, “Any volunteer or intern that engages in any form of abuse, neglect or exploitation, to include sexual abuse or sexual harassment, will be prohibited</p>

from having contact with juveniles. a. The conduct will be reported to the appropriate authorities per AS-901: Reporting of Child Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation. b. Any ethical violations will be reported to the relevant licensing or certification agency as outlined in AS-209: Code of Ethics / Staff - Juvenile Relationships. 4. Any identification card will be returned to the Department upon termination or suspension of a person's services."

In further support of provisions in this standard, Travis County Probation Department Volunteer/Intern Handbook 2020 states (page 26) "...volunteers and interns agree to abide by these rules and will be held to the same level of accountability as employees." As described throughout this report, Travis County Probation Department has language in several of its policies verifying that in the event an allegation of sexual abuse is made against a staff member or volunteer/contractor/intern, the individual would immediately be placed on paid administrative leave until the conclusion of the investigation.

To date, there have been no volunteers, interns, or contractors working at the Gardner-Betts facility who have violated these policies. However, interviews with agency and facility leaders confirm violations of agency policy would automatically result in prohibiting these individuals from working with program youth.

<b>115.378</b>	<b>Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy 901 "Reporting of Child Abuse Neglect and Exploitation"</li> <li>• Policy AS-902 "Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and Harassment"</li> <li>• Policy AS-904 "Corrective Action and Notifications"</li> <li>• Policy AS-905 "Services for "Victims of Sexual Abuse"</li> <li>• Policy 10 DS-1 "Disciplinary Hearings"</li> <li>• Policy "10-DS-2 "Facility Discipline Plan"</li> <li>• Policy 10-DS-8 "Room Isolation/Confinement"</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Detention Services</li> <li>• Interview with facility Clinician</li> <li>• Interviews with Shift Supervisors</li> <li>• Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff)</li> <li>• Interviews with PREA Investigators</li> <li>• Review of Texas Administrative Code 343.285</li> <li>• Review of a sample of "Alternative Personal Responsibility Program Daily Record of Behavior/Events" documents for youth isolated more than 24 hours</li> </ul>

- Review of unit log books (to demonstrate nurse visits)
- Review of nurses' notes verifying nurse interacted with youth
- Review of AIP Daily Schedule
- Youth Interviews

**Provision (a)**

The Gardner-Betts facility prohibits all contact between residents. Expectations put forth in this PREA provision is supported in several agency policies. Agency Policy AS-904 "Corrective Action and Notifications" states, "Juvenile-on-juvenile sexual conduct is prohibited. Juveniles that engage in juvenile-on juvenile sexual abuse or harassment will be subject to the program or facility's discipline plan. 1. While youth sexual conduct is prohibited, sexual activity between juveniles will only be deemed sexual abuse if it is coerced." All youth interviewed understood physical contact with other residents and staff is prohibited.

**Provision (b)**

In addition, the facility Policy "10-DS-2 Facility Discipline Plan" describes a system of discipline to appropriately address youth behaviors for rule violations while in detention. The policy clearly defines sexual misconduct and sets forth sanctions that are prohibited and lists specific youth rights (i.e., receiving mail; youth access to their attorney through phone calls, personal visits, or uncensored mail; large muscle exercise; access to education and treatment programming; access to the grievance system; etc.). This same policy includes detailed descriptions of consequences for major rule violations including building or unit restriction; Alternative Intervention Program (AIP); Personal Responsibility Program (PRP); and criminal charges as appropriate (Class B misdemeanor offense or more severe).

Similarly, agency Policy AS-904 "Corrective Action and Notifications" states, "If disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, the resident will not be denied daily large-muscle exercise or access to any legally required educational programming or special education services. a. Residents in isolation will receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician. b. Residents will also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible." (Section III, E, 5-6). Facility Policy 10-DS-8 "Room Isolation/Confinement" states, "Juveniles on room isolation /confinement will be allowed access to any legally required educational programming or special education services and other programs to the extent possible. f. Juveniles in room isolation / confinement will receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care staff" (Section III, A, 3, e-f). This same policy also specifies, "When a juvenile is in his or her room for more than eight (8) hours, the on-duty Supervisor will arrange for the juvenile to participate in physical activity" (Section III, A. 3d).

This PREA provision directs, "In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, agencies shall not deny the resident daily large-muscle exercise or access to any legally required educational programming or special education services." The Gardner-Betts facility uses Safety Based Seclusion (SBS)

when a youth is physically aggressive and/or danger to self or others. Youth are placed in a room away from other youth. Once youth are emotionally regulated, they are released from this short-term isolation. It is possible for safety reasons that a youth may not be allowed out for large muscle exercise during a 24- or 48-hour period for safety reasons (i.e., if they are physically aggressive and/or verbally threatening to harm other individuals).

The auditor reviewed a sample of five "Alternative Personal Responsibility Program Daily Record of Behavior/Events" documents for youth who were isolated more than 24 hours (in Safety Based Seclusion - SBS). A staff member on each shift is required to record the youth's behaviors for that day. The auditor's review indicated youth were placed and remained in isolation as a result of youth making serious threats and exhibiting acting out behaviors (i.e., banging head on wall). During the onsite audit, facility leaders reported that these youth were not safe to come out of their rooms because of safety concerns. There is some evidence to support this perspective.

There were six youth who reported they were placed in isolation (SBS - Safety Based Seclusion) in a single room at some time during their stay at Gardner-Betts. Two of these youth reported they were isolated between two and five hours while the remaining four youth reported being isolated between two and five days. The four youth who reported isolation stays greater than 24 hours, all stated that they were not seen by a nurse or mental health professional during their stay. These accounts contradict agency policy as well as information derived from nurse interviews (n=4) in which nurses reported they visit all units regularly throughout their shift. All four youth also clearly stated that they were only allowed to come out of their rooms once a day to shower and they were not allowed to exercise out of their room. One youth did say he was allowed to do push-ups in his room. These youth explained that meals were brought to their room/cell while isolated.

Texas Administrative Code 343.285 allows disciplinary seclusion for a major rule violation be imposed for a maximum of 48 hours. The statute also states, "disciplinary seclusion shall not be issued to a resident with a known diagnosis of: 1) a serious mental illness; or 2) severe or profound intellectual disability." Agency and facility leaders confirmed that youth are checked in on each day by a nurse and several times per week by the facility clinician. The auditor was able to review unit log book entries and nurses' notes for the four youth who stated they had been in isolation for several days. Review of the evidence indicated that youth were on SBS for no more than 48 hours and had received visits from nurses daily. Interviews with facility leaders verified that youth who are physically aggressive and not emotionally regulated are not permitted to come out of their rooms because of safety reasons. Therefore, these youth would not have been permitted to participate in one hour of large-muscle exercise (for no more than 48 hours).

Youth who have a major rule violation and are placed on SBS must have a formal disciplinary hearing within 48 hours to determine additional consequences for the infraction. Disciplinary hearing officers may decide it is in the best interest of the youth and facility to keep a youth separated from other youth in the facility. In this

situation, following the disciplinary hearing a youth may be placed on an Alternative Intervention Program (AIP). The AIP includes a youth being placed on another living unit away from other youth and following a strict daily routine. The auditor reviewed the AIP schedule which includes daily chores, large muscle exercises (from 9:15 AM - 10:15 AM), and other programming throughout the day. Facility staff confirmed that youth are permitted to exercise daily and that nurses make daily rounds while on AIP.

The auditor concludes there is enough evidence to determine the program uses SBS for youth who are emotionally dysregulated and only for the shortest time needed. These youth receive daily visits from a nurse and/or visits from the clinician. Once an SBS youth is safe to participate in programming (within 48 hours) they have one-hour of large muscle exercise each day. Youth who are placed on AIP as an outcome of a formal disciplinary hearing are required to follow a strict schedule that includes daily large-muscle exercise.

Staff and youth interviews verified there is a system of progressive discipline used by the facility that is fair and sanctions are commensurate with the severity of the infraction. Evidence reviewed supports compliance with this provision.

***Provision (c)***

The agency Policy AS-905 Services for “Victims of Sexual Abuse” supports provision (c) of this standard. More specifically, the policy states, “Any discipline for engaging in sexual abuse while in custody will be in accordance with the facility’s discipline plan. a. Disciplinary processes will consider whether a juvenile’s intellectual disabilities or mental illness contributed to the behavior when determining the sanction.” Similarly, agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” states, “Juvenciles that engage in juvenile-on-juvenile sexual abuse or harassment will be subject to the program or facility’s discipline plan” (Section III, E). The policy also states, “2. The juvenile’s medical and mental health records will be reviewed to determine if a mental illness or intellectual disability contributed to the behavior. 3. The disciplinary sanctions will be commensurate with the circumstances of the abuse, the disciplinary history of the juvenile(s) involved and sanctions imposed for comparable behavior by other juveniles with similar histories” (Section III, E, 2-3).

Interviews with the Director of Detention Services and the facility Clinician verified that a resident’s mental health or mental disabilities are taken into account when determining sanctions. In addition, as previously mentioned the Texas Administrative Code 343.285 states, “disciplinary seclusion shall not be issued to a resident with a known diagnosis of: 1) a serious mental illness; or 2) severe or profound intellectual disability.”

***Provision (d)***

An interview with the facility Clinician and the Director of Detention Services verified that youth would be provided counseling services if substantiated for sexual assault or abuse. In addition, and in support of this provision, the agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” states, “The program or facility may require



the juvenile to participate in therapy, counseling or other interventions designed to address the issues that may have contributed to the behavior” (Section III, E, 5). Similarly, agency Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” states, “Known juvenile abusers will be offered appropriate treatment as determined by the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer / designee and a mental health professional” (Section III).

**Provision (e)**

Agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” states “4. A juvenile may only be disciplined for sexual contact with staff upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to the contact” (Section III, E, 4). Similarly, facility Policy 10-DS-2 states, “A juvenile alleged to have committed a law violation that constitutes a Class B misdemeanor offense or higher will be referred to local law enforcement for investigation and possible prosecution” (Section III, G). Staff interviewed confirmed this practice.

**Provision (f)**

Agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” states, “For the purpose of disciplinary action, a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred will not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish sufficient evidence to support that the allegation was founded” (Section III, C). Staff interviews verified youth are not punished for making reports in good faith. Youth also confirmed that they would not be punished for making a report.

**Provision (g)**

Agency Policy AS-902 “Preventing and Detecting Sexual Abuse and harassment” states, “The staff will foster a professional environment to: a. protect the rights of the residents by treating them fairly and with dignity and respect; b. ensure the residents are not subject to actions that could be considered demeaning and humiliating; c. ensure transgender or intersex residents are not subjected to stigmatizing procedures; d. ensure the elimination of horseplay, profanity, sexually oriented jokes, flirting, and sexualized conversation or behavior; and e. prohibit youth sexual conduct; f. prohibit harassment, bullying or disparaging language regarding gender, gender expression, gender identity or sexual orientation” (Section III, E, 1). Similarly, agency Policy AS-904 “Corrective Action and Notifications” states, “Juvenile-on-juvenile sexual conduct is prohibited. Juveniles that engage in juvenile-on-juvenile sexual abuse or harassment will be subject to the program or facility’s discipline plan. 1. While youth sexual conduct is prohibited, sexual activity between juveniles will only be deemed sexual abuse if it is coerced” (Section III, E, 1). Staff and youth interviews confirmed that physical interactions and sexual activity is not permitted while in Gardner-Betts (either with staff or another resident).

**Auditor Overall Determination:** Meets Standard

**Auditor Discussion**

**Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:**

- Policy AS-905 Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse
- Policy 8-DS-5 Access to Mental Health Care
- Review of random sample of youth files indicating youth who disclosed sexual victimization and/or perpetration were referred for follow-up meeting with a medical and/or mental health practitioner within 14 days
- Sample of completed Detention Housing Screener/vulnerability risk assessments
- Interview with facility nurses who conduct vulnerability risk assessments
- Interview with facility Clinician
- Interview with Director of Detention Services
- Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff)

***Provision (a)***

During the 2021 PREA audit's corrective action period, the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department created Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) for addressing the "Process for collection and dissemination of juvenile information collected on the Housing Screener as it pertains to PREA standards." This document was submitted to the auditor for review. The SOP provides clear guidance on the purpose of the SOP, requirements, definitions, and procedures. More specifically, the SOP directs medical staff to: "Administer the Housing Screener to all juveniles that are to be detained in Detention Services" and clearly states, "...a) If a juvenile responds "yes" to question 7, they must be offered a follow up meeting with a counselor and their response is to be documented directly below the question. If a referral is requested by the juvenile, the recipient of the referral and method of communication must be noted on the Housing Screener. b) If a juvenile responds "yes" to question 11, they must be offered a follow up meeting with a counselor and their response is to be documented directly below the question. If a referral is requested by the juvenile, the recipient of the referral and method of communication must be noted on the Housing Screener." For purposes of clarity, the housing screening form question 7 addresses risk of victimization and question 11 addresses risk of sexually aggressive behavior.

To better ensure appropriate referrals are made and documented, during the corrective action period Travis County revised the Travis County Probation Department Detention Housing Screening form. The form now clearly states beneath question 7 ("Have you ever had a sexual experience that you did not want to have?"): "\*If answered yes or UNK, an offer for a follow up meeting with counselor must be offered." The person administering the assessment is also required to document their response to an additional question, "Who was referral made to and how?" and indicate the method by checking one of three boxes (i.e., email, phone, or in person). These additional questions were also added to question

11 which asks, "Have you ever engaged in behavior that may be considered sexually aggressive?"

Agency AS-905 Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse states, "During the facilities' intake process and before being placed on a housing unit, all juveniles will be screened for prior sexual victimization or sexual abusiveness. 1. If a juvenile discloses prior victimization, the staff conducting the intake will offer follow-up services as soon as possible, but no later than 14 days after the screening, regardless of whether the incident happened in a facility or in the community. Services include, but are not limited to: a) Referrals to medical providers, to include an individual that is appropriately trained and qualified to perform a forensic examination; b) Access to a licensed mental health professional; c. Access to a sexual abuse advocacy center and victim advocates; and d. Treatment plans, follow-up services and referrals for continued care following transfers to other facilities or release from custody" (Section III, A, 1). In addition, facility Policy 8-DS-5 Access to Mental Health Care states, "C. Juveniles will be screened for mental health issues prior to admission into facilities...3. The nursing staff and / or the intake staff will make referrals for additional nonemergency assessments and / or follow-up services based on the available information."

All youth who are processed at the Gardner-Betts facility are assessed by a licensed nurse for vulnerability risk during the intake process. At this time, youth are told that they can request an appointment with the facility Clinician if they wish. This PREA provision requires a referral to a medical or mental health professional when the vulnerability risk screening highlights youth with a history of sexual victimization. Since the last audit (2021), the facility has fully implemented this practice. Interviews with nurses verified that if a youth answers affirmatively to questions related to having a unwanted sexual experience or having forced others in a sexual manner, they would be automatically referred to the facility's clinician. This referral is documented by email sent by the nurse. As part of its practice, if a youth answers yes to questions 7 and 11 on the housing risk screener, the nurse is required to send an email to two groups: Juv-Assess@Traviscounty.gov and Juvenile-Medical-Unit@traviscounty.gov. These email groups include medical staff, the clinician, interns, and contract mental health staff. This ensure follow-up occurs within the 14 day period. Review of 27 youth files (18 current and 9 discharged youth) indicated that many youth had a history of sexual victimization. Emails from the nurses to the group email box were provided as evidence that youth were referred to a mental health counselor often immediately after the assessment was completed.

**Provision (b)**

Agency AS-905 Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse states, "If a juvenile discloses prior victimization, the staff conducting the intake will offer follow-up services as soon as possible, but no later than 14 days after the screening, regardless of whether the incident happened in a facility" (Section III, A, 1). PREA also requires that if the screening process indicates youth has previous history of sexual perpetration, the youth must be referred a mental health practitioner within 14 days

of the intake screening.

As previously mentioned, all youth who are accepted for residential treatment services to the Gardner-Betts facility are assessed by a Registered Nurse for vulnerability risk during the intake process. At this time, youth are told that they can request an appointment with the facility Clinician if they wish. This PREA provision requires a referral to a medical or mental health professional when the vulnerability risk screening highlights youth with a history of sexual perpetration. The facility uses a group email that includes medical and mental health staff to alert the team that a follow-up appointment is needed (i.e., based on the house risk screening tool). Review of completed vulnerability risk tools (N=27; current and discharged youth) indicated that many youth had a history of sexual perpetration. Formal emails to the group inbox were reviewed to confirm these referrals are sent consistent with PREA expectations.

***Provision (c)***

Agency Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” provides some evidence for compliance with provisions (c) by stating, “The disclosure of prior sexual victimization or abusiveness will only be disseminated to staff members directly responsible for security or management decisions, preparing treatment plans and / or providing medical, mental health or crisis intervention services” (Section III, A, 4).

As a result of the previous PREA audit (2021) and to support compliance with this provision, the facility adopted a practice a practice of only providing the last page of the vulnerability risk tool to the JDOs who make the bed placement decisions at intake. The final page of the assessment includes check boxes indicating youth medical restrictions and key behaviors (i.e., Aggressive/Assaultive; Sexually Inappropriate; etc.) but does not provide more detailed information regarding a youth’s history of sexual victimization or perpetration. However, interviews with nurses and JDOs, revealed a new practice has been instated. Staff interviews revealed that JDOs conducting youth intakes are provided copies of all three pages of the vulnerability risk assessment. Interviews with JDOs revealed that the intake JDO does not share detailed information with other JDOs but does use the final scores (risk level for victimization and/or perpetration) to make placement decisions. The original copies of the completed vulnerability tool is kept in the youth’s medical folder in a locked cabinet. The last page of the risk tool (which has no specific youth history information) is placed in the youth’s working folder on the living unit and secured in the staff office.

Staff interviews and review of vulnerability risk tool information support that client information is protected and staff adhere to privacy information policies and expectations. The facility is in compliance with this PREA provision.

***Provision (d)***

Agency AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” supports provision (d) by stating, “Staff will obtain informed consent from individuals over the age of 18 before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an

	institutional setting” (Section III, A, 5). Interviews with agency and facility staff confirmed that the Gardner-Betts facility does not house youth who are 18 years old and therefore this provision is N/A.
--	--

<b>115.382</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-905 Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse</li> <li>• Review of Power Point slides used in the “Role of the First Responder” training</li> <li>• MOU with Safe Alliance (executed February 2019)</li> <li>• Travis County Probation Juvenile</li> <li>• Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff)</li> <li>• Interviews with Shift Supervisors</li> <li>• Interviews with the facility clinician</li> <li>• Interview with facility nurses</li> <li>• Facility tour observations</li> <li>• CAP - Memo dated 12/19/2023</li> <li>• CAP - Revised Policy AS-905 Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse</li> <li>• CAP - Training records verifying all nurses trained on policy and practice revisions</li> <li>• CAP - Follow-up interviews with facility nurses (January 2024)</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a)</b></p> <p>As previously mentioned, the Gardner-Betts facility provides residents with access to outside victim advocates. Contact information (i.e., telephone number and mailing address) for Safe Alliance is provided in the Travis County Juvenile Probation Department Detention Services Juvenile Handbook. Safe Alliance posters were observed by the auditor during the facility tour.</p> <p>In support of this PREA provision the agency’s Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” requires youth have access to outside support services and legal representation. More specifically, the policy states, “All victims of sexual abuse will be afforded timely, unimpeded access to medical and mental health services and confidential victim advocacy services, to include emergency medical treatment, crisis intervention services and referrals for continued care.” The policy also directs medical staff to make “determinations as to what testing and treatment services are medically necessary and appropriate will be made by a medical professional in accordance with their professional judgement” (Section I).</p>

The agency Policy AS-905 "Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse" also states, "Mental Health Services and Crisis Intervention Counseling will be made available to juvenile victims of sexual abuse. 1. Upon the conclusion of an administrative investigation or at the discretion of the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer or designee, a staff psychologist or other mental health professional will assess the victim of sexual abuse within 48 hours. 2. The mental health professional will make the determination of appropriate counseling referrals, to include crisis intervention services, follow-up services, treatment plans and referrals for continued care" (Section III, C, 1-2).

The Travis County Probation Juvenile Handbook provides contact information for Safe Alliance (mailing address and telephone number). Staff interviews verified victims of sexual abuse would be offered to be transported to the hospital for a SANE exam. In addition, if an allegation of sexual abuse was made, the facility Clinician is contacted as part of the first responder protocol. Therefore, abuse victims have access to timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services while at the Gardner-Betts facility.

***Provision (b)***

As previously stated, the agency and facility have policies to ensure youth are provided with emergency medical and mental health services. Staff interviews confirmed that if a youth alleges sexual abuse the nurse and clinician are contacted immediately.

***Provision (c)***

Agency Policy AS-905 "Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse" (page 3) clearly directs, "Victims of sexual abuse shall be offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. Victims will be entitled to these services even if they deny a SANE or SAFE."

This same policy also states, "Victims are entitled to receive testing for sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and any subsequent treatment. d. Pregnancy tests will be offered to victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration. If pregnancy results, the victim will be provided timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services" (Section III, 3, d-e). While onsite, facility nurses consistently reported that youth would be taken to the hospital in the event of a sexual abuse allegation. Interviews verified nurses at Gardner-Betts can offer STI testing. However, if a youth who has been sexually assaulted declines to go to the hospital for the SANE exam, the facility does not currently have a process in place to ensure emergency contraception is offered and provided. The program is required to create a process for ensuring youth are offered timely information and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis in the event a youth refuses to go to the hospital for a SANE exam. This may involve having a standing order from the facility's contracted physician to be able to offer emergency contraception and do so in a

timely manner.

**Provision (d)**

Agency Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” supports provision (d) of this standard by specifically stating, “If the abuse occurred in a facility or juvenile justice program, the cost of the treatment services will not be assessed to the victim or his or her family and will be provided whether or not the victim names the abuser and / or cooperates with any administrative or criminal investigation” (Section III, B, 2). Staff interviews confirmed that SANE exams would be provided at no cost to the victim or whether the victim names the abuser.

**Corrective Actions 382 (c)**

- The program is required to create a process for ensuring youth are offered timely information and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis in the event a youth refuses have a SANE exam at the hospital. Questions to answer include: Who at Gardner-Betts will offer these services? Who will provide these services? Would a standing order from the contracted doctor be feasible? Who at the facility will coordinate these services (i.e., arranging for a medical doctor to prescribe emergency contraception medication if necessary)? Where will it be documented that youth were asked if they wanted these services and whether youth accepted or denied?
- The agency should consider updating agency and facility policies to support its new expectations and practices.
- The program must formally communicate its new practices with all medical staff. The facility is required to submit documents to demonstrate the new practices have been implemented as well as to verify the new process (i.e., new policy language; development of a new SOP; standing order with the contracted physician, etc.).

**Additional Documents Reviewed:**

- CAP - CAP - Memo dated 12/19/2023
- CAP - Revised Policy AS-905 Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse
- CAP - Training records verifying all nurses trained on policy and practice revisions
- CAP - Follow-up interviews with facility nurses (January 2, 2024)

During the corrective action period Travis County Probation revised Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” to more clearly align with PREA standards and to clarify expectations for facility nurses and leaders. Among the changes included is a detailed definition of Medical Authority - “The agency, organization, entity, or individual responsible for consulting and collaborating with the facility administrator and/or the health services coordinator to ensure a coordinated and adequate health care system is available to residents of the facility.” In addition,

the revised policy also includes the following language:

- “Medical staff will provide juvenile victims of sexual abuse timely information about forensic exams, sexually transmitted infections (STIs), and emergency contraception in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, whenever medically appropriate, and ensure services are made available” (Section III, B, 1).
- “Juvenile victims of sexual abuse will be entitled to timely STI prophylaxis and emergency contraception through the Medical Authority or designee even if they decline a SANE or SAFE examination or refuse to be treated at a hospital, clinic, or emergency room. a) With the appropriate consents and Medical Authority direction, medical staff will coordinate STI testing and any subsequent treatment for juvenile victims of sexual abuse.” b. Pregnancy tests will be offered to victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration. If pregnancy results, the victim will be provided timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services. If the abuse occurred in a facility or juvenile justice program, evaluation and treatment will be provided to the victim without financial cost; regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. If the juvenile is released from TCJPD custody, referrals for continued care, as appropriate, shall be made. (Section III, B, 4).”

During the corrective action period all 12 facility nurses were formally trained on the new practice expectations of offering sexually transmitted infections testing, emergency contraception, and pregnancy testing in the event a youth refuses a SANE exam (which is provided through the hospital). A brief description of the training as well as training records (from 10/10/23 - 12/17/2023) were provided to the auditor to verify compliance. In addition, the auditor also selected four nurses by highlighting every fourth name on the alphabetical list of facility nurses to participate in a brief follow-up telephone interview. The purpose of the interview was to assess for institutionalization. All nurses interviewed were able to explain the facility’s process for ensuring sexual abuse survivors are provided the medical services required by PREA, particularly if a youth declines to be transported to the hospital for a SANE exam. Nurses explained if a youth does not wish to undergo a SANE exam the facility’s RN or Supervisor would contact the medical physician who is on call to request a prescription for STD testing and for emergency contraception as needed. Pregnancy testing would be offered by the facility nurses, as no prescription is needed. All nurses also confirmed the process for preserving forensic evidence (i.e., no showering, brushing teeth, using the bathroom, etc.).

The auditor has determined that Gardner-Betts is now 100% compliant with this PREA standard provision.



**and abusers**

**Auditor Overall Determination:** Meets Standard

**Auditor Discussion**

**Evidenced Used in Compliance Determination:**

- AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse”
- Travis County Probation Juvenile Handbook
- Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” (revised 2021)
- Travis County Internal Investigation Checklist (revised 2021)
- Interviews with JDOs (direct care staff)
- Interviews with Shift Supervisors
- Interviews with the facility clinician
- Interview with facility nurses
- CAP - CAP - Memo dated 12/19/2023
- CAP - Revised Policy AS-905 Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse
- CAP - Training records verifying all nurses trained on policy and practice revisions
- CAP - Follow-up interviews with facility nurses (January 2024)

**Provision (a)**

Agency Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” states, “All victims of sexual abuse will be afforded timely, unimpeded access to medical and mental health services and confidential victim advocacy services, to include emergency medical treatment, crisis intervention services and referrals for continued care” (Section I). The policy explains the treatment services (both medical and mental health services) are provided to victims who disclose sexual abuse (at a previous placement, at home, or that which occurred at the Gardner-Betts facility). Interviews with a sample of nurses as well as the mental health clinician confirmed that if a youth alleged sexual abuse, medical and mental health clinicians would evaluate the youth as soon as possible.

**Provision (b)**

Facility Policy 4-DS-11 “First Responder Duties” states, “Treatment and counseling staff will ensure the victim’s mental health needs are addressed. a. The counselor will follow up with victim to see if any crisis intervention counseling services are needed. b. The counselor will assist the victim in accessing outside advocates as requested.” In addition, agency Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” supports expectations outlined in provision (b)...and clearly directs that youth who disclose sexual abuse will be offered follow-up services including, “Treatment plans, follow-up services and referrals for continued care following transfers to other facilities or release from custody.” This same policy clearly explains, “1. Upon the conclusion of an administrative investigation or at the discretion of the Chief or designee, a staff psychologist, or other mental health professional will assess the

victim of sexual abuse within 48 hours. 2. The mental health professional will make the determination of appropriate counseling referrals, to include crisis intervention services, follow-up services, treatment plans, and referrals for continued care” (Section III C, 1 -2).

Interviews with the Clinician and other program staff verified the program would evaluate and treat the victims based on their individual needs and consistent with the community level of care.

***Provision (c)***

Agency and facility policies support this PREA provision. Specifically, agency Policy AS-905 states “All medical and mental health services and treatments will be consistent with the community level of care” (Section III, A, 3). Interviews with the Clinician, nurses, and other program staff verified the program would evaluate and treat the victims based on their individual needs and consistent with the community level of care.

***Provision (d)***

As previously stated, the agency Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” clearly states, “Victims are entitled to receive testing for sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and any subsequent treatment. d. Pregnancy tests will be offered to victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration. If pregnancy results, the victim will be provided timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services” (Section III). Interviews with Gardner-Betts nurses revealed that if a sexual assault or abuse involved vaginal penetration, they would be able to offer pregnancy testing at the facility (in the event the youth did not want to go to the hospital for a full SANE exam).

***Provision (e)***

Policy AS-905 “Victims of sexual abuse shall be offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections (STIs) prophylaxis in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, whenever medically appropriate. Victims will be entitled to these services even if they decline a SANE or SAFE examination.” (Section III, B, C). While onsite, facility nurses consistently reported that youth would be taken to the hospital in the event of a sexual abuse allegation. Interviews verified medical staff at Gardner-Betts does offer pregnancy testing and emergency contraception through the SANE exam at the local hospital. However, if a youth refuses to go to the hospital, interviews revealed that there is currently not a process in place for youth to access emergency contraception. As previously mentioned, GB nurses can offer STI testing and pregnancy testing, but not emergency contraception. Therefore, the program is required to create a process for ensuring youth are offered these services in the event a youth declines to go to the hospital for a SANE exam. This may involve having a standing order with the facility’s contracted physician in the event of a sexual assault incident.

**Provision (f)**

As previously stated, the agency Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” clearly states, “Victims are entitled to receive testing for sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and any subsequent treatment” (Section III, C, 3d). As mentioned, interviews with Gardner-Betts nurses verified they would offer STI testing through the SANE exam at the local hospital and would offer this at the facility in the event of an incident of sexual assault or abuse. This would be accomplished by working with the contracted Gardner-Betts physician to order the necessary tests and prescriptions.

Since the facility has not had allegations of sexual abuse that involved penetration, the auditor was unable to determine whether the practice of offering STI testing and emergency contraception by the facility (in the event a youth refuses to go to the hospital for a SANE exam) has been fully institutionalized. However, since the local hospital does have certified SANEs, offering these additional services is likely part of the routine SANE process.

**Provision (g)**

Agency Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” supports provision (g) of this standard by specifically stating, “If the abuse occurred in a facility or juvenile justice program, the cost of the treatment services will not be assessed to the victim or his or her family and will be provided whether or not the victim names the abuser and / or cooperates with any administrative or criminal investigation” (Section III, B, 2). Staff interviews confirmed that these treatment services would be provided at no cost to the victim.

**Provision (h)**

The DOJ PREA standards require “a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners.” Agency Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” supports provision (h) of this standard by stating, “Known juvenile abusers engaging in youth-on-youth sexual abuse will be referred to treatment, counseling, educational programs or other interventions. 1. The Department will attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known juvenile abusers within 60 days of learning of the abuse history. Known juvenile abusers will be offered appropriate treatment as determined by the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer / designee and a mental health professional” (Section III, D, 1-2). Since the Gardner-Betts facility is a short-term facility with a length of stay of approximately 16 days most youth would not be in the facility for 60 days. That said, interviews with facility manager and the clinician verified known abusers would be evaluated by the contracted Psychiatrist, the facility clinician, or the dozens of other psychology interns/counselors soon after the incident occurred (likely within a week of the report).

**Corrective Actions 383 (e)**

- The program is required to create a process for ensuring victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration receive timely access to emergency in the event the victim refuses to go to hospital for a SANE exam. This may involve having a standing order prescribed by the contracted physician to allow these services to be readily available in emergency situations.
- The agency should review and update agency and facility policies to support expectations outlined in this provision.
- All medical staff must be formally trained on this new practice. The program is required to submit a description of the information discussed (i.e., staff meeting minutes) and a training roster as evidence this training has been completed.

**Additional Documents Reviewed:**

- CAP - CAP - Memo dated 12/19/2023
- CAP - Revised Policy AS-905 Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse
- CAP - Training records verifying all nurses trained on policy and practice revisions
- CAP - Follow-up interviews with facility nurses (January 2, 2024)

During the corrective action period Travis County Probation revised Policy AS-905 “Services for Victims of Sexual Abuse” to more clearly align with PREA standards and to clarify expectations for facility nurses and leaders. Among the changes included is a detailed definition of Medical Authority - “The agency, organization, entity, or individual responsible for consulting and collaborating with the facility administrator and/or the health services coordinator to ensure a coordinated and adequate health care system is available to residents of the facility.” In addition, the revised policy also includes the following language:

- “Medical staff will provide juvenile victims of sexual abuse timely information about forensic exams, sexually transmitted infections (STIs), and emergency contraception in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, whenever medically appropriate, and ensure services are made available” (Section III, B, 1).
- “Juvenile victims of sexual abuse will be entitled to timely STI prophylaxis and emergency contraception through the Medical Authority or designee even if they decline a SANE or SAFE examination or refuse to be treated at a hospital, clinic, or emergency room. a) With the appropriate consents and Medical Authority direction, medical staff will coordinate STI testing and any subsequent treatment for juvenile victims of sexual abuse.” b. Pregnancy tests will be offered to victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration. If pregnancy results, the victim will be provided timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services. If the abuse occurred in a facility or juvenile justice program, evaluation and treatment will be provided to the victim without financial cost; regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with

any investigation arising out of the incident. If the juvenile is released from TCJPD custody, referrals for continued care, as appropriate, shall be made. (Section III, B, 4).”

During the corrective action period all 12 facility nurses were formally trained on the new practice expectations of offering sexually transmitted infections testing, emergency contraception, and pregnancy testing in the event a youth refuses a SANE exam (which is provided through the hospital). A brief description of the training as well as training records (from 10/10/23 - 12/17/2023) were provided to the auditor to verify compliance. The auditor also selected four nurses by highlighting every fourth name on the alphabetical list of facility nurses to participate in a brief follow-up telephone interview. The purpose of the interview was to assess for institutionalization. All nurses interviewed were able to explain the facility’s process for ensuring sexual abuse survivors are provided the medical services required by PREA, particularly if a youth declines to be transported to the hospital for a SANE exam. Nurses explained if a youth does not wish to undergo a SANE exam the facility’s RN or Supervisor would contact the medical physician who is on call to request a prescription for STD testing and for emergency contraception as needed. Pregnancy testing would be offered by the facility nurses, as no prescription is needed. All nurses also confirmed the process for preserving forensic evidence (i.e., no showering, brushing teeth, using the bathroom, etc.).

The auditor has determined that Gardner-Betts is now 100% compliant with this PREA standard provision.

115.386	Sexual abuse incident reviews
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection”</li> <li>• Travis County Internal Investigation Checklist (revised 2021)</li> <li>• Sexual incident review document (dated March 16, 2022) from another Travis County program (ISC)</li> <li>• Memo sent to investigators on 1/25/2022</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Detention Services</li> <li>• Interview with PREA Investigators</li> <li>• Interview with PCM</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Accreditation and Compliance</li> </ul> <p><b>Provisions (a and b)</b></p>

Agency Policy AS-906 "Incident Reviews and Data Collection" states, "The Chief Juvenile Probation Officer (Chief) will ensure that a sexual abuse incident review is conducted at the conclusion of each sexual abuse investigation unless the allegation was determined to be unfounded. 1. The review will be conducted within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation" (Section II, A, 1).

During the last corrective action period (2021), the Travis County Gardner Betts facility updated the "TCJPD Internal Investigation Checklist" to include a section regarding the Sexual Abuse Incident Committee. The auditor reviewed the form which now includes a question that asks the investigator: "PREA Incident Review Required? Yes/No" and provides a reminder that the committee "must be conducted within 30 days of investigation completion." The revised form also requires the investigator to document the date and time the Sexual Abuse Incident Review Committee met. The facility also sent a formal memo (dated 1/25/2022) to inform all agency investigators that the TCJPD Internal Investigation Checklist had been revised and reminding the investigators of the 30-day timeframe. More specifically, the memo stated, "This memorandum serves to remind investigators about PREAs requirement that a sexual abuse incident review must take place within 30 days of an investigation concluding. The only exclusion is when the investigation concludes with a finding of "unfounded". The review should include upper-level management, line supervisors, and medical or mental health practitioners if necessary. The purpose of the review is to consider whether a need to change policy or practice is needed to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse. To better help investigators remember this requirement, a section has been added to the TCJPD Internal Investigation Checklist. The checklist is a requirement of every investigation at TCJPD."

As previously mentioned, the facility has had two allegations of sexual abuse (no penetration) in the past 12 months. Both of these allegations were fully investigated and determined to be "unfounded." Therefore, a sexual abuse committee review was not required. The auditor was unable to verify whether this practice is in place (convening the sexual abuse committee within 30 days of completion of an investigation), although agency and facility leaders articulated they were aware of this federal requirement and would convene the committee consistent with expectations.

***Provision (c)***

Agency Policy AS-906 "Incident Reviews and Data Collection" states, "The Chief will designate individuals from several disciplines to serve on the Sexual Abuse Review Team. As appropriate, the team will be composed of facility administrators, supervisors, medical and / or mental health practitioners, line staff, investigators and the PREA Coordinator" (Section II, A, 2). Staff verified that if there was a sexual abuse investigation, a formal committee comprised of the PREA Investigator, Director of Detention Services, Case Work Manager, PCM, Agency PREA Coordinator, and other agency and facility managers would be convened to discuss the incident and develop a plan of action to prevent similar incidents in the future. As previously mentioned, there have been no substantiated allegations of sexual abuse at

Gardner-Betts in the past 12 months. That said, agency leader and facility manager interviews all verified they understand this PREA requirement and would ensure this committee convened within the 30 day timeframe.

***Provision (d)***

Agency Policy AS-906 "Incident Reviews and Data Collection" directs, "The Sexual Abuse Review Team will review the circumstances of the sexual abuse allegation. The team will: a. consider whether the allegation or investigation findings indicates a need to modify facility policy, procedure and practice to better prevent, detect or respond to sexual abuse; b. consider whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse; examine the location of the incident to assess any physical barriers, modify the physical plant configuration; d. consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by certain facility factors to include, but not limited to: 1. race; 2. ethnicity; 3. gender identity, gender non-conforming, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; 4. lesbian, gay, or bisexual identification, status, or perceived status; 5. gang affiliation; or 6. group dynamics at the facility. assess the staffing and supervision levels in the housing / program area reviewed: 1. to determine compliance with the mandated staffing ratios during the time frame of the incident; 2. to determine if the deployment of additional staff during similar time frames would enhance supervision; 3. limit access to specific areas; 4. review the adequacies of the staffing plan; and f. assess whether cameras should be deployed or augmented to supplement staff supervision. 4. The Sexual Abuse Review Team will submit a written report to the Chief documenting their findings and recommendations" (Section II, A, 3).

The facility uses a structured format for the incident review to document the discussion and to ensure all key areas are discussed. Although the Gardner-Betts facility has not had any substantiated allegations of sexual abuse in the past 12 months, the auditor reviewed a copy of the completed sexual incident review document (dated March 16, 2022) from another Travis County program (ISC) to verify all elements required in this provision were discussed.

***Provision (e)***

Agency Policy AS-906 "Incident Reviews and Data Collection" states, "The Sexual Abuse Review Team will submit a written report to the Chief documenting their findings and recommendations. a. The Chief will review the findings and assess the recommendations of the team. b. The Chief will determine if he or she will implement the recommendations for improvement. c. If the Chief determines that he or she will not implement the recommendations, the reasons for not doing so will be documented. As needed, the Chief or designee will brief the Juvenile Board on the findings and recommendations of the Sexual Abuse Review Team and the subsequent response to the findings" (Section II, A, 4).

Staff interviews verified that the sexual abuse committee is required to document what they learned from the incident and develop specific strategies to better prevent sexual abuse incidents in the future. Staff provided examples for improvement that surfaced from an incident review committee (non-PREA related

	<p>incident). These included increasing supervision for specific youth, adding additional cameras, retraining staff on healthy boundaries, etc.</p> <p>The auditor reviewed the ISC PREA Sexual Abuse Incident Review Committee notes (dated 3/16/2022) Section IV Action Plan of the document says: “Based on a review of the incident, the Incident Review team agreed that: Staff responsible for screening incoming mail continue to be diligent in their monitoring of letters for obviously inappropriate content, photos, and items and comply with TCJPD policy RS 6.180.” This review was completed within 30 days of the investigation completion.</p> <p>All information reviewed allows the auditor to determine the program is now in compliance with this PREA standard.</p>
--	--

<b>115.387</b>	<b>Data collection</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Determining Compliance:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection”</li> <li>• Travis County Juvenile Probation Department 2023 1st Quarter ANE Report</li> <li>• Investigation 2023 Data Tracking Sheet (Excel document)</li> <li>• Two investigation reports and supporting documents (2023)</li> <li>• Travis County Juvenile Probation Annual Report (2022)</li> <li>• 2022 TCJPD Contracted Facility PREA Aggregate Data</li> <li>• Interviews with PREA Investigators</li> <li>• Interview with PCM</li> <li>• Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a)</b></p> <p>Agency Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection” states, “The Department will collect accurate and uniform data on all Department allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Chief or designee will collect and maintain the information using a standardized format and set of definitions” (Section II, B). An interview with the Agency PREA Coordinator and facility PCM confirmed that all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are tracked on an Excel tracking sheet. The tracking sheet includes the date of the event, involved parties (i.e., victim, perpetrator, witnesses), investigation outcome, date of requisite notifications, etc. This information is provided by the PCM to the Agency PREA Coordinator on a monthly basis. The auditor reviewed the Excel tracking sheet from 2023 which indicates there have been two allegations of sexual abuse with contact. Both allegations were thoroughly investigated and determined to be unfounded (to not have occurred). Interviews with the PCM and the Agency PREA Coordinator</p>



confirmed this process is in place.

***Provision (b)***

Agency Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection” requires, “The Department will collect accurate and uniform data on all Department allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Chief or designee will collect and maintain the information using a standardized format and set of definitions” (Section II, B).

In addition to the Excel investigation tracking sheet, the Department compiles and reviews sexual abuse data a minimum of quarterly. A formal report titled, “Travis County Juvenile Probation Department 2023 1st Quarter ANE Report” provides a tally of the number of ANE (Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation) incidents for Gardner-Betts. The report includes a number of categories including but not limited to: Emotional/Verbal Abuse, Physical Abuse (Physical Restraint); Sexual Abuse Non-Contact, and Sexual Abuse Contact. This report provides information regarding events that have occurred in the designated quarter and the total for the year. This report is created on a quarterly basis and is presented to the Travis County Juvenile Board. Interviews with agency and facility leaders verified these data are reviewed a minimum of quarterly. These data are also aggregated each year and appear in the Department’s annual PREA progress report.

***Provision (c)***

The Facility PCM and Agency PREA Coordinator are aware of the PREA requirement to collect detailed data that can be used to populate the DOJ Survey of Sexual Victimization Juvenile Survey. In the event a sexual abuse allegation is made, Travis County Juvenile Probation staff are prepared to provide this information. In support of this future practice, agency Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection” states, “Incident-based data collected on allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment will include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice” (Section II, B, 2).

***Provision (d)***

The agency Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection” states, “The Department will collect and maintain data as needed from all available incident-based documents, to include, but not limited to reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews.” Interviews with the PCM and PREA Investigators verified the necessary investigation documents and data are maintained. The auditor also reviewed two investigation files to confirm these files include the necessary information/data required by PREA standards.

***Provision (e)***

Contract language requires programs to submit the requisite data a minimum of annually as well as submit the formal PREA audit findings report to Travis County

	<p>Probation. The auditor reviewed the “2022 TCJPD Contracted Facility PREA Aggregate Data” which provided data for that year for five programs with which Travis County Probation contracts.</p> <p><b>Provision (f)</b></p> <p>As previously stated, the PCM and Agency PREA Coordinator are aware of the requirement to submit data to DOJ upon request. The data tracking sheets and comprehensive investigation documents that are currently maintained will allow the facility to provide this information as needed. In support of this practice the agency Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection” directs, “Upon request, the Department will provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice” (Section II, B, 3).</p> <p>The auditor determines the program is in compliance on provisions in this standard.</p>
--	--

<b>115.388</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection”</li> <li>• Travis County Juvenile Department Annual Report, Findings, and Action Plan (May 2022)</li> <li>• Annual PREA progress reports posted on traviscountytexas.gov website</li> <li>• PRC FAQ from August 27, 2014</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Accreditation and Compliance</li> <li>• Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator</li> <li>• Interview with PREA Compliance Manager</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a and b)</b></p> <p>The Travis County Probation Department has an annual agency PREA report that highlights progress and compliance with federal PREA standards. The report is titled, “Annual Report, Findings, and Action Plan” and is posted on the agency’s website (traviscountytexas.gov). The webpage includes reports from 2016-2023. Each individual report includes comparison data for sexual abuse incidents from prior years. The auditor checked the website, and all report links are in working order.</p> <p>An FAQ provided by the PREA Resource Center (PRC) on August 27, 2014 provided further clarification on data gathered from agencies/facilities with whom Travis County Probation contracts with to house and treat youth. The FAQ stated:</p> <p>Q: “Is an agency that holds inmates on behalf of another agency pursuant to a</p>

contract responsible for posting the data and reports described in standards 115.87, 115.88, and 115.89 on its own website, in addition to reporting that information to the agency with which it holds the contract?

A: Yes. Standards 115.87, 115.88, and 115.89 require the agency to collect and post certain data and reports on its website or, if it does not have a website, to make the data available through other means. "Agency" (standard 115.5) means the unit of a State, local, corporate, or nonprofit authority, or of the Department of Justice, with direct responsibility for the operation of any facility that confines inmates, detainees, or residents, including the implementation of policy as set by the governing, corporate, or nonprofit authority. Therefore, a contracting agency is required to do the following with the data described in standards 115.87, 115.88, and 115.89:

- Provide the data to the parent agency in the contractual relationship; and
- Post the data on its website or, if it does not have a website, to make it available through other means.
- The parent agency in the contractual relationship is also required to post the data from the contracting agency on its website or, if it does not have a website, to make it available through other means."

The auditor reviewed the traviscounty.gov website and verified 2022 data from contracted placements are posted on the website. This information appears in the document titled, "TCJPD 2022 Contracted Facility Aggregated PREA Data." Since this is a fairly new practice, the auditor reminds the agency that it is required to post data from prior years (as they move forward) for its contracted programs.

The practice of gathering sexual abuse and sexual harassment data and developing an annual PREA progress report is supported by the agency's Policy AS-906 "Incident Reviews and Data Collection." More specifically, the policy states, "The Department will review the data collected to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices and training. The data will be published and stored securely. 1. The review will include but is not limited to: a. Identifying the problem areas; b. Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and c. Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the Department as a whole. 2. The annual report will include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and will provide an assessment of the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse" (Section II, C, 1 and 2).

***Provision (c)***

The auditor reviewed a sample of the "Annual Report, Findings, and Action Plan" reports posted on the agency's website and confirmed each of the reports have been reviewed and approved by the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer (as per the signature). During interviews with the Director of Accreditation and Compliance (designee for the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer), the auditor confirmed the Agency PREA Coordinator drafts the annual PREA progress report and that the Chief

	<p>Probation Officer is responsible for approving the report prior it being posted to the agency’s website. In further support of this practice, the agency Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection states, “The Department report will be approved by the Chief and made readily available to the public through the Department’s website” (Section II, C, 2a). The program is in compliance on this provision.</p> <p><b>Provision (d)</b></p> <p>The auditor reviewed the annual reports to ensure that sensitive or identifying information has been removed. The review confirmed the agency consistently ensures no information is provided that would cause a threat to safety and security of the facility. In support of this practice the agency Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection (page 3) states, “Personal identifiers will be removed before the aggregated sexual abuse data is made available.” In addition, this same policy directs, “Additional material may be redacted from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility. The nature of the material being redacted will be indicated.” (Section II, C, 2c).</p> <p>Review of all evidence allows the auditor to confidently conclude the program is in compliance with this standard.</p>
--	--

<p><b>115.389</b></p>	<p><b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b></p>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p>
	<p><b>Evidence Used in Compliance Determination:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection”</li> <li>• Travis County Juvenile Department Annual Report, Findings, and Action Plan (May 2022)</li> <li>• Annual PREA report on website (traviscountytexas.gov)</li> <li>• Interview with Director of Accreditation and Compliance (designee for the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer)</li> <li>• Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator</li> <li>• Interview with PCM</li> <li>• Interviews with PREA Investigators</li> <li>• Facility tour observations</li> </ul> <p><b>Provision (a)</b></p> <p>The Travis County Juvenile Probation Department has an agency policy to ensure data is collected and retained consistent with PREA expectations. More specifically, agency Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection” states, “The</p>

Department will review the data collected to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices and training. The data will be published and stored securely” (Section II, C). This same policy also states, “All data collected pertaining to sexual abuse will be securely retained. Any electronic copies of investigations will be maintained in a secure drive accessible to the Chief or designee” (Section II, C, 2). Interviews with the PCM, PREA Investigators, and the Agency PREA Coordinator confirmed investigation files are locked in a secure area, to which only the PREA Investigators and General Counsel have access.

***Provision (b)***

In support of this provision the agency Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection” states, “The Department report will be approved by the Chief and made readily available to the public through the Department’s website” (Section II, C, 2a). As previously stated, the agency annual report Travis County Juvenile Probation Office “2020 Annual Report, Findings and Action Plan” (dated May 2022) and previous reports from 2015 - 2019, provides detailed data for the facilities it operates. The report provides comparisons of the current year’s data and corrective actions from prior years for the facilities under the direct of Travis County Probation Department - Gardner-Betts Detention Center and the Intermediate Sanctions Center (ISC). The data includes sexual abuse and sexual harassment data broken out by outcome of the investigation and the types of alleged sexual misconduct (youth-to-youth or staff-to-youth). The report is signed and dated by both the Chief Probation Officer as well as the Agency PREA Coordinator and is posted on the agency website ([traviscountytexas.gov](http://traviscountytexas.gov)). The auditor checked the links verifying the links to the 2023 report (data from 2023) as well as previous reports are in working order.

PREA standards require, “(b) The agency shall make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means.” The auditor reviewed the [traviscountytexas.gov](http://traviscountytexas.gov) website and verified 2022 data from contracted placements are posted on the website. This information appears in the document titled, “TCJPD 2022 Contracted Facility Aggregated PREA Data.” The auditor reminds the agency that it is required to post data from prior years (as they move forward) for all its contracted programs.

***Provision (c)***

A review of a sample of “Annual Report, Findings and Action Plan” reports posted on the agency website verified the agency has a regular practice of ensuring no personal identifiers are provided in these public-facing documents. In support of this practice, the agency Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection” states, “b. Personal identifiers will be removed before the aggregated sexual abuse data is made available. C. Additional material may be redacted from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a

	<p>facility. The nature of the material being redacted will be indicated” (Section II, C, 2 b-c).</p> <p><b>Provision (d)</b></p> <p>Agency Policy AS-906 “Incident Reviews and Data Collection” specifically states, “All sexual abuse data collected will be retained for at least 10 years after the date of its initial collection unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise” (Section II, C, 2e). Interviews with PREA Investigators and agency leaders verified these files and supporting documents are retained consistent with this PREA provision. The agency is in the process of moving towards an electronic record and storage system which will allow the agency to retain these documents in perpetuity. The facility and agency retain sexual abuse data consistent with PREA standards.</p>
--	--

<b>115.401</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This is the fourth PREA audit the Gardner-Betts Juvenile Center has undergone (the previous audits were conducted in 2016, 2017, 2021). This audit report details information obtained from the second PREA audit, the onsite portion of which was conducted on June 5th and 6th, 2023. This is the first cycle of the three-year audit cycle. Due to the Covid-19 health pandemic, the Gardner-Betts facility was unable to complete the audit as scheduled in 2020 and instead had its third audit in 2021. Travis County in compliance with Standard 115.401 (a) and (b) which requires agencies to ensure one-third of its facilities undergo an audit during each audit cycle.</p> <p>The audit was conducted consistent with Department of Justice PREA expectations. Some of the highlights demonstrating compliance in this area include conducting extensive review of program materials, protocols, agency policies, staff records, youth files, various internal/external reports, and conducting a facility tour. The process also included interviews with several staff, contractors, and volunteers. To the best of her knowledge, the auditor adhered to the expectations outlined in the PREA Auditor Handbook Version 2.1 (revised November 2022) - i.e., sampling methods; not receiving additional financial compensation from Travis County Juvenile Probation Department; and other provisions.</p>

<b>115.403</b>	<b>Audit contents and findings</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p>

	<p>The auditor has confirmed that the Gardner-Betts final PREA audit reports (2016, 2017, and 2021) have been posted to the agency's website: Juvenile Court (<a href="http://traviscountytexas.gov">traviscountytexas.gov</a>).</p>
--	--

<b>Appendix: Provision Findings</b>		
<b>115.311 (a)</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>	
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.311 (b)</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes
<b>115.311 (c)</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>	
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
<b>115.312 (a)</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents</b>	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.)	yes
<b>115.312 (b)</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents</b>	



	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents OR the response to 115.312(a)-1 is "NO".)	yes
<b>115.313 (a)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that each facility has developed a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility has implemented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility has documented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Generally accepted juvenile detention and correctional/secure residential practices?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate	yes

	staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or residents may be isolated)?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The composition of the resident population?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the 11 criteria below in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring: Any other relevant factors?	yes
<b>115.313 (b)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	Does the agency comply with the staffing plan except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances?	yes
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility fully document all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	yes
<b>115.313 (c)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	Does the facility maintain staff ratios of a minimum of 1:8 during resident waking hours, except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes

	Does the facility maintain staff ratios of a minimum of 1:16 during resident sleeping hours, except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes
	Does the facility fully document any limited and discrete exigent circumstances during which the facility did not maintain staff ratios? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes
	Does the facility ensure only security staff are included when calculating these ratios? (N/A only until October 1, 2017.)	yes
	Is the facility obligated by law, regulation, or judicial consent decree to maintain the staffing ratios set forth in this paragraph?	yes
<b>115.313 (d)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: Prevailing staffing patterns?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes
<b>115.313 (e)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	Has the facility implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A for non-secure facilities )	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? (N/A for non-secure facilities )	yes
	Does the facility have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational	yes

	functions of the facility? (N/A for non-secure facilities )	
<b>115.315 (a)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
<b>115.315 (b)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches in non-exigent circumstances?	yes
<b>115.315 (c)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility document and justify all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches?	yes
<b>115.315 (d)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility implement policies and procedures that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering a resident housing unit?	yes
	In facilities (such as group homes) that do not contain discrete housing units, does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing? (N/A for facilities with discrete housing units)	yes
<b>115.315 (e)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status?	yes
	If a resident's genital status is unknown, does the facility	yes

	determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	
<b>115.315 (f)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
<b>115.316 (a)</b>	<b>Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient</b>	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including:	yes

	Residents who have speech disabilities?	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other? (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Who are blind or have low vision?	yes
<b>115.316 (b)</b>	<b>Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient</b>	
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
<b>115.316 (c)</b>	<b>Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient</b>	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's	yes

	safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.364, or the investigation of the resident’s allegations?	
<b>115.317 (a)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the bullet immediately above?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
<b>115.317 (b)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents?	yes
<b>115.317</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	

<b>(c)</b>		
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Consult any child abuse registry maintained by the State or locality in which the employee would work?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.317 (d)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?	yes
	Does the agency consult applicable child abuse registries before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?	yes
<b>115.317 (e)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes
<b>115.317 (f)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current	yes



	employees?	
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
<b>115.317 (g)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
<b>115.317 (h)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Unless prohibited by law, does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
<b>115.318 (a)</b>	<b>Upgrades to facilities and technologies</b>	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
<b>115.318 (b)</b>	<b>Upgrades to facilities and technologies</b>	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes
<b>115.321 (a)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	

	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
<b>115.321 (b)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. )	yes
<b>115.321 (c)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	Does the agency offer all residents who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
<b>115.321 (d)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes

	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
<b>115.321 (e)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
<b>115.321 (f)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating entity follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse.)	yes
<b>115.321 (h)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (Check N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.321(d) above.)	yes
<b>115.322 (a)</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>	
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes

<b>115.322 (b)</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>	
	Does the agency have a policy in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
<b>115.322 (c)</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>	
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does such publication describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.321(a))	yes
<b>115.331 (a)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in juvenile facilities?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes

	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse and how to distinguish between consensual sexual contact and sexual abuse between residents?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent?	yes
<b>115.331 (b)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Is such training tailored to the unique needs and attributes of residents of juvenile facilities?	yes
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility?	yes
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa?	yes
<b>115.331 (c)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes

<b>115.331 (d)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
<b>115.332 (a)</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>	
	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
<b>115.332 (b)</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)?	yes
<b>115.332 (c)</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
<b>115.333 (a)</b>	<b>Resident education</b>	
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Is this information presented in an age-appropriate fashion?	yes
<b>115.333 (b)</b>	<b>Resident education</b>	
	Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate	yes

	comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	
	Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
<b>115.333 (c)</b>	<b>Resident education</b>	
	Have all residents received such education?	yes
	Do residents receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the resident's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes
<b>115.333 (d)</b>	<b>Resident education</b>	
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
<b>115.333 (e)</b>	<b>Resident education</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions?	yes
<b>115.333 (f)</b>	<b>Resident education</b>	

	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
<b>115.334 (a)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.331, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
<b>115.334 (b)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing juvenile sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
<b>115.334 (c)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes



<b>115.335 (a)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
<b>115.335 (b)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	na
<b>115.335 (c)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes

<b>115.335 (d)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.331? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.332? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	yes
<b>115.341 (a)</b>	<b>Obtaining information from residents</b>	
	Within 72 hours of the resident's arrival at the facility, does the agency obtain and use information about each resident's personal history and behavior to reduce risk of sexual abuse by or upon a resident?	yes
	Does the agency also obtain this information periodically throughout a resident's confinement?	yes
<b>115.341 (b)</b>	<b>Obtaining information from residents</b>	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?	yes
<b>115.341 (c)</b>	<b>Obtaining information from residents</b>	
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Prior sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Any gender nonconforming appearance or manner or identification as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex, and whether the resident may therefore be vulnerable to sexual abuse?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Current charges and offense history?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does	yes

	the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Age?	
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Level of emotional and cognitive development?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Physical size and stature?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Mental illness or mental disabilities?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Intellectual or developmental disabilities?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Physical disabilities?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: The resident's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: Any other specific information about individual residents that may indicate heightened needs for supervision, additional safety precautions, or separation from certain other residents?	yes
<b>115.341 (d)</b>	<b>Obtaining information from residents</b>	
	Is this information ascertained: Through conversations with the resident during the intake process and medical mental health screenings?	yes
	Is this information ascertained: During classification assessments?	yes
	Is this information ascertained: By reviewing court records, case files, facility behavioral records, and other relevant documentation from the resident's files?	yes
<b>115.341 (e)</b>	<b>Obtaining information from residents</b>	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked	yes

	pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents?	
<b>115.342 (a)</b>	<b>Placement of residents</b>	
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Work Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Program Assignments?	yes
<b>115.342 (b)</b>	<b>Placement of residents</b>	
	Are residents isolated from others only as a last resort when less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, and then only until an alternative means of keeping all residents safe can be arranged?	yes
	During any period of isolation, does the agency always refrain from denying residents daily large-muscle exercise?	yes
	During any period of isolation, does the agency always refrain from denying residents any legally required educational programming or special education services?	yes
	Do residents in isolation receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician?	yes
	Do residents also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes

<b>115.342 (c)</b>	<b>Placement of residents</b>	
	Does the agency always refrain from placing: Lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status?	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from placing: Transgender residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status?	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from placing: Intersex residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status?	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from considering lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification or status as an indicator or likelihood of being sexually abusive?	yes
<b>115.342 (d)</b>	<b>Placement of residents</b>	
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems?	yes
<b>115.342 (e)</b>	<b>Placement of residents</b>	
	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex resident reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the resident?	yes
<b>115.342 (f)</b>	<b>Placement of residents</b>	
	Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when	yes

	making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	
<b>115.342 (g)</b>	<b>Placement of residents</b>	
	Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents?	yes
<b>115.342 (h)</b>	<b>Placement of residents</b>	
	If a resident is isolated pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the resident's safety? (N/A for h and i if facility doesn't use isolation?)	yes
	If a resident is isolated pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? (N/A for h and i if facility doesn't use isolation?)	yes
<b>115.342 (i)</b>	<b>Placement of residents</b>	
	In the case of each resident who is isolated as a last resort when less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes
<b>115.351 (a)</b>	<b>Resident reporting</b>	
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: 2. Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
<b>115.351 (b)</b>	<b>Resident reporting</b>	
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private	yes

	entity or office that is not part of the agency?	
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request?	yes
	Are residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security to report sexual abuse or harassment?	yes
<b>115.351 (c)</b>	<b>Resident reporting</b>	
	Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.351 (d)</b>	<b>Resident reporting</b>	
	Does the facility provide residents with access to tools necessary to make a written report?	yes
<b>115.351 (e)</b>	<b>Resident reporting</b>	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents?	yes
<b>115.352 (a)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	no
<b>115.352 (b)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	

	Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.352 (c)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that: A resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.352 (d)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency determines that the 90 day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time (the maximum allowable extension of time to respond is 70 days per 115.352(d)(3)) , does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.352 (e)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	



	Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third party, other than a parent or legal guardian, files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Is a parent or legal guardian of a juvenile allowed to file a grievance regarding allegations of sexual abuse, including appeals, on behalf of such juvenile? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If a parent or legal guardian of a juvenile files a grievance (or an appeal) on behalf of a juvenile regarding allegations of sexual abuse, is it the case that those grievances are not conditioned upon the juvenile agreeing to have the request filed on his or her behalf? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.352 (f)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.352 (g)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.353 (a)</b>	<b>Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation</b>	
	Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by providing, posting, or otherwise making accessible mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies?	yes
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
<b>115.353 (b)</b>	<b>Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation</b>	
	Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and	yes

	the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	
<b>115.353 (c)</b>	<b>Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation</b>	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
<b>115.353 (d)</b>	<b>Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation</b>	
	Does the facility provide residents with reasonable and confidential access to their attorneys or other legal representation?	yes
	Does the facility provide residents with reasonable access to parents or legal guardians?	yes
<b>115.354 (a)</b>	<b>Third-party reporting</b>	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident?	yes
<b>115.361 (a)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or	yes

	information they receive regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	
<b>115.361 (b)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Does the agency require all staff to comply with any applicable mandatory child abuse reporting laws?	yes
<b>115.361 (c)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials and designated State or local services agencies, are staff prohibited from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes
<b>115.361 (d)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse to designated supervisors and officials pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section as well as to the designated State or local services agency where required by mandatory reporting laws?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of their duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
<b>115.361 (e)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the appropriate office?	yes
	Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the alleged victim's parents or legal guardians unless the facility has official documentation showing the parents or legal guardians should not be notified?	yes
	If the alleged victim is under the guardianship of the child welfare system, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the alleged victim's caseworker instead of	yes

	the parents or legal guardians? (N/A if the alleged victim is not under the guardianship of the child welfare system.)	
	If a juvenile court retains jurisdiction over the alleged victim, does the facility head or designee also report the allegation to the juvenile's attorney or other legal representative of record within 14 days of receiving the allegation?	yes
<b>115.361 (f)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes
<b>115.362 (a)</b>	<b>Agency protection duties</b>	
	When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident?	yes
<b>115.363 (a)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
	Does the head of the facility that received the allegation also notify the appropriate investigative agency?	yes
<b>115.363 (b)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes
<b>115.363 (c)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
<b>115.363 (d)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in	yes

	accordance with these standards?	
<b>115.364 (a)</b>	<b>Staff first responder duties</b>	
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
<b>115.364 (b)</b>	<b>Staff first responder duties</b>	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
<b>115.365 (a)</b>	<b>Coordinated response</b>	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.366 (a)</b>	<b>Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers</b>	

	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
<b>115.367 (a)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
<b>115.367 (b)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services?	yes
<b>115.367 (c)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report	yes

	of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Any resident disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Resident housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Resident program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor: Reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
<b>115.367 (d)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
<b>115.367 (e)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
<b>115.368 (a)</b>	<b>Post-allegation protective custody</b>	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect a resident who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.342?	yes



<b>115.371 (a)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal investigations of sexual abuse or harassment. See 115.321(a).)	yes
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal investigations of sexual abuse or harassment. See 115.321(a).)	yes
<b>115.371 (b)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations involving juvenile victims as required by 115.334?	yes
<b>115.371 (c)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
<b>115.371 (d)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Does the agency always refrain from terminating an investigation solely because the source of the allegation recants the allegation?	yes
<b>115.371 (e)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
<b>115.371</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	

<b>(f)</b>		
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as resident or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
<b>115.371 (g)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
<b>115.371 (h)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
<b>115.371 (i)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
<b>115.371 (j)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.371(g) and (h) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years unless the abuse was committed by a juvenile resident and applicable law requires a shorter period of retention?	yes
<b>115.371 (k)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or agency	yes

	does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	
<b>115.371 (m)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)	yes
<b>115.372 (a)</b>	<b>Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations</b>	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
<b>115.373 (a)</b>	<b>Reporting to residents</b>	
	Following an investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse suffered in the facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes
<b>115.373 (b)</b>	<b>Reporting to residents</b>	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	yes
<b>115.373 (c)</b>	<b>Reporting to residents</b>	
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency	yes

	has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
<b>115.373 (d)</b>	<b>Reporting to residents</b>	
	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
<b>115.373 (e)</b>	<b>Reporting to residents</b>	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
<b>115.376 (a)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes

<b>115.376 (b)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.376 (c)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
<b>115.376 (d)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
<b>115.377 (a)</b>	<b>Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</b>	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
<b>115.377 (b)</b>	<b>Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</b>	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents?	yes

<b>115.378 (a)</b>	<b>Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>	
	Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, may residents be subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
<b>115.378 (b)</b>	<b>Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>	
	Are disciplinary sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident is not denied daily large-muscle exercise?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident is not denied access to any legally required educational programming or special education services?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident receives daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician?	yes
	In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the resident also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
<b>115.378 (c)</b>	<b>Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
<b>115.378 (d)</b>	<b>Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to offer the offending resident participation in such interventions?	yes

	If the agency requires participation in such interventions as a condition of access to any rewards-based behavior management system or other behavior-based incentives, does it always refrain from requiring such participation as a condition to accessing general programming or education?	yes
<b>115.378 (e)</b>	<b>Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>	
	Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes
<b>115.378 (f)</b>	<b>Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action, does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	yes
<b>115.378 (g)</b>	<b>Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>	
	Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.)	yes
<b>115.381 (a)</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.341 indicates that a resident has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the resident is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening?	yes
<b>115.381 (b)</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.341 indicates that a resident has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the resident is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening?	yes
<b>115.381 (c)</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>	

	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes
<b>115.381 (d)</b>	<b>Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse</b>	
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from residents before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the resident is under the age of 18?	yes
<b>115.382 (a)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
<b>115.382 (b)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.362?	yes
	Do staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes
<b>115.382 (c)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	Are resident victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	no
<b>115.382 (d)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial	yes



	cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	
<b>115.383 (a)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
<b>115.383 (b)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
<b>115.383 (c)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
<b>115.383 (d)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if all-male facility.)	yes
<b>115.383 (e)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.383(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if all-male facility.)	no
<b>115.383 (f)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
<b>115.383 (g)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or	yes

	cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	
<b>115.383 (h)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners?	yes
<b>115.386 (a)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes
<b>115.386 (b)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
<b>115.386 (c)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes
<b>115.386 (d)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes

	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.386(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
<b>115.386 (e)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes
<b>115.387 (a)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
<b>115.387 (b)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
<b>115.387 (c)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
<b>115.387 (d)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
<b>115.387 (e)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for	yes

	the confinement of its residents.)	
<b>115.387 (f)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	yes
<b>115.388 (a)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes
<b>115.388 (b)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.388 (c)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
<b>115.388 (d)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when	yes

	publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	
<b>115.389 (a)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.387 are securely retained?	yes
<b>115.389 (b)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
<b>115.389 (c)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
<b>115.389 (d)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.387 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes
<b>115.401 (a)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
<b>115.401 (b)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	yes

	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	na
<b>115.401 (h)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
<b>115.401 (i)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
<b>115.401 (m)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes
<b>115.401 (n)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Were inmates, residents, and detainees permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes
<b>115.403 (f)</b>	<b>Audit contents and findings</b>	
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	yes